Report on the main results of the surveillance under Article 17 for Annex I habitat types (Annex D)

NATIONAL LEVEL

1. General information

1.1 Member State	**GR**
1.2 Habitat code	**3170 - Mediterranean temporary ponds**

2. Maps

2.1 Year or period	2015
2.3 Distribution map	Yes
2.3 Distribution map Method used	Based mainly on extrapolation from a limited amount of data
2.4 Additional maps	Yes

BIOGEOGRAPHICAL LEVEL

3. Biogeographical and marine regions

3.1 Biogeographical or marine region where the habitat occurs	**Mediterranean (MED)**
3.2 Sources of information	Dimopoulos P., Xystrakis F. and Tsiripidis I. 2014. Deliverable A1. Final Catalogue of Habitat Types – 1st edition. Ministry of Environment, Energy and Climate Change, OIKOM Ltd - E. Alexandropoulou - A. Glavas, Athens, pages 54. Dimopoulos P., Fotiadis G., Tsiripidis I., Panitsa M. and Karadimou E. 2014. Deliverable A2. Report and Literature Database on Habitat Types of Greece – 1st edition. Ministry of Environment, Energy and Climate Change, OIKOM Ltd - E. Alexandropoulou - A. Glavas, Athens, pages 210. Tsiripidis I., Xystrakis F., Kasampalis D., Mastrogianni A., Strid A. and Dimopoulos P., 2014. Deliverable A4. Potential Distribution Maps of Habitat Types – 1st edition. Ministry of Environment, Energy and Climate Change, OIKOM Ltd - E. Alexandropoulou - A. Glavas, Athens, Athens, pages 176. Dimopoulos P., Tsiripidis I., Xystrakis F., Panitsa M., Fotiadis G., Kallimanis A.S. and Kazoglou I. 2014. Deliverable A6. Explanatory Implementation Manual for the Conservation Degree Assessment of Habitat Types – 1st edition. Ministry of Environment, Energy and Climate Change, OIKOM Ltd - E. Alexandropoulou - A. Glavas, Athens, pages 35. (with Annexes: I. Habitat types protocols, pages 600; II. Explanatory notes on the habitat types protocols selection, pages 4; III. Correspondence of Habitat types protocols with the clusters of vegetation relevés (excel file). Dimopoulos P., Tsiripidis I., Xystrakis F., Kallimanis A.S and Panitsa M. 2014. Deliverable A7. Preliminary Analysis of the Field Data for the Habitat Types – 1st edition. Ministry of Environment, Energy and Climate Change, OIKOM Ltd - E. Alexandropoulou - A. Glavas, Athens, pages 16. Bergmeier E. & Raus T. 1999. Verbreitung und Einnischung von Arten der Isoëto-Nanojuncetea in Griechenland. – Mitt. Bad. Landesver. Naturkunde u. Naturschutz, N.F. 17(2): 463-479 Bergmeier E. 2001. Seasonal pools in the vegetation of Gavdos (Greece) - in situ conservation required. Bocconea 13: 511-516. Δεληπέτρου Π., Οικονομίδου Ε. & Τσιουρλής Γ. 1996. Η βιοποικιλότητα των νησιών του Αιγαίου όπως εκφράζεται από τους οικοτόπους και τα είδη της χλωρίδας που προστατεύονται από την οδηγία 92/43/ΕΟΚ στις προτεινόμενες περιοχές του δικτύου "Φύση 2000". Πρακτικά 6ου Επιστημονικού Συνεδρίου της Ελληνικής Βοτανικής Εταιρίας και της Βιολογικής Εταιρείας Κύπρου, Παραλίμνι Κύπρου, 6-11 Απριλίου 1996: 74-78. Καραγιάννη Π. 2009. Οικολογία των τύπων οικοτόπων της αποξηραμένης Λίμνης

Report on the main results of the surveillance under Article 17 for Annex I habitat types (Annex D)

Μουριάς. Μελέτη της χλωρίδας και βλάστησης και οικολογική διερεύνηση περιβαλλοντικών παραμέτρων στα πλαίσια προγράμματος πιλοτικού επαναπλημμυρισμού. Διδακτορική Διατριβή. Πανεπιστήμιο Πατρών, σελ. 234 + Παράρτημα.
Sarika M., Dimopoulos P. & Yannitsaros A. 2005. Contribution to the knowledge of the wetland flora and vegetation of Amvrakikos Gulf. W Greece. Willdenowia 35: 69-85.
Σαρίκα-Χατζηνικολάου Μ. 1999. Χλωριδική και φυτοκοινωνιολογική έρευνα υδάτινων οικοσυστημάτων της Ηπείρου. Διδακτορική Διατριβή. Εθνικό και Καποδιστριακό Πανεπιστήμιο Αθηνών. Σελ. 495 + 1 Πίνακας.
Sarika-Hatzinikolaou M., Yannitsaros A. & Babalonas D. 2003. The macrophytic vegetation of seven aquatic ecosystems of Epirus (NW Greece). Phytocoenologia 33(1): 93-151.
Σαρίκα-Χατζηνικολάου Μ., Μπαμπαλώνας Δ., & Γιαννίτσαρος Α. 1998. Φυτοκοινωνιολογική μελέτη της ελοφυτικής βλάστησης υδάτινων οικοσυστημάτων της Ηπείρου. Πρακτικά του 7ου Πανελλήνιου Επιστημονικού Συνεδρίου της Ελληνικής Βοτανικής Εταιρίας, Αλεξανδρούπολη, 1-4 Οκτωβρίου 1998: 134-141.
Stroh H.G. 2002. Untersuchungen zur Therophytenvegetation naturnaher und anthropogener Habitate in West-Thrakien/Griechenland mit Bemerkungen ze deren standrtlicher und ethnographischer Differenzierung. Forschungsbericht. Göttingen, pg. 35 + Annex + Tables.

4. Range

4.1 Surface area	335
4.2 Short-term trend Period	2007-2018
4.3 Short-term trend Direction	Stable (0)
4.4 Short-term trend Magnitude	a) Minimum b) Maximum
4.5 Short-term trend Method used	Based mainly on extrapolation from a limited amount of data
4.6 Long-term trend Period	
4.7 Long-term trend Direction	
4.8 Long-term trend Magnitude	a) MInimum b) Maximum
4.9 Long-term trend Method used	Based mainly on extrapolation from a limited amount of data
4.10 Favourable reference range	a) Area (km²) b) Operator Approximately equal to (≈) c) Unknown Yes d) Method
4.11 Change and reason for change in surface area of range	No change The change is mainly due to:
4.12 Additional information	

5. Area covered by habitat

5.1 Year or period	2015-015-			
5.2 Surface area (in km²)	a) Minimum	b) Maximum	c) Best single value	1,93
5.3 Type of estimate	Best estimate			
5.4 Surface area Method used	Based mainly on extrapolation from a limited amount of data			
5.5 Short-term trend Period	2007-2018			

Report on the main results of the surveillance under Article 17 for Annex I habitat types (Annex D)

5.6 Short-term trend Direction	Stable (0)		
5.7 Short-term trend Magnitude	a) Minimum	b) Maximum	c) Confidence interval
5.8 Short-term trend Method used	Based mainly on extrapolation from a limited amount of data		
5.9 Long-term trend Period			
5.10 Long-term trend Direction			
5.11 Long-term trend Magnitude	a) Minimum	b) Maximum	c) Confidence interval
5.12 Long-term trend Method used			
5.13 Favourable reference area	a) Area (km²)		
	b) Operator	Approximately equal to (≈)	
	c) Unknown	Yes	
	d) Method		
5.14 Change and reason for change in surface area of range	No change		
	The change is mainly due to:		
5.15 Additional information			

6. Structure and functions

6.1 Condition of habitat	a) Area in good condition (km²)	Minimum	1,74	Maximum	1,74
	b) Area in not-good condition (km²)	Minimum	0	Maximum	0
	c) Area where condition is not known (km²)	Minimum	0,19	Maximum	0,19
6.2 Condition of habitat Method used	Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate				
6.3 Short-term trend of habitat area in good condition Period	20072018				
6.4 Short-term trend of habitat area in good condition Direction	Stable (0)				
6.5 Short-term trend of habitat area in good condition Method used	Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate				
6.6 Typical species	Has the list of typical species changed in comparison to the previous reporting period?	No			
6.7 Typical species Method used	Typical species were determined on the basis of a vegetation database, comprised of about 22000 sampling plots. First, a list of possible typical species was determined per habitat type, selecting the ones presenting a high fidelity value to the habitat types according the algorithm of Tsiripidis et al. (2009) and the phi coefficient value (Chytrý et al. 2002). Then typical species per habitat type were selected from the above-mentioned lists by expert judgment and using as criteria species niche breadth, their ability to comprise indicators of habitat types' conservation status and their function as keystone species. The nomenclature of the typical species follows Dimopoulos et al. (2013). References Chytrý , M., Tichý , L., Holt, J. & Botta-Duká t, J. 2002. Determination of diagnostic species with statistical fidelity measures. Journal of Vegetation Science 13: 79–90. Dimopoulos, P., Raus, Th., Bergmeier, E., Constantinidis, Th., Iatrou, G., Kokkini, S., Strid, A. & Tzanoudakis, D. 2013: Vascular plants of Greece: an annotated checklist. – Berlin: Botanischer Garten und Botanisches Museum Berlin-Dahlem, Freie Universität Berlin; Athens: Hellenic Botanical Society. Englera 31: 1-367. Tsiripidis, I., Bergmeier, E., Fotiadis, G. & Dimopoulos, P. 2009.				

A new algorithm for the determination of differential taxa. Journal of Vegetation Science 20: 233-240.

6.8 Additional information

Assumption: 90% of habitat area is estimated to be in good condition.

7. Main pressures and threats

7.1 Characterisation of pressures/threats

Pressure	Ranking
Intensive grazing or overgrazing by livestock (A09)	M
Roads, paths, railroads and related infrastructure (e.g. bridges, viaducts, tunnels) (E01)	M
Discharge of urban waste water (excluding storm overflows and/or urban run-offs) generating pollution to surface or ground water (F12)	H
Drainage (K02)	M
Abiotic natural processes (e.g. erosion, silting up, drying out, submersion, salinization) (L01)	H
Drainage for use as agricultural land (A31)	M
Sports, tourism and leisure activities (F07)	M
Other invasive alien species (other then species of Union concern) (I02)	M
Interspecific relations (competition, predation, parasitism, pathogens) (L06)	M

Threat	Ranking
Roads, paths, railroads and related infrastructure (e.g. bridges, viaducts, tunnels) (E01)	M
Discharge of urban waste water (excluding storm overflows and/or urban run-offs) generating pollution to surface or ground water (F12)	H
Other human intrusions and disturbance not mentioned above (H08)	M
Drainage (K02)	M
Abiotic natural processes (e.g. erosion, silting up, drying out, submersion, salinization) (L01)	H
Droughts and decreases in precipitation due to climate change (N02)	H
Drainage for use as agricultural land (A31)	M
Sports, tourism and leisure activities (F07)	M
Mixed source pollution to surface and ground waters (limnic and terrestrial) (J01)	M
Other invasive alien species (other then species of Union concern) (I02)	M

7.2 Sources of information

PRESSURES: Based mainly on expert judgement and other data.
THREATS: Based on expert opinion.

7.3 Additional information

Report on the main results of the surveillance under Article 17 for Annex I habitat types (Annex D)

8. Conservation measures

8.1 Status of measures	a) Are measures needed?	Yes
	b) Indicate the status of measures	Measures needed but cannot be identified
8.2 Main purpose of the measures taken		
8.3 Location of the measures taken		
8.4 Response to the measures		
8.5 List of main conservation measures		

()

8.6 Additional information

9. Future prospects

9.1 Future prospects of parameters	a) Range	Good
	b) Area	Good
	c) Structure and functions	Good
9.2 Additional information		

10. Conclusions

10.1. Range	Favourable (FV)
10.2. Area	Favourable (FV)
10.3. Specific structure and functions (incl. typical species)	Favourable (FV)
10.4. Future prospects	Favourable (FV)
10.5 Overall assessment of Conservation Status	Favourable (FV)
10.6 Overall trend in Conservation Status	Stable (=)
10.7 Change and reasons for change in conservation status and conservation status trend	a) Overall assessment of conservation status Improved knowledge/more accurate data Use of different method The change is mainly due to: Improved knowledge/more accurate data b) Overall trend in conservation status No change The change is mainly due to:
10.8 Additional information	

11. Natura 2000 (pSCIs, SCIs, SACs) coverage for Annex I habitat types

11.1 Surface area of the habitat type inside the pSCIs, SCIs and SACs network (in km² in biogeographical/ marine region)	a) Minimum	
	b) Maximum	
	c) Best single value	1

Report on the main results of the surveillance under Article 17 for Annex I habitat types (Annex D)

11.2 Type of estimate	Minimum
11.3 Surface area of the habitat type inside the network Method used	Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate
11.4 Short-term trend of habitat area in good condition within the network Direction	Stable (0)
11.5 Short-term trend of habitat area in good condition within network Method used	Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate
11.6 Additional information	Habitat type for which either new Natura sites have been designated or former ones have been expanded to cover a bigger part of their surface area. The value in 11.1 does not include the habitat type's surface area inside the extensions/new areas.

12. Complementary information

12.1 Justification of % thresholds for trends	
12.2 Other relevant information	