Report on the main results of the surveillance under Article 17 for Annex I habitat types (Annex D)

NATIONAL LEVEL

1. General information

1.1 Member State	**GR**
1.2 Habitat code	**9320 - Olea and Ceratonia forests**

2. Maps

2.1 Year or period	2015
2.3 Distribution map	Yes
2.3 Distribution map Method used	Based mainly on extrapolation from a limited amount of data
2.4 Additional maps	Yes

BIOGEOGRAPHICAL LEVEL

3. Biogeographical and marine regions

3.1 Biogeographical or marine region where the habitat occurs	**Mediterranean (MED)**
3.2 Sources of information	Dimopoulos P., Xystrakis F. and Tsiripidis I. 2014. Deliverable A1. Final Catalogue of Habitat Types – 1st edition. Ministry of Environment, Energy and Climate Change, OIKOM Ltd - E. Alexandropoulou - A. Glavas, Athens, pages 54. Dimopoulos P., Fotiadis G., Tsiripidis I., Panitsa M. and Karadimou E. 2014. Deliverable A2. Report and Literature Database on Habitat Types of Greece – 1st edition. Ministry of Environment, Energy and Climate Change, OIKOM Ltd - E. Alexandropoulou - A. Glavas, Athens, pages 210. Tsiripidis I., Xystrakis F., Kasampalis D., Mastrogianni A., Strid A. and Dimopoulos P., 2014. Deliverable A4. Potential Distribution Maps of Habitat Types – 1st edition. Ministry of Environment, Energy and Climate Change, OIKOM Ltd - E. Alexandropoulou - A. Glavas, Athens, Athens, pages 176. Dimopoulos P., Tsiripidis I., Xystrakis F., Panitsa M., Fotiadis G., Kallimanis A.S. and Kazoglou I. 2014. Deliverable A6. Explanatory Implementation Manual for the Conservation Degree Assessment of Habitat Types – 1st edition. Ministry of Environment, Energy and Climate Change, OIKOM Ltd - E. Alexandropoulou - A. Glavas, Athens, pages 35. (with Annexes: I. Habitat types protocols, pages 600; II. Explanatory notes on the habitat types protocols selection, pages 4; III. Correspondence of Habitat types protocols with the clusters of vegetation relevés (excel file). Dimopoulos P., Tsiripidis I., Xystrakis F., Kallimanis A.S and Panitsa M. 2014. Deliverable A7. Preliminary Analysis of the Field Data for the Habitat Types – 1st edition. Ministry of Environment, Energy and Climate Change, OIKOM Ltd - E. Alexandropoulou - A. Glavas, Athens, pages 16. Αθανασιάδης Ν., Θεοδωρόπουλος Κ., Γερασιμίδης Α., Ελευθεριάδου Ε., Τσιριπίδης Γ. & Κοράκης Γ. 1998. Μονάδες βλάστησης της ζώνης των αειφύλλων πλατυφύλλων του Αγίου Όρους. Ειδική έκδοση στα πλαίσια του προγράμματος «Έκθεση Αγίου Όρους, Φύση και Περιβάλλον – Θεσσαλονίκη Πολιτιστική Πρωτεύουσα της Ευρώπης 1997», σελ. 87 + Πίνακες. Βαλλιανάτου Ε. 2005. Γεωβοτανική Έρευνα της Σαλαμίνας, Αίγινας και μερικών άλλων Νησιών του Σαρωνικού Κόλπου. Διδακτορική Διατριβή. Εθνικό και Καποδιστριακό Πανεπιστήμιο Αθηνών, σελ. 558. Barbero M. & Quézel P. 1980. La végétation forestière de Crète. Ecologia Mediterranea 5: 175-210 Barbero M. & Quézel P. 1976. Les groupements forestiers de Grece Centro-

Meridionale. Ecologia Mediterranea 2:1-86.
Bergmeier E. 1990. Walder und Gebusche des Niederen Olymp (Kato Olimbos, NO-Thessalien). Phytocoenologia 18(2/3): 161-342.
Δημόπουλος Δ. Π. 1993. Χλωριδική και Φυτοκοινωνιολογική έρευνα του όρους Κυλλήνη - Οικολογική Προσέγγιση. Διδακτορική Διατριβή. Πανεπιστήμιο Πατρών, σελ. 370.
Gehu J.M., Apostolides N., Gehu-Franck J. & Arnold K. 1989. Premieres donees sur la vegetation littorale des iles de Rhodos et de Karpathos (Grece). Colloques phytosociologiques XIX: 545-582.
Κοκμοτός Ε. 2008. Χλωριδική και φυτοκοινωνιολογική μελέτη των ορεινών όγκων της Βοιωτίας (Ελικώνας-Ξεροβούνι-Νεραϊδολάκκωμα). Διδακτορική Διατριβή. Πανεπιστήμιο Πατρών, σελ. 509 + 3 Παραρτήματα.
Lavrentiades G.J. 1969. Studies on the flora and vegetation of the Ormos Archangelou in Rhodos islands. Vegetatio XIX: 308-329.
Μπρόφας Γ. & Καρέτσος Γ. 2000. Συμβολή στην οικολογία του νησιού "Γυαλί" (ΝΑ Αιγαίο). Γεωτεχνικά Επιστημονικά Θέματα 11(1): 91-102.
Πανίτσα Μ. 1997. Συμβολή στη γνώση της χλωρίδας και της βλάστησης των νησίδων του ανατολικού Αιγαίου. Διδακτορική Διατριβή, Πανεπιστήμιο Πατρών, pg. 345.
Πανίτσα Μ. & Τζανουδάκης Δ. 2005. Συμβολή στη γνώση της χλωρίδας και της βλάστησης του μικρονησιωτικού συμπλέγματος της Λέρου. Πρακτικά 10ου Πανελλήνιου Επιστημονικού Συνεδρίου της Ελληνικής Βοτανικής Εταιρίας, Ιωάννινα, 5-8 Μαΐου 2005, σελ. 3 (σε CD).
Papanikolaou G.D. & Sarlis G.P. 1991. Phytosociological studies in the Tatoi district (Attica, Greece). Vegetatio 93: 81-90.
Πλατής Π., Παπαχρήστου Θ., Μελιάδης Ι. & Μαντζανάς Κ. 2007. Ποικιλότητα τύπων οικοτόπων της περιοχής Ακαρνανικών ορέων του Δικτύου "Φύση 2000". Πρακτικά 13ου Πανελλήνιου Δασολογικού Συνεδρίου της Ελληνικής Δασολογικής Εταιρείας, Χλόη Καστοριάς, 7-10 Οκτωβρίου 2007 (τόμος Ι): 116-124.
Raus Th. 1979. Die vegetation Osthessaliens (Griechenland), II. Quercetea ilicis und Cisto-Micromerietea. Bot. Jahrb. Syst. 101(1): 17-82.
Στάμου Α. 2004. Φυτοκοινωνίες του Τελέθριου-Λιχάδας-Γιάλτρας, Εύβοιας. Μεταπτυχιακή Διατριβή. Theocharopoulos M. & Georgiadis Th. 1984. Contribution a l'etude de la vegetation de l'Attique orientale (NEA MAKRI) en Grece (Prise en compte des impacts urbains et touristiques). Ecologia Mediterranea X(3-4): 133-157.

4. Range

4.1 Surface area	15789
4.2 Short-term trend Period	2007-2018
4.3 Short-term trend Direction	Stable (0)
4.4 Short-term trend Magnitude	a) Minimum b) Maximum
4.5 Short-term trend Method used	Based mainly on extrapolation from a limited amount of data
4.6 Long-term trend Period	
4.7 Long-term trend Direction	
4.8 Long-term trend Magnitude	a) MInimum b) Maximum
4.9 Long-term trend Method used	Based mainly on extrapolation from a limited amount of data
4.10 Favourable reference range	a) Area (km²)
	b) Operator Approximately equal to (≈)
	c) Unknown Yes
	d) Method

4.11 Change and reason for change in surface area of range	No change The change is mainly due to:
4.12 Additional information	

5. Area covered by habitat

5.1 Year or period	2015-015-			
5.2 Surface area (in km²)	a) Minimum	b) Maximum	c) Best single value	383,87
5.3 Type of estimate	Minimum			
5.4 Surface area Method used	Based mainly on extrapolation from a limited amount of data			
5.5 Short-term trend Period	2007-2018			
5.6 Short-term trend Direction	Stable (0)			
5.7 Short-term trend Magnitude	a) Minimum	b) Maximum	c) Confidence interval	
5.8 Short-term trend Method used	Based mainly on extrapolation from a limited amount of data			
5.9 Long-term trend Period				
5.10 Long-term trend Direction				
5.11 Long-term trend Magnitude	a) Minimum	b) Maximum	c) Confidence interval	
5.12 Long-term trend Method used				
5.13 Favourable reference area	a) Area (km²) b) Operator: Approximately equal to (≈) c) Unknown: Yes d) Method			
5.14 Change and reason for change in surface area of range	No change The change is mainly due to:			
5.15 Additional information	The surface area of the habitat (5.2) is equal to the area of the habitat within the Natura 2000 network (pSCIs, SCIs and SACs) (11.1), as reported for the previous reporting period (2007-2013).			

6. Structure and functions

6.1 Condition of habitat	a) Area in good condition (km²)	Minimum	345,48	Maximum	345,48
	b) Area in not-good condition (km²)	Minimum	0	Maximum	0
	c) Area where condition is not known (km²)	Minimum	38,39	Maximum	38,39
6.2 Condition of habitat Method used	Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate				
6.3 Short-term trend of habitat area in good condition Period	20072018				
6.4 Short-term trend of habitat area in good condition Direction	Stable (0)				
6.5 Short-term trend of habitat area in good condition Method used	Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate				
6.6 Typical species	Has the list of typical species changed in comparison to the previous reporting period?	No			

6.7 Typical species Method used

Typical species were determined on the basis of a vegetation database, comprised of about 22000 sampling plots. First, a list of possible typical species was determined per habitat type, selecting the ones presenting a high fidelity value to the habitat types according the algorithm of Tsiripidis et al. (2009) and the phi coefficient value (Chytrý et al. 2002). Then typical species per habitat type were selected from the above-mentioned lists by expert judgment and using as criteria species niche breadth, their ability to comprise indicators of habitat types' conservation status and their function as keystone species. The nomenclature of the typical species follows Dimopoulos et al. (2013). References Chytrý , M., Tichý , L., Holt, J. & Botta-Duká t, J. 2002. Determination of diagnostic species with statistical fidelity measures. Journal of Vegetation Science 13: 79–90. Dimopoulos, P., Raus, Th., Bergmeier, E., Constantinidis, Th., Iatrou, G., Kokkini, S., Strid, A. & Tzanoudakis, D. 2013: Vascular plants of Greece: an annotated checklist. – Berlin: Botanischer Garten und Botanisches Museum Berlin-Dahlem, Freie Universität Berlin; Athens: Hellenic Botanical Society. Englera 31: 1-367. Tsiripidis, I., Bergmeier, E., Fotiadis, G. & Dimopoulos, P. 2009. A new algorithm for the determination of differential taxa. Journal of Vegetation Science 20: 233-240.

6.8 Additional information

Assumption: 90% of habitat area is estimated to be in good condition.

7. Main pressures and threats

7.1 Characterisation of pressures/threats

Pressure	Ranking
Logging (excluding clear cutting) of individual trees (B06)	M
Sports, tourism and leisure activities (F07)	M
Fire (natural) (M09)	M
Natural succession resulting in species composition change (other than by direct changes of agricultural or forestry practices) (L02)	M
Wind, wave and tidal power, including infrastructure (D01)	M
Other human intrusions and disturbance not mentioned above (H08)	M
Conversion into agricultural land (excluding drainage and burning) (A01)	M
Abiotic natural processes (e.g. erosion, silting up, drying out, submersion, salinization) (L01)	H
Other invasive alien species (other then species of Union concern) (I02)	M

Threat	Ranking
Intensive grazing or overgrazing by livestock (A09)	M
Logging (excluding clear cutting) of individual trees (B06)	M
Extensive grazing or undergrazing by livestock (A10)	M
Sports, tourism and leisure activities (F07)	M
Natural succession resulting in species composition change (other than by direct changes of agricultural or forestry practices) (L02)	M
Fire (natural) (M09)	H

Other human intrusions and disturbance not mentioned above (H08)	H
Other invasive alien species (other then species of Union concern) (I02)	H
Abiotic natural processes (e.g. erosion, silting up, drying out, submersion, salinization) (L01)	H
Interspecific relations (competition, predation, parasitism, pathogens) (L06)	M

7.2 Sources of information

PRESSURES: Based mainly on expert judgement and other data.
THREATS: Based on expert opinion.

7.3 Additional information

8. Conservation measures

8.1 Status of measures

a) Are measures needed? No

b) Indicate the status of measures

8.2 Main purpose of the measures taken

8.3 Location of the measures taken

8.4 Response to the measures

8.5 List of main conservation measures

()

8.6 Additional information

9. Future prospects

9.1 Future prospects of parameters

a) Range	Good
b) Area	Good
c) Structure and functions	Good

9.2 Additional information

10. Conclusions

10.1. Range	Favourable (FV)
10.2. Area	Favourable (FV)
10.3. Specific structure and functions (incl. typical species)	Favourable (FV)
10.4. Future prospects	Favourable (FV)
10.5 Overall assessment of Conservation Status	Favourable (FV)
10.6 Overall trend in Conservation Status	Stable (=)

10.7 Change and reasons for change in conservation status and conservation status trend

a) Overall assessment of conservation status

No change

The change is mainly due to:

Report on the main results of the surveillance under Article 17 for Annex I habitat types (Annex D)

	b) Overall trend in conservation status No change The change is mainly due to:
10.8 Additional information	

11. Natura 2000 (pSCIs, SCIs, SACs) coverage for Annex I habitat types

11.1 Surface area of the habitat type inside the pSCIs, SCIs and SACs network (in km² in biogeographical/ marine region)	a) Minimum b) Maximum c) Best single value 383,87
11.2 Type of estimate	Minimum
11.3 Surface area of the habitat type inside the network Method used	Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate
11.4 Short-term trend of habitat area in good condition within the network Direction	Stable (0)
11.5 Short-term trend of habitat area in good condition within network Method used	Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate
11.6 Additional information	The change in 11.1 (in comparison to the previous report) is due to the updated mapping datasets on terrestrial habitat types within the Natura 2000 network (pSCIs, SCIs and SACs), based on the most recent national project (results became available in 2018). As this project did not include the extended areas of the Natura 2000 sites, nor the newly proposed SCIs, the surface area reported is the minimum.

12. Complementary information

12.1 Justification of % thresholds for trends	
12.2 Other relevant information	