

Report on the main results of the surveillance under Article 17 for Annex I habitat types (Annex D)

6.2 Condition of habitat Method used	Based mainly on expert opinion with very limited data
6.3 Short-term trend of habitat area in good condition Period	20072018
6.4 Short-term trend of habitat area in good condition Direction	Stable (0)
6.5 Short-term trend of habitat area in good condition Method used	Based mainly on expert opinion with very limited data
6.6 Typical species	Has the list of typical species changed in comparison to the previous reporting period? No
6.7 Typical species Method used	Typical species were determined on the basis of a vegetation database, comprised of about 22000 sampling plots. First, a list of possible typical species was determined per habitat type, selecting the ones presenting a high fidelity value to the habitat types according the algorithm of Tsiripidis et al. (2009) and the phi coefficient value (Chytrý et al. 2002). Then typical species per habitat type were selected from the above-mentioned lists by expert judgment and using as criteria species niche breadth, their ability to comprise indicators of habitat types' conservation status and their function as keystone species. The nomenclature of the typical species follows Dimopoulos et al. (2013). References Chytrý, M., Tichý, L., Holt, J. & Botta-Dukát, J. 2002. Determination of diagnostic species with statistical fidelity measures. <i>Journal of Vegetation Science</i> 13: 79–90. Dimopoulos, P., Raus, Th., Bergmeier, E., Constantinidis, Th., Iatrou, G., Kokkini, S., Strid, A. & Tzanoudakis, D. 2013: Vascular plants of Greece: an annotated checklist. – Berlin: Botanischer Garten und Botanisches Museum Berlin-Dahlem, Freie Universität Berlin; Athens: Hellenic Botanical Society. Englera 31: 1-367. Tsiripidis, I., Bergmeier, E., Fotiadis, G. & Dimopoulos, P. 2009. A new algorithm for the determination of differential taxa. <i>Journal of Vegetation Science</i> 20: 233-240.
6.8 Additional information	Assumption: 20% of habitat area is estimated to be in not-good condition.

7. Main pressures and threats

7.1 Characterisation of pressures/threats

Pressure	Ranking
Other invasive alien species (other than species of Union concern) (I02)	H
Interspecific relations (competition, predation, parasitism, pathogens) (L06)	M
Agriculture activities not referred to above (A36)	M
Threat	Ranking
Other invasive alien species (other than species of Union concern) (I02)	H

7.2 Sources of information
 PRESSURES: Based mainly on expert judgement and other data.
 THREATS: Based on expert opinion.

7.3 Additional information

8. Conservation measures

8.1 Status of measures
 a) Are measures needed? Yes

Report on the main results of the surveillance under Article 17 for Annex I habitat types (Annex D)

b) Indicate the status of measures Measures identified, but none yet taken

8.2 Main purpose of the measures taken

8.3 Location of the measures taken

8.4 Response to the measures

8.5 List of main conservation measures

Management, control or eradication of other invasive alien species (CI03)

Other measures related to natural processes (CL04)

8.6 Additional information

9. Future prospects

9.1 Future prospects of parameters

a) Range	Good
b) Area	Good
c) Structure and functions	Poor

9.2 Additional information

10. Conclusions

10.1. Range Favourable (FV)

10.2. Area Favourable (FV)

10.3. Specific structure and functions (incl. typical species) Unfavourable - Inadequate (U1)

10.4. Future prospects Favourable (FV)

10.5 Overall assessment of Conservation Status Unfavourable - Inadequate (U1)

10.6 Overall trend in Conservation Status Stable (=)

10.7 Change and reasons for change in conservation status and conservation status trend

a) Overall assessment of conservation status
Improved knowledge/more accurate data
Use of different method
The change is mainly due to: Improved knowledge/more accurate data

b) Overall trend in conservation status
Use of different method

The change is mainly due to: Use of different method

10.8 Additional information

11. Natura 2000 (pSCIs, SCIs, SACs) coverage for Annex I habitat types

11.1 Surface area of the habitat type inside the pSCIs, SCIs and SACs network (in km² in biogeographical/marine region)

a) Minimum	
b) Maximum	
c) Best single value	2,33

11.2 Type of estimate Minimum

Report on the main results of the surveillance under Article 17 for Annex I habitat types (Annex D)

11.3 Surface area of the habitat type inside the network Method used

Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate

11.4 Short-term trend of habitat area in good condition within the network Direction

Unknown (x)

11.5 Short-term trend of habitat area in good condition within network Method used

Insufficient or no data available

11.6 Additional information

The change in 11.1 (in comparison to the previous report) is due to the updated mapping datasets on terrestrial habitat types within the Natura 2000 network (pSCIs, SCIs and SACs), based on the most recent national project (results became available in 2018). As this project did not include the extended areas of the Natura 2000 sites, nor the newly proposed SCIs, the surface area reported is the minimum.

12. Complementary information

12.1 Justification of % thresholds for trends

12.2 Other relevant information