

Report on the main results of the surveillance under Article 17 for Annex I habitat types (Annex D)

4.10 Favourable reference range

a) Area (km ²)	
b) Operator	Approximately equal to (≈)
c) Unknown	Yes
d) Method	

4.11 Change and reason for change in surface area of range

No change

The change is mainly due to:

4.12 Additional information

5. Area covered by habitat

5.1 Year or period

2015-015-

5.2 Surface area (in km²)

a) Minimum	b) Maximum	c) Best single value	0,05
------------	------------	----------------------	------

5.3 Type of estimate

Minimum

5.4 Surface area Method used

Based mainly on extrapolation from a limited amount of data

5.5 Short-term trend Period

2007-2018

5.6 Short-term trend Direction

Stable (0)

5.7 Short-term trend Magnitude

a) Minimum	b) Maximum	c) Confidence interval
------------	------------	------------------------

5.8 Short-term trend Method used

Based mainly on extrapolation from a limited amount of data

5.9 Long-term trend Period

5.10 Long-term trend Direction

5.11 Long-term trend Magnitude

a) Minimum	b) Maximum	c) Confidence interval
------------	------------	------------------------

5.12 Long-term trend Method used

5.13 Favourable reference area

a) Area (km ²)	
b) Operator	Approximately equal to (≈)
c) Unknown	Yes
d) Method	

5.14 Change and reason for change in surface area of range

No change

The change is mainly due to:

5.15 Additional information

The surface area of the habitat (5.2) is equal to the area of the habitat within the Natura 2000 network (pSCIs, SCIs and SACs) (11.1), as reported for the previous reporting period (2007-2013).

6. Structure and functions

6.1 Condition of habitat

a) Area in good condition (km ²)	Minimum 0,045	Maximum 0,045
b) Area in not-good condition (km ²)	Minimum 0	Maximum 0
c) Area where condition is not known (km ²)	Minimum 0,005	Maximum 0,005

6.2 Condition of habitat Method used

Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate

6.3 Short-term trend of habitat area in good condition Period

20072018

Report on the main results of the surveillance under Article 17 for Annex I habitat types (Annex D)

6.4 Short-term trend of habitat area in good condition Direction	Stable (0)
6.5 Short-term trend of habitat area in good condition Method used	Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate
6.6 Typical species	Has the list of typical species changed in comparison to the previous reporting period? No
6.7 Typical species Method used	Typical species were determined on the basis of a vegetation database, comprised of about 22000 sampling plots. First, a list of possible typical species was determined per habitat type, selecting the ones presenting a high fidelity value to the habitat types according the algorithm of Tsiripidis et al. (2009) and the phi coefficient value (Chytrý et al. 2002). Then typical species per habitat type were selected from the above-mentioned lists by expert judgment and using as criteria species niche breadth, their ability to comprise indicators of habitat types' conservation status and their function as keystone species. The nomenclature of the typical species follows Dimopoulos et al. (2013). References Chytrý, M., Tichý, L., Holt, J. & Botta-Dukát, J. 2002. Determination of diagnostic species with statistical fidelity measures. <i>Journal of Vegetation Science</i> 13: 79–90. Dimopoulos, P., Raus, Th., Bergmeier, E., Constantinidis, Th., Iatrou, G., Kokkini, S., Strid, A. & Tzanoudakis, D. 2013: Vascular plants of Greece: an annotated checklist. – Berlin: Botanischer Garten und Botanisches Museum Berlin-Dahlem, Freie Universität Berlin; Athens: Hellenic Botanical Society. Englera 31: 1-367. Tsiripidis, I., Bergmeier, E., Fotiadis, G. & Dimopoulos, P. 2009. A new algorithm for the determination of differential taxa. <i>Journal of Vegetation Science</i> 20: 233-240.
6.8 Additional information	Assumption: 90% of habitat area is estimated to be in good condition.

7. Main pressures and threats

7.1 Characterisation of pressures/threats

Pressure	Ranking
Sports, tourism and leisure activities (F07)	M
Creation or development of sports, tourism and leisure infrastructure (outside the urban or recreational areas) (F05)	M
Other invasive alien species (other than species of Union concern) (I02)	H
Threat	Ranking
Modification of hydrological flow or physical alteration of water bodies for agriculture (excluding development and operation of dams) (A33)	H
Creation or development of sports, tourism and leisure infrastructure (outside the urban or recreational areas) (F05)	M
Other invasive alien species (other than species of Union concern) (I02)	H

7.2 Sources of information PRESSURES: Based mainly on expert judgement and other data. THREATS: Based on expert opinion.

7.3 Additional information

8. Conservation measures

8.1 Status of measures a) Are measures needed? Yes

Report on the main results of the surveillance under Article 17 for Annex I habitat types (Annex D)

b) Indicate the status of measures Measures needed but cannot be identified

- 8.2 Main purpose of the measures taken
- 8.3 Location of the measures taken
- 8.4 Response to the measures
- 8.5 List of main conservation measures

()

8.6 Additional information

9. Future prospects

- | | | |
|------------------------------------|----------------------------|------|
| 9.1 Future prospects of parameters | a) Range | Good |
| | b) Area | Good |
| | c) Structure and functions | Good |

9.2 Additional information

10. Conclusions

- | | |
|---|---|
| 10.1. Range | Favourable (FV) |
| 10.2. Area | Favourable (FV) |
| 10.3. Specific structure and functions (incl. typical species) | Favourable (FV) |
| 10.4. Future prospects | Favourable (FV) |
| 10.5 Overall assessment of Conservation Status | Favourable (FV) |
| 10.6 Overall trend in Conservation Status | Stable (=) |
| 10.7 Change and reasons for change in conservation status and conservation status trend | a) Overall assessment of conservation status |
| | Improved knowledge/more accurate data
Use of different method |
| | The change is mainly due to: Improved knowledge/more accurate data |
| 10.8 Additional information | b) Overall trend in conservation status |
| | No change |
| | The change is mainly due to: |

11. Natura 2000 (pSCIs, SCIs, SACs) coverage for Annex I habitat types

- | | | |
|---|--|------|
| 11.1 Surface area of the habitat type inside the pSCIs, SCIs and SACs network (in km ² in biogeographical/marine region) | a) Minimum | |
| | b) Maximum | |
| | c) Best single value | 0,05 |
| 11.2 Type of estimate | Minimum | |
| 11.3 Surface area of the habitat type inside the network Method used | Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate | |

Report on the main results of the surveillance under Article 17 for Annex I habitat types (Annex D)

11.4 Short-term trend of habitat area in good condition within the network Direction

Stable (0)

11.5 Short-term trend of habitat area in good condition within network Method used

Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate

11.6 Additional information

The change in 11.1 (in comparison to the previous report) is due to the updated mapping datasets on terrestrial habitat types within the Natura 2000 network (pSCIs, SCIs and SACs), based on the most recent national project (results became available in 2018). As this project did not include the extended areas of the Natura 2000 sites, nor the newly proposed SCIs, the surface area reported is the minimum.

12. Complementary information

12.1 Justification of % thresholds for trends

12.2 Other relevant information