

Report on the main results of the surveillance under Article 11 for Annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

NATIONAL LEVEL

1. General information

1.1 Member State	GR
1.2 Species code	6937
1.3 Species scientific name	Podarcis gaigeae
1.4 Alternative species scientific name	
1.5 Common name (in national language)	

2. Maps

2.1 Sensitive species	No
2.2 Year or period	2015
2.3 Distribution map	Yes
2.4 Distribution map Method used	Based mainly on expert opinion with very limited data
2.5 Additional maps	No

3. Information related to Annex V Species (Art. 14)

3.1 Is the species taken in the wild/exploited?	No	
3.2 Which of the measures in Art. 14 have been taken?	a) regulations regarding access to property	No
	b) temporary or local prohibition of the taking of specimens in the wild and exploitation	No
	c) regulation of the periods and/or methods of taking specimens	No
	d) application of hunting and fishing rules which take account of the conservation of such populations	No
	e) establishment of a system of licences for taking specimens or of quotas	No
	f) regulation of the purchase, sale, offering for sale, keeping for sale or transport for sale of specimens	No
	g) breeding in captivity of animal species as well as artificial propagation of plant species	No
	h) other measures	No

Report on the main results of the surveillance under Article 11 for Annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

3.3 Hunting bag or quantity taken in the wild for Mammals and Acipenseridae (Fish)

a) Unit

b) Statistics/ quantity taken	Provide statistics/quantity per hunting season or per year (where season is not used) over the reporting period					
	Season/ year 1	Season/ year 2	Season/ year 3	Season/ year 4	Season/ year 5	Season/ year 6
Min. (raw, ie. not rounded)						
Max. (raw, ie. not rounded)						
Unknown	No	No	No	No	No	No

3.4. Hunting bag or quantity taken in the wild Method used

3.5. Additional information

BIOGEOGRAPHICAL LEVEL

4. Biogeographical and marine regions

4.1 Biogeographical or marine region where the species occurs

Mediterranean (MED)

4.2 Sources of information

- Elbers, Jean P. and Sabrina S. Taylor 2016. Major Histocompatibility Complex Polymorphism in Reptile Conservation. *Herp. Cons. Biol.* 11 (1).
- Gruber, U. 1986. *Podarcis gaigeae* - Skyros-Mauereidechse. In: Böhme, W. (ed.), *Handbuch der Reptilien und Amphibien Europas, Band 2/II., Echsen III (Podarcis)*. Aula-Verlag Wiesbaden, pp. 65-70.
- Kwet, A. 2010. *Reptilien und Amphibien Europas*. Kosmos-Verlag, Stuttgart, 252 pp.
- Kwet, Axel & Benny Trapp 2014. *Reptilien der griechischen Ägäisinseln*. *Draco* 15 (60): 58-65.
- Pafilis, P.; Meiri, S.; Sagonas, K.; Karakasi, D.; Kourelou, E.; Valakos, E.D. 2016. Body size affects digestive performance in a Mediterranean lizard *The Herpetological Journal* 26 (3): 199-205.
- Poulakakis, N., P. Lymberakis; E. Valakos; E. Zouros and M. Mylonas 2005. Phylogenetic relationships and biogeography of *Podarcis* species from the Balkan Peninsula, by bayesian and maximum likelihood analyses of mitochondrial DNA sequences. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* 37 (3): 845-857.
- POULAKAKIS, N.; P. LYMBERAKIS; E. VALAKOS; P. PAFILIS; E. ZOUROS and M. MYLONAS 2005. Phylogeography of Balkan wall lizard (*Podarcis taurica*) and its relatives inferred from mitochondrial DNA sequences. *Molecular Ecology* 14: 2433–2443.
- Psonis, N., Antoniou, A., Kukushkin, O., Jablonski, D., Petrov, B., Crnobrnja – Isailović, J., Sotiropoulos, K., Gherghel, I., Lymberakis, P., Poulakakis, N. 2016. Hidden diversity in the *Podarcis tauricus* (Sauria, Lacertidae) species subgroup in the light of multilocus phylogeny and species delimitation *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* 106: 6-17 [prnt version published 2017].

Report on the main results of the surveillance under Article 11 for Annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

6.6 Population size Method used Based mainly on extrapolation from a limited amount of data

6.7 Short-term trend Period 2007-2018

6.8 Short-term trend Direction Stable (0)

6.9 Short-term trend Magnitude
a) Minimum
b) Maximum
c) Confidence interval

6.10 Short-term trend Method used Based mainly on expert opinion with very limited data

6.11 Long-term trend Period

6.12 Long-term trend Direction

6.13 Long-term trend Magnitude
a) Minimum
b) Maximum
c) Confidence interval

6.14 Long-term trend Method used

6.15 Favourable reference population (using the unit in 6.2 or 6.4)
a) Population size
b) Operator Approximately equal to (\approx)
c) Unknown
d) Method

6.16 Change and reason for change in population size
No change
The change is mainly due to:

6.17 Additional information

7. Habitat for the species

7.1 Sufficiency of area and quality of occupied habitat
a) Are area and quality of occupied habitat sufficient (for long-term survival)? Yes
b) Is there a sufficiently large area of unoccupied habitat of suitable quality (for long-term survival)?

7.2 Sufficiency of area and quality of occupied habitat Method used Based mainly on expert opinion with very limited data

7.3 Short-term trend Period 2007-2018

7.4 Short-term trend Direction Stable (0)

7.5 Short-term trend Method used Based mainly on extrapolation from a limited amount of data

7.6 Long-term trend Period

7.7 Long-term trend Direction

7.8 Long-term trend Method used

7.9 Additional information

8. Main pressures and threats

8.1 Characterisation of pressures/threats

Pressure Ranking

Report on the main results of the surveillance under Article 11 for Annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

No pressures (Xxp)

Threat	Ranking
Fire (natural) (M09)	M

8.2 Sources of information THREATS. Based on expert opinion.

8.3 Additional information

9. Conservation measures

9.1 Status of measures a) Are measures needed? No
b) Indicate the status of measures

9.2 Main purpose of the measures taken

9.3 Location of the measures taken

9.4 Response to the measures

9.5 List of main conservation measures

9.6 Additional information

10. Future prospects

10.1 Future prospects of parameters a) Range Good
b) Population Good
c) Habitat of the species Good

10.2 Additional information

11. Conclusions

11.1. Range Favourable (FV)

11.2. Population Favourable (FV)

11.3. Habitat for the species Favourable (FV)

11.4. Future prospects Favourable (FV)

11.5 Overall assessment of Conservation Status Favourable (FV)

11.6 Overall trend in Conservation Status Stable (=)

11.7 Change and reasons for change in conservation status and conservation status trend a) Overall assessment of conservation status

No change

The change is mainly due to:

b) Overall trend in conservation status

No change

The change is mainly due to:

Report on the main results of the surveillance under Article 11 for Annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

11.8 Additional information

12. Natura 2000 (pSCIs, SCIs and SACs) coverage for Annex II species

12.1 Population size inside the pSCIs, SCIs and SACs network (on the biogeographical/marine level including all sites where the species is present)

- a) Unit
- b) Minimum
- c) Maximum
- d) Best single value

12.2 Type of estimate

12.3 Population size inside the network Method used

12.4 Short-term trend of population size within the network Direction

12.5 Short-term trend of population size within the network Method used

12.6 Additional information

13. Complementary information

13.1 Justification of % thresholds for trends

13.2 Trans-boundary assessment

13.3 Other relevant Information