

Report on the main results of the surveillance under Article 17 for Annex I habitat types (Annex D)

NATIONAL LEVEL

1. General information

1.1 Member State	GR
1.2 Habitat code	92D0 - Southern riparian galleries and thickets (Nerio-Tamaricetea and Securi

2. Maps

2.1 Year or period	2015
2.3 Distribution map	Yes
2.3 Distribution map Method used	Based mainly on extrapolation from a limited amount of data
2.4 Additional maps	Yes

BIOGEOGRAPHICAL LEVEL

3. Biogeographical and marine regions

3.1 Biogeographical or marine region where the habitat occurs	Mediterranean (MED)
3.2 Sources of information	<p>Dimopoulos P., Xystrakis F. and Tsiripidis I. 2014. Deliverable A1. Final Catalogue of Habitat Types – 1st edition. Ministry of Environment, Energy and Climate Change, OIKOM Ltd - E. Alexandropoulou - A. Glavas, Athens, pages 54.</p> <p>Dimopoulos P., Fotiadis G., Tsiripidis I., Panitsa M. and Karadimou E. 2014. Deliverable A2. Report and Literature Database on Habitat Types of Greece – 1st edition. Ministry of Environment, Energy and Climate Change, OIKOM Ltd - E. Alexandropoulou - A. Glavas, Athens, pages 210. Tsiripidis I., Xystrakis F., Kasampalis D., Mastrogianni A., Strid A. and Dimopoulos P., 2014. Deliverable A4. Potential Distribution Maps of Habitat Types – 1st edition. Ministry of Environment, Energy and Climate Change, OIKOM Ltd - E. Alexandropoulou - A. Glavas, Athens, Athens, pages 176. Dimopoulos P., Tsiripidis I., Xystrakis F., Panitsa M., Fotiadis G., Kallimanis A.S. and Kazoglou I. 2014. Deliverable A6. Explanatory Implementation Manual for the Conservation Degree Assessment of Habitat Types – 1st edition. Ministry of Environment, Energy and Climate Change, OIKOM Ltd - E. Alexandropoulou - A. Glavas, Athens, pages 35. (with Annexes: I. Habitat types protocols, pages 600; II. Explanatory notes on the habitat types protocols selection, pages 4; III. Correspondence of Habitat types protocols with the clusters of vegetation relevés (excel file).</p> <p>Dimopoulos P., Tsiripidis I., Xystrakis F., Kallimanis A.S and Panitsa M. 2014. Deliverable A7. Preliminary Analysis of the Field Data for the Habitat Types – 1st edition. Ministry of Environment, Energy and Climate Change, OIKOM Ltd - E. Alexandropoulou - A. Glavas, Athens, pages 16.</p> <p>Βασιλείου Α., Μπαμπαλώνας Δ. & Greuter W. 2000. Ανάλυση της βλάστησης και των εδαφικών συνθηκών στη λιμνοθάλασσα της Επανομής. Πρακτικά 8ου Επιστημονικού Συνεδρίου της Ελληνικής Βοτανικής Εταιρείας, Πάτρα, 5-8 Οκτωβρίου 2000: 89-95.</p> <p>Γεωργιάδης Θ., Δημόπουλος Π., Πανίτσα Μ. & Δημητρέλλος Γ. 1996. Τα φυσικά οικοσυστήματα της Πελοποννήσου με βάση την ποικιλότητα σε τύπους οικοτόπων και τα σημαντικά τους είδη. Πρακτικά 6ου Επιστημονικού Συνεδρίου της Ελληνικής Βοτανικής Εταιρείας και της Βιολογικής Εταιρείας Κύπρου, Παραλίμνι Κύπρου, 6-11 Απριλίου 1996: 68-73. Dimopoulos P., Sýkora K.V., Gilissen C., Wiecherink D. & Georgiadis T. 2005. Vegetation ecology of Kalodiki fen (NW Greece). <i>Biologia/Bratislava</i> 60 (1): 69-82.</p> <p>Gehu J.M., Apostolides N., Gehu-Franck J. & Arnold K. 1989. Premieres donees</p>

Report on the main results of the surveillance under Article 17 for Annex I habitat types (Annex D)

sur la vegetation littorale des iles de Rhodos et de Karpathos (Grece). Colloques phytosociologiques XIX: 545-582.

Georgiadis Th., Dimopoulos P. & Dimitrellos G. 1997. The flora and vegetation of the Acheron Delta (W Greece) aiming at nature conservation. Phytosociology 37: 31-60.

Θεοδωρόπουλος Κ. 2001. Ζώνες βλάστησης και τύποι οικοτόπων του νομού Θεσσαλονίκης. Επιστ. Επετ. Τμημ. Δασολογίας & Φυσιικού Περιβάλλοντος ΜΔ: 353-381.

Καραγιάννη Π. 2009. Οικολογία των τύπων οικοτόπων της αποξηραμένης Λίμνης Μουριάς. Μελέτη της χλωρίδας και βλάστησης και οικολογική διερεύνηση περιβαλλοντικών παραμέτρων στα πλαίσια προγράμματος πιλοτικού επαναπλημμυρισμού. Διδακτορική Διατριβή. Πανεπιστήμιο Πατρών, σελ. 234 + Παράρτημα.

Καράγιαννη Π., Τηνιακού Α. & Γεωργιάδης Θ. 2005. Συμβολή στην παρόχθια βλάστηση των ποταμών της Δυτικής Ελλάδος. Πρακτικά 10ου Πανελληνίου Επιστημονικού Συνεδρίου της Ελληνικής Βοτανικής Εταιρίας, Ιωάννινα, 5-8 Μαΐου 2005, σελ. 10 (σε CD).

Καρέτσος, Γ. 2002. Μελέτη της Οικολογίας και της Βλάστησης του Όρους Οίτη. Διδακτορική Διατριβή. Πάτρα, σελ. 325. Korakis G. & Gerasimidis A. 2006.

Coastal and halophytic habitats and their flora in Evrotas Delta (SE Peloponnisos, Greece). J. Biol. Res. 6: 155-166.

Vasiliou A. 2000. Die psammophile und halophile Vegetation des Lagunenkomplexes Epanomi (Makedonien, Nordgriechenland).

Pflanzensoziologische und floristische Untersuchungen. Diplomarbeit, Freie Universität Berlin, pg. 125.

4. Range

4.1 Surface area	2255
4.2 Short-term trend Period	2007-2018
4.3 Short-term trend Direction	Stable (0)
4.4 Short-term trend Magnitude	a) Minimum b) Maximum
4.5 Short-term trend Method used	Based mainly on extrapolation from a limited amount of data
4.6 Long-term trend Period	
4.7 Long-term trend Direction	
4.8 Long-term trend Magnitude	a) Minimum b) Maximum
4.9 Long-term trend Method used	Based mainly on extrapolation from a limited amount of data
4.10 Favourable reference range	a) Area (km ²) b) Operator Approximately equal to (≈) c) Unknown Yes d) Method
4.11 Change and reason for change in surface area of range	No change The change is mainly due to:
4.12 Additional information	

5. Area covered by habitat

5.1 Year or period	2015-015-
5.2 Surface area (in km ²)	a) Minimum b) Maximum c) Best single value 137,6
5.3 Type of estimate	Best estimate
5.4 Surface area Method used	Based mainly on extrapolation from a limited amount of data

Report on the main results of the surveillance under Article 17 for Annex I habitat types (Annex D)

5.5 Short-term trend Period	2007-2018
5.6 Short-term trend Direction	Stable (0)
5.7 Short-term trend Magnitude	a) Minimum b) Maximum c) Confidence interval
5.8 Short-term trend Method used	Based mainly on extrapolation from a limited amount of data
5.9 Long-term trend Period	
5.10 Long-term trend Direction	
5.11 Long-term trend Magnitude	a) Minimum b) Maximum c) Confidence interval
5.12 Long-term trend Method used	
5.13 Favourable reference area	a) Area (km ²) b) Operator Approximately equal to (≈) c) Unknown Yes d) Method
5.14 Change and reason for change in surface area of range	No change The change is mainly due to:
5.15 Additional information	

6. Structure and functions

6.1 Condition of habitat	a) Area in good condition Minimum 123,84 Maximum 123,84 (km ²) b) Area in not-good condition (km ²) Minimum 0 Maximum 0 c) Area where condition is not known (km ²) Minimum 13,76 Maximum 13,76
6.2 Condition of habitat Method used	Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate
6.3 Short-term trend of habitat area in good condition Period	20072018
6.4 Short-term trend of habitat area in good condition Direction	Stable (0)
6.5 Short-term trend of habitat area in good condition Method used	Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate
6.6 Typical species	Has the list of typical species changed in comparison to the previous reporting period? No
6.7 Typical species Method used	Typical species were determined on the basis of a vegetation database, comprised of about 22000 sampling plots. First, a list of possible typical species was determined per habitat type, selecting the ones presenting a high fidelity value to the habitat types according the algorithm of Tsiripidis et al. (2009) and the phi coefficient value (Chytrý et al. 2002). Then typical species per habitat type were selected from the above-mentioned lists by expert judgment and using as criteria species niche breadth, their ability to comprise indicators of habitat types' conservation status and their function as keystone species. The nomenclature of the typical species follows Dimopoulos et al. (2013). References Chytrý, M., Tichý, L., Holt, J. & Botta-Dukat, J. 2002. Determination of diagnostic species with statistical fidelity measures. <i>Journal of Vegetation Science</i> 13: 79–90. Dimopoulos, P., Raus, Th., Bergmeier, E., Constantinidis, Th., Iatrou, G., Kokkini, S., Strid, A. & Tzanoudakis, D. 2013: <i>Vascular plants of Greece: an annotated checklist</i> . – Berlin: Botanischer Garten und Botanisches Museum Berlin-Dahlem, Freie Universität Berlin; Athens: Hellenic Botanical Society.

Report on the main results of the surveillance under Article 17 for Annex I habitat types (Annex D)

Englera 31: 1-367. Tsiripidis, I., Bergmeier, E., Fotiadis, G. & Dimopoulos, P. 2009. A new algorithm for the determination of differential taxa. Journal of Vegetation Science 20: 233-240.

6.8 Additional information

Assumption: 90% of habitat area is estimated to be in good condition.

7. Main pressures and threats

7.1 Characterisation of pressures/threats

Pressure	Ranking
Clear-cutting, removal of all trees (B09)	H
Sports, tourism and leisure activities (F07)	M
Harvesting or collecting of other wild plants and animals (excluding hunting and leisure fishing) (G09)	M
Creation or development of sports, tourism and leisure infrastructure (outside the urban or recreational areas) (F05)	M
Other human intrusions and disturbance not mentioned above (H08)	M
Mixed source soil pollution and solid waste (excluding discharges) (J04)	M
Drainage (K02)	M
Conversion into agricultural land (excluding drainage and burning) (A01)	H
Flight paths of planes, helicopter and other non-leisure aircrafts (E04)	M
Other invasive alien species (other than species of Union concern) (I02)	M
Threat	Ranking
Conversion into agricultural land (excluding drainage and burning) (A01)	H
Clear-cutting, removal of all trees (B09)	H
Sports, tourism and leisure activities (F07)	H
Harvesting or collecting of other wild plants and animals (excluding hunting and leisure fishing) (G09)	M
Other human intrusions and disturbance not mentioned above (H08)	M
Burning for agriculture (A11)	M
Other invasive alien species (other than species of Union concern) (I02)	M
Logging without replanting or natural regrowth (B05)	H

7.2 Sources of information

PRESSURES: Based mainly on expert judgement and other data.
THREATS: Based on expert opinion.

7.3 Additional information

8. Conservation measures

8.1 Status of measures

a) Are measures needed? No

Report on the main results of the surveillance under Article 17 for Annex I habitat types (Annex D)

b) Indicate the status of measures

8.2 Main purpose of the measures taken

8.3 Location of the measures taken

8.4 Response to the measures

8.5 List of main conservation measures

()

8.6 Additional information

9. Future prospects

9.1 Future prospects of parameters

a) Range	Good
b) Area	Good
c) Structure and functions	Good

9.2 Additional information

10. Conclusions

10.1. Range Favourable (FV)

10.2. Area Favourable (FV)

10.3. Specific structure and functions (incl. typical species) Favourable (FV)

10.4. Future prospects Favourable (FV)

10.5 Overall assessment of Conservation Status Favourable (FV)

10.6 Overall trend in Conservation Status Stable (=)

10.7 Change and reasons for change in conservation status and conservation status trend

a) Overall assessment of conservation status
No change
The change is mainly due to:

b) Overall trend in conservation status
No change

The change is mainly due to:

10.8 Additional information

11. Natura 2000 (pSCIs, SCIs, SACs) coverage for Annex I habitat types

11.1 Surface area of the habitat type inside the pSCIs, SCIs and SACs network (in km² in biogeographical/marine region)

a) Minimum	
b) Maximum	
c) Best single value	87,78

11.2 Type of estimate Minimum

11.3 Surface area of the habitat type inside the network Method used Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate

Report on the main results of the surveillance under Article 17 for Annex I habitat types (Annex D)

11.4 Short-term trend of habitat area in good condition within the network Direction

Stable (0)

11.5 Short-term trend of habitat area in good condition within network Method used

Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate

11.6 Additional information

The change in 11.1 (in comparison to the previous report) is due to the updated mapping datasets on terrestrial habitat types within the Natura 2000 network (pSCIs, SCIs and SACs), based on the most recent national project (results became available in 2018). As this project did not include the extended areas of the Natura 2000 sites, nor the newly proposed SCIs, the surface area reported is the minimum.

12. Complementary information

12.1 Justification of % thresholds for trends

12.2 Other relevant information