

Report on the main results of the surveillance under Article 17 for Annex I habitat types (Annex D)

NATIONAL LEVEL

1. General information

1.1 Member State	GR
1.2 Habitat code	3290 - Intermittently flowing Mediterranean rivers of the Paspalo-Agrostidion

2. Maps

2.1 Year or period	2015
2.3 Distribution map	Yes
2.3 Distribution map Method used	Based mainly on extrapolation from a limited amount of data
2.4 Additional maps	Yes

BIOGEOGRAPHICAL LEVEL

3. Biogeographical and marine regions

3.1 Biogeographical or marine region where the habitat occurs	Mediterranean (MED)
3.2 Sources of information	<p>Dimopoulos P., Xystrakis F. and Tsiripidis I. 2014. Deliverable A1. Final Catalogue of Habitat Types – 1st edition. Ministry of Environment, Energy and Climate Change, OIKOM Ltd - E. Alexandropoulou - A. Glavas, Athens, pages 54.</p> <p>Dimopoulos P., Fotiadis G., Tsiripidis I., Panitsa M. and Karadimou E. 2014. Deliverable A2. Report and Literature Database on Habitat Types of Greece – 1st edition. Ministry of Environment, Energy and Climate Change, OIKOM Ltd - E. Alexandropoulou - A. Glavas, Athens, pages 210.</p> <p>Tsiripidis I., Xystrakis F., Kasampalis D., Mastrogianni A., Strid A. and Dimopoulos P., 2014. Deliverable A4. Potential Distribution Maps of Habitat Types – 1st edition. Ministry of Environment, Energy and Climate Change, OIKOM Ltd - E. Alexandropoulou - A. Glavas, Athens, Athens, pages 176.</p> <p>Dimopoulos P., Tsiripidis I., Xystrakis F., Panitsa M., Fotiadis G., Kallimanis A.S. and Kazoglou I. 2014. Deliverable A6. Explanatory Implementation Manual for the Conservation Degree Assessment of Habitat Types – 1st edition. Ministry of Environment, Energy and Climate Change, OIKOM Ltd - E. Alexandropoulou - A. Glavas, Athens, pages 35. (with Annexes: I. Habitat types protocols, pages 600; II. Explanatory notes on the habitat types protocols selection, pages 4; III. Correspondence of Habitat types protocols with the clusters of vegetation relevés (excel file).</p> <p>Dimopoulos P., Tsiripidis I., Xystrakis F., Kallimanis A.S and Panitsa M. 2014. Deliverable A7. Preliminary Analysis of the Field Data for the Habitat Types – 1st edition. Ministry of Environment, Energy and Climate Change, OIKOM Ltd - E. Alexandropoulou - A. Glavas, Athens, pages 16.</p> <p>Dimopoulos P., Sýkora K.V., Gilissen C., Wiecherink D. & Georgiadis T. 2005. Vegetation ecology of Kalodiki fen (NW Greece). <i>Biologia/Bratislava</i> 60 (1): 69-82.</p> <p>Grandstein S.R. & Smittenberg J.H. 1977. The hydrophilus vegetation of western Crete. <i>Vegetatio</i> 34(2): 65-86.</p> <p>Θεοδωρόπουλος Κ. 2001. Ζώνες βλάστησης και τύποι οικοτόπων του νομού Θεσσαλονίκης. <i>Επιστ. Επετ. Τμημ. Δασολογίας & Φυσικού Περιβάλλοντος ΜΔ</i>: 353-381.</p> <p>Παπαστεργιάδου Σ.Ε. 1990. Φυτοκοινωνιολογική και Οικολογική μελέτη των υδρόβιων μακρόφυτων (υδρόφυτων), στη Βόρεια Ελλάδα. Διδακτορική Διατριβή. <i>Επιστ. Επετ. Τμημ. Βιολογίας της Σχολής Θετικών Επιστημών, ΑΠΘ.</i></p>

Report on the main results of the surveillance under Article 17 for Annex I habitat types (Annex D)

Παράρτημα Αρ. 24, σελ. 266 + Παράρτημα σελ. 69.

Σαρίκα-Χατζηνικολάου Μ. 1999. Χλωριδική και φυτοκοινωνιολογική έρευνα υδάτινων οικοσυστημάτων της Ηπείρου. Διδακτορική Διατριβή. Εθνικό και Καποδιστριακό Πανεπιστήμιο Αθηνών, σελ. 495 + 1 Πίνακας.

Sarika-Hatzinikolaou M., Yannitsaros A. & Babalonas D. 2003. The macrophytic vegetation of seven aquatic ecosystems of Epirus (NW Greece). *Phytocoenologia* 33(1): 93-151.

Σαρίκα-Χατζηνικολάου Μ., Μπαμπαλώνας Δ., Γιαννίτσαρος Α. 1998.

Φυτοκοινωνιολογική μελέτη της ελοφυτικής βλάστησης υδάτινων οικοσυστημάτων της Ηπείρου. Πρακτικά 7ου Πανελληνίου Επιστημονικού Συνεδρίου της Ελληνικής Βοτανικής Εταιρείας, Αλεξανδρούπολη, 1-4 Οκτωβρίου 1998: 134-141.

4. Range

4.1 Surface area	66,51
4.2 Short-term trend Period	2007-2018
4.3 Short-term trend Direction	Stable (0)
4.4 Short-term trend Magnitude	a) Minimum b) Maximum
4.5 Short-term trend Method used	Based mainly on extrapolation from a limited amount of data
4.6 Long-term trend Period	
4.7 Long-term trend Direction	
4.8 Long-term trend Magnitude	a) Minimum b) Maximum
4.9 Long-term trend Method used	Based mainly on extrapolation from a limited amount of data
4.10 Favourable reference range	a) Area (km ²) b) Operator Approximately equal to (≈) c) Unknown Yes d) Method
4.11 Change and reason for change in surface area of range	No change The change is mainly due to:
4.12 Additional information	

5. Area covered by habitat

5.1 Year or period	2015-015-
5.2 Surface area (in km ²)	a) Minimum b) Maximum c) Best single value 25,46
5.3 Type of estimate	Best estimate
5.4 Surface area Method used	Based mainly on extrapolation from a limited amount of data
5.5 Short-term trend Period	2007-2018
5.6 Short-term trend Direction	Stable (0)
5.7 Short-term trend Magnitude	a) Minimum b) Maximum c) Confidence interval
5.8 Short-term trend Method used	Based mainly on extrapolation from a limited amount of data
5.9 Long-term trend Period	
5.10 Long-term trend Direction	
5.11 Long-term trend Magnitude	a) Minimum b) Maximum c) Confidence interval
5.12 Long-term trend Method used	

Report on the main results of the surveillance under Article 17 for Annex I habitat types (Annex D)

5.13 Favourable reference area	a) Area (km ²)	
	b) Operator	Approximately equal to (≈)
	c) Unknown	Yes
	d) Method	
5.14 Change and reason for change in surface area of range	No change	
	The change is mainly due to:	

5.15 Additional information

6. Structure and functions

6.1 Condition of habitat	a) Area in good condition (km ²)	Minimum 0	Maximum 0
	b) Area in not-good condition (km ²)	Minimum 5,09	Maximum 5,09
	c) Area where condition is not known (km ²)	Minimum 20,37	Maximum 20,37

6.2 Condition of habitat Method used Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate

6.3 Short-term trend of habitat area in good condition Period 20072018

6.4 Short-term trend of habitat area in good condition Direction Unknown (x)

6.5 Short-term trend of habitat area in good condition Method used Insufficient or no data available

6.6 Typical species Has the list of typical species changed in comparison to the previous reporting period? No

6.7 Typical species Method used Typical species were determined on the basis of a vegetation database, comprised of about 22000 sampling plots. First, a list of possible typical species was determined per habitat type, selecting the ones presenting a high fidelity value to the habitat types according the algorithm of Tsiripidis et al. (2009) and the phi coefficient value (Chytrý et al. 2002). Then typical species per habitat type were selected from the above-mentioned lists by expert judgment and using as criteria species niche breadth, their ability to comprise indicators of habitat types' conservation status and their function as keystone species. The nomenclature of the typical species follows Dimopoulos et al. (2013). References Chytrý, M., Tichý, L., Holt, J. & Botta-Duká t, J. 2002. Determination of diagnostic species with statistical fidelity measures. *Journal of Vegetation Science* 13: 79–90. Dimopoulos, P., Raus, Th., Bergmeier, E., Constantinidis, Th., Iatrou, G., Kokkini, S., Strid, A. & Tzanoudakis, D. 2013: Vascular plants of Greece: an annotated checklist. – Berlin: Botanischer Garten und Botanisches Museum Berlin-Dahlem, Freie Universität Berlin; Athens: Hellenic Botanical Society. Englera 31: 1-367. Tsiripidis, I., Bergmeier, E., Fotiadis, G. & Dimopoulos, P. 2009. A new algorithm for the determination of differential taxa. *Journal of Vegetation Science* 20: 233-240..

6.8 Additional information Assumption: 20% of habitat area is estimated to be in not-good condition.

7. Main pressures and threats

7.1 Characterisation of pressures/threats

Pressure	Ranking
Mixed source pollution to surface and ground waters (limnic	M

Report on the main results of the surveillance under Article 17 for Annex I habitat types (Annex D)

and terrestrial) (J01)

Other invasive alien species (other than species of Union concern) (I02)	H
--	---

Drainage (K02)	H
----------------	---

Modification of hydrological flow or physical alteration of water bodies for agriculture (excluding development and operation of dams) (A33)	M
--	---

Conversion from one type of agricultural land use to another (excluding drainage and burning) (A02)	H
---	---

Intensive grazing or overgrazing by livestock (A09)	H
---	---

Threat	Ranking
--------	---------

Discharge of urban waste water (excluding storm overflows and/or urban run-offs) generating pollution to surface or ground water (F12)	M
--	---

Mixed source pollution to surface and ground waters (limnic and terrestrial) (J01)	H
--	---

Other invasive alien species (other than species of Union concern) (I02)	M
--	---

Drainage (K02)	H
----------------	---

Natural succession resulting in species composition change (other than by direct changes of agricultural or forestry practices) (L02)	H
---	---

Roads, paths, railroads and related infrastructure (e.g. bridges, viaducts, tunnels) (E01)	H
--	---

Hydropower (dams, weirs, run-off-the-river), including infrastructure (D02)	M
---	---

Mixed source soil pollution and solid waste (excluding discharges) (J04)	H
--	---

7.2 Sources of information

PRESSURES: Based mainly on expert judgement and other data.
THREATS: Based on expert opinion.

7.3 Additional information

8. Conservation measures

8.1 Status of measures

a) Are measures needed?	Yes
b) Indicate the status of measures	Measures identified, but none yet taken

8.2 Main purpose of the measures taken

8.3 Location of the measures taken

8.4 Response to the measures

8.5 List of main conservation measures

Reduce impact of mixed source pollution (CJ01)
--

Management, control or eradication of other invasive alien species (CI03)

Reduce impact of multi-purpose hydrological changes (CJ02)
--

Report on the main results of the surveillance under Article 17 for Annex I habitat types (Annex D)

Restore habitats impacted by multi-purpose hydrological changes (CJ03)

Manage drainage and irrigation operations and infrastructures in agriculture (CA15)

Prevent conversion of natural and semi-natural habitats, and habitats of species into agricultural land (CA01)

Recreate Annex I agricultural habitats (CA07)

Adapt mowing, grazing and other equivalent agricultural activities (CA05)

8.6 Additional information

9. Future prospects

9.1 Future prospects of parameters	a) Range	Good
	b) Area	Good
	c) Structure and functions	Poor

9.2 Additional information

10. Conclusions

10.1. Range	Favourable (FV)
10.2. Area	Favourable (FV)
10.3. Specific structure and functions (incl. typical species)	Unfavourable - Inadequate (U1)
10.4. Future prospects	Favourable (FV)
10.5 Overall assessment of Conservation Status	Unfavourable - Inadequate (U1)
10.6 Overall trend in Conservation Status	Stable (=)
10.7 Change and reasons for change in conservation status and conservation status trend	a) Overall assessment of conservation status
	Improved knowledge/more accurate data Use of different method
	The change is mainly due to: Improved knowledge/more accurate data
10.8 Additional information	b) Overall trend in conservation status
	No change
	The change is mainly due to:

11. Natura 2000 (pSCIs, SCIs, SACs) coverage for Annex I habitat types

11.1 Surface area of the habitat type inside the pSCIs, SCIs and SACs network (in km ² in biogeographical/marine region)	a) Minimum
	b) Maximum
	c) Best single value 3,95
11.2 Type of estimate	Minimum
11.3 Surface area of the habitat type inside the network Method used	Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate
11.4 Short-term trend of habitat area in good condition within the network Direction	Stable (0)

Report on the main results of the surveillance under Article 17 for Annex I habitat types (Annex D)

11.5 Short-term trend of habitat area in good condition within network Method used

Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate

11.6 Additional information

The change in 11.1 (in comparison to the previous report) is due to the updated mapping datasets on terrestrial habitat types within the Natura 2000 network (pSCIs, SCIs and SACs), based on the most recent national project (results became available in 2018). As this project did not include the extended areas of the Natura 2000 sites, nor the newly proposed SCIs, the surface area reported is the minimum.

12. Complementary information

12.1 Justification of % thresholds for trends

12.2 Other relevant information