

# Report on the main results of the surveillance under Article 17 for Annex I habitat types (Annex D)

## NATIONAL LEVEL

### 1. General information

1.1 Member State	GR
1.2 Habitat code	9270 - Hellenic beech forests with <i>Abies borisii-regis</i>

### 2. Maps

2.1 Year or period	2015
2.3 Distribution map	Yes
2.3 Distribution map Method used	Based mainly on extrapolation from a limited amount of data
2.4 Additional maps	Yes

## BIOGEOGRAPHICAL LEVEL

### 3. Biogeographical and marine regions

3.1 Biogeographical or marine region where the habitat occurs	<b>Mediterranean (MED)</b>
3.2 Sources of information	<p>Dimopoulos P., Xystrakis F. and Tsiripidis I. 2014. Deliverable A1. Final Catalogue of Habitat Types – 1st edition. Ministry of Environment, Energy and Climate Change, OIKOM Ltd - E. Alexandropoulou - A. Glavas, Athens, pages 54.</p> <p>Dimopoulos P., Fotiadis G., Tsiripidis I., Panitsa M. and Karadimou E. 2014. Deliverable A2. Report and Literature Database on Habitat Types of Greece – 1st edition. Ministry of Environment, Energy and Climate Change, OIKOM Ltd - E. Alexandropoulou - A. Glavas, Athens, pages 210.</p> <p>Tsiripidis I., Xystrakis F., Kasampalis D., Mastrogianni A., Strid A. and Dimopoulos P., 2014. Deliverable A4. Potential Distribution Maps of Habitat Types – 1st edition. Ministry of Environment, Energy and Climate Change, OIKOM Ltd - E. Alexandropoulou - A. Glavas, Athens, Athens, pages 176.</p> <p>Dimopoulos P., Tsiripidis I., Xystrakis F., Panitsa M., Fotiadis G., Kallimanis A.S. and Kazoglou I. 2014. Deliverable A6. Explanatory Implementation Manual for the Conservation Degree Assessment of Habitat Types – 1st edition. Ministry of Environment, Energy and Climate Change, OIKOM Ltd - E. Alexandropoulou - A. Glavas, Athens, pages 35. (with Annexes: I. Habitat types protocols, pages 600; II. Explanatory notes on the habitat types protocols selection, pages 4; III. Correspondence of Habitat types protocols with the clusters of vegetation relevés (excel file).</p> <p>Dimopoulos P., Tsiripidis I., Xystrakis F., Kallimanis A.S and Panitsa M. 2014. Deliverable A7. Preliminary Analysis of the Field Data for the Habitat Types – 1st edition. Ministry of Environment, Energy and Climate Change, OIKOM Ltd - E. Alexandropoulou - A. Glavas, Athens, pages 16.</p> <p>Barbero M. &amp; Quézel P. 1976. Les groupements forestiers de Grece Centro-Meridionale. <i>Ecologia Mediterranea</i> 2: 1-86</p> <p>Bergmeier E. 1990. Walder und Gebusche des Niederen Olymp (Kato Olimbos, NO-Thessalien). <i>Phytocoenologia</i> 18(2/3): 161-342.</p>

# Report on the main results of the surveillance under Article 17 for Annex I habitat types (Annex D)

Bergmeier E. & Dimopoulos P. 2001. *Fagus sylvatica* forest vegetation in Greece: Syntaxonomy and gradient analysis. *Journal of Vegetation Science* 12: 109-126

Bergmeier E. & Dimopoulos P. 1999. Classification of Greek *Fagus* woodlands: a preliminary survey. *Annali di Botanica, Roma*, 57: 91-104

Βλάχος Α. 2006. Χλωρίδα Βλάστηση και Οικολογία του ορεινού συγκροτήματος των Βαρδουσίων. Διδακτορική Διατριβή. Πανεπιστήμιο Πατρών, σελ. 396

Δημητρέλλος Ν.Γ. 2005. Γεωβοτανική Έρευνα του Όρους Τυμφρηστού (ΒΔ Στερεά Ελλάδα) Χλωρίδα - Βλάστηση - Αξιολόγηση - Διαχείριση. Διδακτορική Διατριβή. Πανεπιστήμιο Πατρών, σελ. 296. Δημόπουλος Π. & Bergmeier E. 1998. Χωρολογία και συνχωρολογία των δασών οξυάς στην Ελλάδα. Πρακτικά 7ου Πανελληνίου Επιστημονικού Συνεδρίου της Ελληνικής Βοτανικής Εταιρίας, Αλεξανδρούπολη, 1-4 Οκτωβρίου 1998: 96-101.

Dimopoulos P. & Bergmeier E. 1997. The Beech forests of Greece: Diversity, Syntaxonomy and relationships with the Palaeartic Habitat Classification. 6th International Workshop, "European Vegetation Survey", Rome, Italy, 13 -16 March 1997.

Gamisans J. & Hebrard J.-P. 1979. A propos de la vegetation des forets d'Epire et de Macedoine Grecque occidentale. *Documents phytosociologiques* IV: 290-327.

Θεοδωρόπουλος Κ., Αθανασιάδης Ν., Ελευθεριάδου Ε., Γερασιμίδης Α., Τσιριπίδης Γ. & Κοράκης Γ. 1998. Μονάδες βλάστησης κατά μήκος του μονοπατιού Σκήτη Τιμίου Προδρόμου – Σκήτη Αγίας Άνης του Αγίου Όρους. Πρακτικά 7ου Πανελληνίου Επιστημονικού Συνεδρίου της Ελληνικής Βοτανικής Εταιρίας, Αλεξανδρούπολη, 1-4 Οκτωβρίου 1998: 80-95.

Θεοδωρόπουλος Κ., Ξυστράκης Φ., Ελευθεριάδου Ε. & Σαμαράς Δ. 2011. Ζώνες βλάστησης και τύποι οικοτόπων της περιοχής του Φορέα Διαχείρισης Εθνικού Δρυμού Ολύμπου. *Επιστ. Επετ. Σχολής Δασολογίας και Φυσικού Περιβάλλοντος*, ΑΠΘ 2002, 45, σελ. 18 (σε CD).

Raus Th. 1980. Die vegetation Osthessaliens (Griechenland), III. *Querco-Fagetea und azonale Gehölzgesellschaften*. *Bot. Jahrb. Syst.* 101(3): 313-361.

Φωτιάδης Γ. 2004. Καθορισμός των δασικών φυτοκοινωνιολογικών μονάδων του Ελληνικού τμήματος του όρους Μπέλες και της οροσειράς των Κρουσίων. Διδακτορική Διατριβή, ΑΠΘ, σελ. 273 + Παράρτημα.

Tsiripidis I., Bergmeier E. & Dimopoulos P. 2007. Geographical and ecological differentiation in Greek *Fagus* forest vegetation. *Journal of Vegetation Science* 18: 743-750.

Tsiripidis I., Fotiadis G., Karagiannakidou V. & Babalonas D. 2005. Classification problems of forest vegetation in Greece: Transition from beech to deciduous oak zone. *Bot. Chron.* 18(1): 253-268

Tsiripidis I., Karagiannakidou V., Alifragis D. & Athanasiadis N. 2007. Classification and gradient analysis of the beech forest vegetation of the southern Rodopi (Northeast Greece). *Folia Geobotanica* 42: 249-270.

Tsiripidis I., Karagiannakidou V. & Athanasiadis N. 2005. Ecological and phytogeographical differentiation of beech forests in Greek Rodopi (Northeast Greece). *Biologia* 60: 57-67. Zoller H., Geissler P. & Athanasiadis N. 1977.

Beiträge zur Kenntnis der Wälder, Moos- und Flechtenassoziationen in den Gebirgen Nordgriechenlands. *Bauhinia* 6/1: 215-255.



# Report on the main results of the surveillance under Article 17 for Annex I habitat types (Annex D)

b) Area in not-good condition (km <sup>2</sup> )	Minimum 0	Maximum 0
c) Area where condition is not known (km <sup>2</sup> )	Minimum 56,42	Maximum 56,42

## 6.2 Condition of habitat Method used

Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate

## 6.3 Short-term trend of habitat area in good condition Period

20072018

## 6.4 Short-term trend of habitat area in good condition Direction

Stable (0)

## 6.5 Short-term trend of habitat area in good condition Method used

Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate

## 6.6 Typical species

Has the list of typical species changed in comparison to the previous reporting period? No

## 6.7 Typical species Method used

Typical species were determined on the basis of a vegetation database, comprised of about 22000 sampling plots. First, a list of possible typical species was determined per habitat type, selecting the ones presenting a high fidelity value to the habitat types according the algorithm of Tsiripidis et al. (2009) and the phi coefficient value (Chytrý et al. 2002). Then typical species per habitat type were selected from the above-mentioned lists by expert judgment and using as criteria species niche breadth, their ability to comprise indicators of habitat types' conservation status and their function as keystone species. The nomenclature of the typical species follows Dimopoulos et al. (2013). References Chytrý, M., Tichý, L., Holt, J. & Botta-Dukat, J. 2002. Determination of diagnostic species with statistical fidelity measures. *Journal of Vegetation Science* 13: 79–90. Dimopoulos, P., Raus, Th., Bergmeier, E., Constantinidis, Th., Iatrou, G., Kokkini, S., Strid, A. & Tzanoudakis, D. 2013: Vascular plants of Greece: an annotated checklist. – Berlin: Botanischer Garten und Botanisches Museum Berlin-Dahlem, Freie Universität Berlin; Athens: Hellenic Botanical Society. Englera 31: 1-367. Tsiripidis, I., Bergmeier, E., Fotiadis, G. & Dimopoulos, P. 2009. A new algorithm for the determination of differential taxa. *Journal of Vegetation Science* 20: 233-240.

## 6.8 Additional information

Assumption: 90% of habitat area is estimated to be in good condition.

## 7. Main pressures and threats

### 7.1 Characterisation of pressures/threats

Pressure	Ranking
Intensive grazing or overgrazing by livestock (A09)	M
Livestock farming (without grazing) (A14)	M
Threat	Ranking
Intensive grazing or overgrazing by livestock (A09)	M
Sports, tourism and leisure activities (F07)	M
Clear-cutting, removal of all trees (B09)	M
Other forestry activities, excluding those relating to agro-forestry (B29)	M

### 7.2 Sources of information

PRESSURES: Based mainly on expert judgement and other data.  
THREATS: Based on expert opinion.

### 7.3 Additional information

# Report on the main results of the surveillance under Article 17 for Annex I habitat types (Annex D)

## 8. Conservation measures

8.1 Status of measures a) Are measures needed? No

b) Indicate the status of measures

8.2 Main purpose of the measures taken

8.3 Location of the measures taken

8.4 Response to the measures

8.5 List of main conservation measures

()

8.6 Additional information

## 9. Future prospects

9.1 Future prospects of parameters a) Range Good  
b) Area Good  
c) Structure and functions Good

9.2 Additional information

## 10. Conclusions

10.1. Range Favourable (FV)

10.2. Area Favourable (FV)

10.3. Specific structure and functions (incl. typical species) Favourable (FV)

10.4. Future prospects Favourable (FV)

10.5 Overall assessment of Conservation Status Favourable (FV)

10.6 Overall trend in Conservation Status Stable (=)

10.7 Change and reasons for change in conservation status and conservation status trend  
a) Overall assessment of conservation status  
No change  
The change is mainly due to:

b) Overall trend in conservation status  
No change  
The change is mainly due to:

10.8 Additional information

## 11. Natura 2000 (pSCIs, SCIs, SACs) coverage for Annex I habitat types

11.1 Surface area of the habitat type inside the pSCIs, SCIs and SACs network (in km<sup>2</sup> in biogeographical/marine region)  
a) Minimum  
b) Maximum  
c) Best single value 564,23

# Report on the main results of the surveillance under Article 17 for Annex I habitat types (Annex D)

11.2 Type of estimate	Minimum
11.3 Surface area of the habitat type inside the network Method used	Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate
11.4 Short-term trend of habitat area in good condition within the network Direction	Stable (0)
11.5 Short-term trend of habitat area in good condition within network Method used	Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate
11.6 Additional information	The change in 11.1 (in comparison to the previous report) is due to the updated mapping datasets on terrestrial habitat types within the Natura 2000 network (pSCIs, SCIs and SACs), based on the most recent national project (results became available in 2018). As this project did not include the extended areas of the Natura 2000 sites, nor the newly proposed SCIs, the surface area reported is the minimum.

## 12. Complementary information

12.1 Justification of % thresholds for trends

12.2 Other relevant information