

Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 17 for annex I habitat types (Annex D)

CODE: 1510

NAME: Mediterranean salt steppes (Limonietalia)

1. National Level

1.1 Maps

1.1.1 Distribution Map	Yes
1.1.2 Distribution Method	Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)
1.1.3 Year or period	2006-2012
1.1.4 Additional map	No
1.1.5 Range Map	Yes

2. Biogeographical Or Marine Level

2.1 Biogeographical Region

2.2 Published

Mediterranean (MED)

Dimopoulos P., Xystrakis F. and Tsiripidis I. 2014. Deliverable A1. Final Catalogue of Habitat Types – 1st edition. Ministry of Environment, Energy and Climate Change, OIKOM Ltd - E. Alexandropoulou - A. Glavas, Athens, pages 54.

Dimopoulos P., Fotiadis G., Tsiripidis I., Panitsa M. and Karadimou E. 2014. Deliverable A2. Report and Literature Database on Habitat Types of Greece – 1st edition. Ministry of Environment, Energy and Climate Change, OIKOM Ltd - E. Alexandropoulou - A. Glavas, Athens, pages 210.

Tsiripidis I., Xystrakis F., Kasampalis D., Mastrogianni A., Strid A. and Dimopoulos P., 2014. Deliverable A4. Potential Distribution Maps of Habitat Types – 1st edition. Ministry of Environment, Energy and Climate Change, OIKOM Ltd - E. Alexandropoulou - A. Glavas, Athens, Athens, pages 176.

Dimopoulos P., Tsiripidis I., Xystrakis F., Panitsa M., Fotiadis G., Kallimanis A.S. and Kazoglou I. 2014. Deliverable A6. Explanatory Implementation Manual for the Conservation Degree Assessment of Habitat Types – 1st edition. Ministry of Environment, Energy and Climate Change, OIKOM Ltd - E. Alexandropoulou - A. Glavas, Athens, pages 35. (with Annexes: I. Habitat types protocols, pages 600; II. Explanatory notes on the habitat types protocols selection, pages 4; III. Correspondence of Habitat types protocols with the clusters of vegetation relevés (excel file).

Dimopoulos P., Tsiripidis I., Xystrakis F., Kallimanis A.S and Panitsa M. 2014. Deliverable A7. Preliminary Analysis of the Field Data for the Habitat Types – 1st edition. Ministry of Environment, Energy and Climate Change, OIKOM Ltd - E. Alexandropoulou - A. Glavas, Athens, pages 16.

Babalonas D., Sýkora K.V. & Papastergiadou E. 1995. Review of plant communities from Greek dunes and salt marshes. A preliminary summarizing list. *Ann. Bot. (Roma)* 53: 107-117.

Θεοδωρόπουλος Κ. 2001. Ζώνες βλάστησης και τύποι οικοτόπων του νομού Θεσσαλονίκης. *Επιστ. Επετ. Τμημ. Δασολογίας & Φυσικού Περιβάλλοντος ΜΔ*): 353-381.

Sýkora K.V., Babalonas D. & Papastergiadou E. 1998. An overview of the coastal vegetation of Greece based on multivariate analysis: Dunes. *Proceedings of the 1st Balkan Botanical Congress (Progress in Botanical Research)*, Thessaloniki 1998. Kluwer Academic Publishers, 149-152.

Sýkora K.V., Babalonas D. & Papastergiadou E. 2003. Strandline and sand-dune vegetation of coasts of Greece and some other Aegean countries.

Phytocoenologia 33(2-3): 409-446.

Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 17 for annex I habitat types (Annex D)

2.3 Range of the habitat type in the biogeographical region or marine region

2.3.1 Surface area - Range (km ²)	503
2.3.2 Range method used	Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)
2.3.3 Short-term trend period	2001-2012
2.3.4 Short-term trend direction	stable (0)
2.3.5 Short-term trend magnitude	min max
2.3.6 Long-term trend period	
2.3.7 Long-term trend direction	N/A
2.3.8 Long-term trend magnitude	min max
2.3.9 Favourable reference range	area (km ²) operator approximately equal to (≈) unkown No method
2.3.10 Reason for change	Improved knowledge/more accurate data Use of different method

2.4 Area covered by Habitat

2.4.1 Surface area (km ²)	6,02
2.4.2 Year or period	2006-2012
2.4.3 Method used	Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)
2.4.4 Short-term trend period	2001-2012
2.4.5 Short-term trend direction	stable (0)
2.4.6 Short-term trend magnitude	min max
2.4.7 Short term trend method used	Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)
2.4.8 Long-term trend period	
2.4.9 Long-term trend direction	N/A
2.4.10 Long-term trend magnitude	min max
2.4.11 Long term trend method used	N/A
2.4.12 Favourable reference area	area (km) operator more than (>) unknown No method
2.4.13 Reason for change	Improved knowledge/more accurate data Use of different method

2.5 Main Pressures

Pressure	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
grazing (A04)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Hunting and collection of wild animals (terrestrial) (F03)	low importance (L)	N/A
Other human intrusions and disturbances (G05)	low importance (L)	N/A

2.5.1 Method used – pressures mainly based on expert judgement and other data (2)

2.6 Main Threats

Threat	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
grazing (A04)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Hunting and collection of wild animals (terrestrial) (F03)	low importance (L)	N/A

Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 17 for annex I habitat types (Annex D)

2.6.1 Method used – threats expert opinion (1)

2.7 Complementary Information

2.7.1 Species

Aeluropus litoralis

Artemisia santonicum

Halimione portulacoides (syn: *Atriplex portulacoides*)

Bupleurum tenuissimum

Centaureum pulchellum

Centaureum spicatum

Elytrigia elongata (syn: *Elymus elongatus*)

Elytrigia scirpea (syn: *Elymus flaccidifolius*)

Hordeum marinum

Imperata cylindrica

Limbarda crithmoides (syn: *Inula crithmoides*)

Juncus bufonius

Juncus gerardi

Limonium bellidifolium

Limonium gmelinii

Limonium narbonense

Limonium virgatum

Lolium rigidum

Lotus preslii

Parapholis marginata

Plantago crassifolia

Polypogon maritimus

Polypogon monspeliensis

Puccinellia festuciformis

Sarcocornia fruticosa

Scabiosa atropurpurea (syn: *Sixalix atropurpurea*)

Spergularia rubra

Suaeda splendens

Tamarix tetrandra

Tragopogon dubius

2.7.2 Species method used

Typical species were determined on the basis of a vegetation database, comprised of about 22000 sampling plots. First, a list of possible typical species was determined per habitat type, selecting the ones presenting a high fidelity value to the habitat types according the algorithm of Tsiripidis et al. (2009) and the phi coefficient value (Chytrý et al. 2002). Then typical species per habitat type were selected from the above-mentioned lists by expert judgment and using as criteria species niche breadth, their ability to comprise indicators of habitat types' conservation status and their function as keystone species. The

Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 17 for annex I habitat types (Annex D)

nomenclature of the typical species follows Dimopoulos et al. (2013).

References

Chytrý, M., Tichý, L., Holt, J. & Botta-Dukat, J. 2002. Determination of diagnostic species with statistical fidelity measures. *Journal of Vegetation Science* 13: 79–90.

Dimopoulos, P., Raus, Th., Bergmeier, E., Constantinidis, Th., Iatrou, G., Kokkini, S., Strid, A. & Tzanoudakis, D. 2013: Vascular plants of Greece: an annotated checklist. – Berlin: Botanischer Garten und Botanisches Museum Berlin-Dahlem, Freie Universität Berlin; Athens: Hellenic Botanical Society. *Englera* 31: 1-367.

Tsiripidis, I., Bergmeier, E., Fotiadis, G. & Dimopoulos, P. 2009. A new algorithm for the determination of differential taxa. *Journal of Vegetation Science* 20: 233-240.

2.7.3 Justification of % - thresholds for trends

2.7.4 Structure and functions - methods used

2.7.5 Other relevant information

Complete survey/Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate (3)

2.8 Conclusions (assessment of conservation status at end of reporting period)

2.8.1 Range

assessment Favourable (FV)
qualifiers N/A

2.8.2 Area

assessment Inadequate (U1)
qualifiers stable (=)

2.8.3 Specific structures and functions (incl Species)

assessment Favourable (FV)
qualifiers N/A

2.8.4 Future prospects

assessment Inadequate (U1)
qualifiers stable (=)

2.8.5 Overall assessment of Conservation Status

Inadequate (U1)

2.8.5 Overall trend in Conservation Status

stable (=)

3. Natura 2000 coverage conservation measures - Annex I habitat types on biogeographical level

3.1 Area covered by habitat

3.1.1 Surface area (km²)

min 6,02 max 6,02

3.1.2 Method used

Complete survey/Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate (3)

3.1.3. Trend of surface area

N/A

3.2 Conversation Measures

3.2.1 Measure

3.2.2 Type

3.2.3 Ranking

3.2.4 Location

3.2.5 Broad Evaluation

Establish protected areas/sites (6.1)

Legal
Administrative
One-off

high importance
(H)

Inside

Enhance
Long term

Legal protection of habitats and species (6.3)

Legal

high importance
(H)

Both

Enhance
Long term