Report on the main results of the surveillance under Article 17 for Annex I habitat types (Annex D)

NATIONAL LEVEL

1. General information

1.1 Member State	**GR**
1.2 Habitat code	**8140 - Eastern Mediterranean screes**

2. Maps

2.1 Year or period	2015
2.3 Distribution map	Yes
2.3 Distribution map Method used	Based mainly on extrapolation from a limited amount of data
2.4 Additional maps	Yes

BIOGEOGRAPHICAL LEVEL

3. Biogeographical and marine regions

3.1 Biogeographical or marine region where the habitat occurs	**Mediterranean (MED)**
3.2 Sources of information	Dimopoulos P., Xystrakis F. and Tsiripidis I. 2014. Deliverable A1. Final Catalogue of Habitat Types – 1st edition. Ministry of Environment, Energy and Climate Change, OIKOM Ltd - E. Alexandropoulou - A. Glavas, Athens, pages 54. Dimopoulos P., Fotiadis G., Tsiripidis I., Panitsa M. and Karadimou E. 2014. Deliverable A2. Report and Literature Database on Habitat Types of Greece – 1st edition. Ministry of Environment, Energy and Climate Change, OIKOM Ltd - E. Alexandropoulou - A. Glavas, Athens, pages 210. Tsiripidis I., Xystrakis F., Kasampalis D., Mastrogianni A., Strid A. and Dimopoulos P., 2014. Deliverable A4. Potential Distribution Maps of Habitat Types – 1st edition. Ministry of Environment, Energy and Climate Change, OIKOM Ltd - E. Alexandropoulou - A. Glavas, Athens, Athens, pages 176. Dimopoulos P., Tsiripidis I., Xystrakis F., Panitsa M., Fotiadis G., Kallimanis A.S. and Kazoglou I. 2014. Deliverable A6. Explanatory Implementation Manual for the Conservation Degree Assessment of Habitat Types – 1st edition. Ministry of Environment, Energy and Climate Change, OIKOM Ltd - E. Alexandropoulou - A. Glavas, Athens, pages 35. (with Annexes: I. Habitat types protocols, pages 600; II. Explanatory notes on the habitat types protocols selection, pages 4; III. Correspondence of Habitat types protocols with the clusters of vegetation relevés (excel file). Dimopoulos P., Tsiripidis I., Xystrakis F., Kallimanis A.S and Panitsa M. 2014. Deliverable A7. Preliminary Analysis of the Field Data for the Habitat Types – 1st edition. Ministry of Environment, Energy and Climate Change, OIKOM Ltd - E. Alexandropoulou - A. Glavas, Athens, pages 16. Βλάχος Α. 2006. Χλωρίδα Βλάστηση και Οικολογία του ορεινού συγκροτήματος των Βαρδουσίων. Διδακτορική Διατριβή. Πανεπιστήμιο Πατρών, σελ. 396. Γεωργιάδης Θ., Δημόπουλος Π., Πανίτσα Μ. & Δημητρέλλος Γ. 1996. Τα φυσικά οικοσυστήματα της Πελοποννήσου με βάση την ποικιλότητα σε τύπους οικοτόπων και τα σημαντικά τους είδη. Πρακτικά 6ου Επιστημονικού Συνεδρίου

της Ελληνικής Βοτανικής Εταιρίας και της Βιολογικής Εταιρείας Κύπρου, Παραλίμνι Κύπρου, 6-11 Απριλίου 1996: 68-73.

Δημητρέλλος Ν.Γ. 2005. Γεωβοτανική Έρευνα του Όρους Τυμφρηστού (ΒΔ Στερεά Ελλάδα) Χλωρίδα - Βλάστηση - Αξιολόγηση - Διαχείριση. Διδακτορική Διατριβή. Πανεπιστήμιο Πατρών, σελ. 296

Δημόπουλος Δ. Π. 1993. Χλωριδική και Φυτοκοινωνιολογική έρευνα του όρους Κυλλήνη - Οικολογική Προσέγγιση. Διδακτορική Διατριβή. Πανεπιστήμιο Πατρών, σελ. 370.

Dimopoulos P., Sýkora K.V., Mucina L. & Georgiadis T. 1997. The high-rank syntaxa of the rock-cliff and scree vegetation of the mainland Greece and Crete. Folia Geobotanica 32 (3): 313-334.

Georgiadis Th. & Dimopoulos P. 1993. Etude de la vegetation supraforestiere du Mont Kyllini (Peloponnese-Grece). Bot. Helv. 103: 149-175.

Θεοδωρόπουλος Κ., Ξυστράκης Φ., Ελευθεριάδου Ε. & Σαμαράς Δ. 2011. Ζώνες βλάστησης και τύποι οικοτόπων της περιοχής του Φορέα Διαχείρισης Εθνικού Δρυμού Ολύμπου. Επιστ. Επετ. Σχολής Δασολογίας και Φυσικού Περιβάλλοντος, ΑΠΘ 2002, ΜΕ, σελ. 18 (σε CD).

Κοράκης Γ. & Αραβίδης Η. 2004. Καταγραφή, ταξινόμηση και αξιολόγηση των φυσικών ενδιαιτημάτων του Λακωνικού Ταϋγέτου σύμφωνα με την οδηγία 92/43/ΕΟΚ. Πρακτικά 1ου Πανελλήνιου Περιβαλλοντικού Συνεδρίου, Νέα Ορεστιάδα, 7-9 Μαΐου 2004: 891-900.

Μαρούλης Γ. 2003. Χλωρίδα και βλάστηση των οικοσυστημάτων του όρους Ερύμανθος (ΒΔ Πελοπόννησος). Διδακτορική Διατριβή. Πανεπιστήμιο Πατρών, σελ. 450 + 1 Παράρτημα + 1 Χάρτης.

Marulis G. & Georgiadis T. 2005. The vegetation of supra–forest meadows and rock crevices of Mount Erimanthos (NW Peloponnisos, Greece). Fitosociologia 42(1): 33-56.

Πυρινή ΧΒ. Χ. 2011. Το οικοσύστημα των λιμνών Βεγορίτιδας και Πετρών: χλωρίδα, βλάστηση και φυτογεωγραφία. Διδακτορική Διατριβή. ΑΠΘ, σελ. 332 + Παράρτημα.

Quézel P. 1967a. La vegetation des hauts sommets du Pinde et de l'Olympe de Thessale. Vegetatio XIV (1/4): 127-229. Quézel P. 1964. Vegetation des hautes montanges de la Grece meriodionale. Vegetatio XII (5/6): 289-385 + 33 Tables.

Βραχνάκης, Μ., Φωτιάδης, Γ., Καζόγλου, Ι. 2011. Τύποι Οικοτόπων Εθνικού Πάρκου Πρεσπών, Αναγνώριση – Καταγραφή 2011. Εταιρία Προστασίας Πρεσπών – ΤΕΙ Λάρισας, 104 σελ. + Παραρτήματα.

Τσιτούρα Π., Βραχνάκης Μ., Καζόγλου Ι., Φωτιάδης Γ., Χουβαρδάς Δ., Μπούσμπουρας Δ., Κώτσιος Λ., Ππαπορφυρίου Π., Σπυρίδης Α., Τσιριπίδης Ι., Κουταλού Β., Νασιάκου Σ., Γεωργάκη Δ., Ζαγαλίκης Γ., Κεσκιλίδου Κ., Κιγκας Ν. 2015. Οριστικό Διαχειριστικό Βόσκησης του Δήμου Πρεσπών. Έργο: «Ειδική Μελέτη διαχείρισγς της βόσκησης σε λιβαδικούς και δασικούς τύπους οικοτόπων στην περιοχή Ευθύνης του Φορέα Διαχείρισης Εθνικού Πάρκου

Πρεσπών». Περιφέρεια Δυτικής Μακεδονίας, Φορέας Διαχείρισης Εθνικού Πάρκου Πρεσπών, 204 σελ.

4. Range

4.1 Surface area	126,13
4.2 Short-term trend Period	2007-2018
4.3 Short-term trend Direction	Stable (0)
4.4 Short-term trend Magnitude	a) Minimum b) Maximum
4.5 Short-term trend Method used	Based mainly on extrapolation from a limited amount of data
4.6 Long-term trend Period	
4.7 Long-term trend Direction	
4.8 Long-term trend Magnitude	a) MInimum b) Maximum
4.9 Long-term trend Method used	Based mainly on extrapolation from a limited amount of data
4.10 Favourable reference range	a) Area (km²) b) Operator Approximately equal to (≈) c) Unknown Yes d) Method
4.11 Change and reason for change in surface area of range	No change The change is mainly due to:
4.12 Additional information	

5. Area covered by habitat

5.1 Year or period	2015-015-			
5.2 Surface area (in km²)	a) Minimum	b) Maximum	c) Best single value	64,3
5.3 Type of estimate	Minimum			
5.4 Surface area Method used	Based mainly on extrapolation from a limited amount of data			
5.5 Short-term trend Period	2007-2018			
5.6 Short-term trend Direction	Stable (0)			
5.7 Short-term trend Magnitude	a) Minimum	b) Maximum	c) Confidence interval	
5.8 Short-term trend Method used	Based mainly on extrapolation from a limited amount of data			
5.9 Long-term trend Period				
5.10 Long-term trend Direction				
5.11 Long-term trend Magnitude	a) Minimum	b) Maximum	c) Confidence interval	
5.12 Long-term trend Method used				
5.13 Favourable reference area	a) Area (km²) b) Operator Approximately equal to (≈) c) Unknown Yes d) Method			
5.14 Change and reason for change in surface area of range	No change The change is mainly due to:			
5.15 Additional information	The surface area of the habitat (5.2) is equal to the area of the habitat within the Natura 2000 network (pSCIs, SCIs and SACs) (11.1), as reported for the previous reporting period (2007-2013).			

Report on the main results of the surveillance under Article 17 for Annex I habitat types (Annex D)

6. Structure and functions

6.1 Condition of habitat	a) Area in good condition (km²)	Minimum	57,87	Maximum	57,87
	b) Area in not-good condition (km²)	Minimum	0	Maximum	0
	c) Area where condition is not known (km²)	Minimum	6,43	Maximum	6,43
6.2 Condition of habitat Method used	Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate				
6.3 Short-term trend of habitat area in good condition Period	20072018				
6.4 Short-term trend of habitat area in good condition Direction	Stable (0)				
6.5 Short-term trend of habitat area in good condition Method used	Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate				
6.6 Typical species	Has the list of typical species changed in comparison to the previous reporting period?		No		
6.7 Typical species Method used	Typical species were determined on the basis of a vegetation database, comprised of about 22000 sampling plots. First, a list of possible typical species was determined per habitat type, selecting the ones presenting a high fidelity value to the habitat types according the algorithm of Tsiripidis et al. (2009) and the phi coefficient value (Chytrý et al. 2002). Then typical species per habitat type were selected from the above-mentioned lists by expert judgment and using as criteria species niche breadth, their ability to comprise indicators of habitat types' conservation status and their function as keystone species. The nomenclature of the typical species follows Dimopoulos et al. (2013).ReferencesChytrý, M., Tichý, L., Holt, J. & Botta-Duká t, J. 2002. Determination of diagnostic species with statistical fidelity measures. Journal of Vegetation Science 13: 79–90.Dimopoulos, P., Raus, Th., Bergmeier, E., Constantinidis, Th., Iatrou, G., Kokkini, S., Strid, A. & Tzanoudakis, D. 2013: Vascular plants of Greece: an annotated checklist. – Berlin: Botanischer Garten und Botanisches Museum Berlin-Dahlem, Freie Universität Berlin; Athens: Hellenic Botanical Society. Englera 31: 1-367.Tsiripidis, I., Bergmeier, E., Fotiadis, G. & Dimopoulos, P. 2009. A new algorithm for the determination of differential taxa. Journal of Vegetation Science 20: 233-240.				
6.8 Additional information	Assumption: 90% of habitat area is estimated to be in good condition.				

7. Main pressures and threats

7.1 Characterisation of pressures/threats

Pressure	Ranking
Roads, paths, railroads and related infrastructure (e.g. bridges, viaducts, tunnels) (E01)	M
Natural succession resulting in species composition change (other than by direct changes of agricultural or forestry practices) (L02)	M
Dumping/depositing of inert materials from terrestrial extraction (C06)	M
Other human intrusions and disturbance not mentioned above (H08)	M

Threat	Ranking
Natural succession resulting in species composition change (other than by direct changes of agricultural or forestry practices) (L02)	M

7.2 Sources of information	PRESSURES: Based mainly on expert judgement and other data. THREATS: Based on expert opinion.
7.3 Additional information	

8. Conservation measures

8.1 Status of measures	a) Are measures needed?	No
	b) Indicate the status of measures	
8.2 Main purpose of the measures taken		
8.3 Location of the measures taken		
8.4 Response to the measures		
8.5 List of main conservation measures		

()

8.6 Additional information

9. Future prospects

9.1 Future prospects of parameters	a) Range	Good
	b) Area	Good
	c) Structure and functions	Good
9.2 Additional information		

10. Conclusions

10.1. Range	Favourable (FV)
10.2. Area	Favourable (FV)
10.3. Specific structure and functions (incl. typical species)	Favourable (FV)
10.4. Future prospects	Favourable (FV)
10.5 Overall assessment of Conservation Status	Favourable (FV)
10.6 Overall trend in Conservation Status	Stable (=)
10.7 Change and reasons for change in conservation status and conservation status trend	a) Overall assessment of conservation status No change The change is mainly due to: b) Overall trend in conservation status No change The change is mainly due to:

Report on the main results of the surveillance under Article 17 for Annex I habitat types (Annex D)

10.8 Additional information	

11. Natura 2000 (pSCIs, SCIs, SACs) coverage for Annex I habitat types

11.1 Surface area of the habitat type inside the pSCIs, SCIs and SACs network (in km² in biogeographical/ marine region)	a) Minimum b) Maximum c) Best single value 64,3
11.2 Type of estimate	Minimum
11.3 Surface area of the habitat type inside the network Method used	Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate
11.4 Short-term trend of habitat area in good condition within the network Direction	Stable (0)
11.5 Short-term trend of habitat area in good condition within network Method used	Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate
11.6 Additional information	The change in 11.1 (in comparison to the previous report) is due to the updated mapping datasets on terrestrial habitat types within the Natura 2000 network (pSCIs, SCIs and SACs), based on the most recent national project (results became available in 2018). As this project did not include the extended areas of the Natura 2000 sites, nor the newly proposed SCIs, the surface area reported is the minimum.

12. Complementary information

12.1 Justification of % thresholds for trends	
12.2 Other relevant information	