

# Report on the main results of the surveillance under Article 11 for Annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

## NATIONAL LEVEL

### 1. General information

1.1 Member State	GR
1.2 Species code	5373
1.3 Species scientific name	Anatololacerta anatolica
1.4 Alternative species scientific name	Lacerta anatolica, Lacerta danfordi anatolica
1.5 Common name (in national language)	Savra tis Samou

### 2. Maps

2.1 Sensitive species	No
2.2 Year or period	2015
2.3 Distribution map	Yes
2.4 Distribution map Method used	Based mainly on expert opinion with very limited data
2.5 Additional maps	Yes

### 3. Information related to Annex V Species (Art. 14)

3.1 Is the species taken in the wild/exploited?	No	
3.2 Which of the measures in Art. 14 have been taken?	a) regulations regarding access to property	No
	b) temporary or local prohibition of the taking of specimens in the wild and exploitation	No
	c) regulation of the periods and/or methods of taking specimens	No
	d) application of hunting and fishing rules which take account of the conservation of such populations	No
	e) establishment of a system of licences for taking specimens or of quotas	No
	f) regulation of the purchase, sale, offering for sale, keeping for sale or transport for sale of specimens	No
	g) breeding in captivity of animal species as well as artificial propagation of plant species	No
	h) other measures	No

# Report on the main results of the surveillance under Article 11 for Annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

3.3 Hunting bag or quantity taken in the wild for Mammals and Acipenseridae (Fish)

a) Unit

b) Statistics/ quantity taken	Provide statistics/quantity per hunting season or per year (where season is not used) over the reporting period					
	Season/ year 1	Season/ year 2	Season/ year 3	Season/ year 4	Season/ year 5	Season/ year 6
Min. (raw, ie. not rounded)						
Max. (raw, ie. not rounded)						
Unknown	No	No	No	No	No	No

3.4. Hunting bag or quantity taken in the wild Method used

3.5. Additional information

## BIOGEOGRAPHICAL LEVEL

### 4. Biogeographical and marine regions

4.1 Biogeographical or marine region where the species occurs

**Mediterranean (MED)**

4.2 Sources of information

Ioannides, Y., Dimaki, M., Dimitropoulos, A. 1994. The Herpetofauna of Samos (Eastern Aegean, Greece). Ann. Musei Goulandris. 9: 445-446.  
Valakos, E.D., Pafilis, P., Sotiropoulos, K., Lymberakis, P., Maragou, P. & Foufopoulos, J. 2008. The amphibians and reptiles of Greece. Edition Chimaira. Pages 463.

### 5. Range

5.1 Surface area

475,11

5.2 Short-term trend Period

2007-2018

5.3 Short-term trend Direction

Stable (0)

5.4 Short-term trend Magnitude

a) Minimum

b) Maximum

5.5 Short-term trend Method used

Based mainly on expert opinion with very limited data

5.6 Long-term trend Period

5.7 Long-term trend Direction

5.8 Long-term trend Magnitude

a) Minimum

b) Maximum

5.9 Long-term trend Method used

5.10 Favourable reference range

a) Area (km<sup>2</sup>)

b) Operator

c) Unknown

d) Method

Approximately equal to (≈)

None of the known populations became extinct since 1994. FRV is the total of the range which excludes the

# Report on the main results of the surveillance under Article 11 for Annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

unfavorable altitude areas.

5.11 Change and reason for change in surface area of range

No change

The change is mainly due to:

5.12 Additional information

## 6. Population

6.1 Year or period

2015

6.2 Population size (in reporting unit)

a) Unit number of localities (localities)

b) Minimum

c) Maximum

d) Best single value 5

6.3 Type of estimate

Best estimate

6.4 Additional population size (using population unit other than reporting unit)

a) Unit

b) Minimum

c) Maximum

d) Best single value

6.5 Type of estimate

6.6 Population size Method used

Based mainly on expert opinion with very limited data

6.7 Short-term trend Period

2007-2018

6.8 Short-term trend Direction

Decreasing (-)

6.9 Short-term trend Magnitude

a) Minimum

b) Maximum

c) Confidence interval

6.10 Short-term trend Method used

Based mainly on expert opinion with very limited data

6.11 Long-term trend Period

6.12 Long-term trend Direction

6.13 Long-term trend Magnitude

a) Minimum

b) Maximum

c) Confidence interval

6.14 Long-term trend Method used

6.15 Favourable reference population (using the unit in 6.2 or 6.4)

a) Population size

b) Operator

More than (>)

c) Unknown

d) Method

There are no indications or reports of population decline or abnormal population structure. FRV has been set at the current population level based on the known viable island populations.

# Report on the main results of the surveillance under Article 11 for Annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

## 6.16 Change and reason for change in population size

Improved knowledge/more accurate data  
Use of different method

The change is mainly due to: Improved knowledge/more accurate data

## 6.17 Additional information

There are no adequate references or measurements regarding the population size or the population densities. Based on the available data an estimation of the population using as unit the number of individuals doesn't seem feasible at this stage.

## 7. Habitat for the species

### 7.1 Sufficiency of area and quality of occupied habitat

a) Are area and quality of occupied habitat sufficient (for long-term survival)? **Unknown**

b) Is there a sufficiently large area of unoccupied habitat of suitable quality (for long-term survival)?

### 7.2 Sufficiency of area and quality of occupied habitat Method used

Insufficient or no data available

### 7.3 Short-term trend Period

2007-2018

### 7.4 Short-term trend Direction

Decreasing (-)

### 7.5 Short-term trend Method used

Based mainly on expert opinion with very limited data

### 7.6 Long-term trend Period

### 7.7 Long-term trend Direction

### 7.8 Long-term trend Method used

### 7.9 Additional information

The surface area of the habitat is estimated at 253 km<sup>2</sup> and the quality is unknown. Species with restricted distribution. Few data (and observations) on the species exist.

## 8. Main pressures and threats

### 8.1 Characterisation of pressures/threats

Pressure	Ranking
Conversion from other land uses to housing, settlement or recreational areas (excluding drainage and modification of coastline, estuary and coastal conditions) (F01)	M
Construction or modification (e.g. of housing and settlements) in existing urban or recreational areas (F02)	M
Burning for agriculture (A11)	H
Threat	Ranking
Conversion from other land uses to housing, settlement or recreational areas (excluding drainage and modification of coastline, estuary and coastal conditions) (F01)	M
Construction or modification (e.g. of housing and settlements) in existing urban or recreational areas (F02)	M

# Report on the main results of the surveillance under Article 11 for Annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

Abandonment of grassland management (e.g. cessation of grazing or mowing) (A06) M

Burning for agriculture (A11) M

## 8.2 Sources of information

PRESSURES: Based mainly on expert judgement and other data.  
THREATS: Based on expert opinion.

## 8.3 Additional information

# 9. Conservation measures

## 9.1 Status of measures

a) Are measures needed? No

b) Indicate the status of measures

## 9.2 Main purpose of the measures taken

## 9.3 Location of the measures taken

## 9.4 Response to the measures

## 9.5 List of main conservation measures

()

## 9.6 Additional information

# 10. Future prospects

## 10.1 Future prospects of parameters

a) Range Good  
b) Population Poor  
c) Habitat of the species Unknown

## 10.2 Additional information

# 11. Conclusions

## 11.1. Range

Favourable (FV)

## 11.2. Population

Unfavourable - Inadequate (U1)

## 11.3. Habitat for the species

Unknown (XX)

## 11.4. Future prospects

Unfavourable - Inadequate (U1)

## 11.5 Overall assessment of Conservation Status

Unfavourable - Inadequate (U1)

## 11.6 Overall trend in Conservation Status

Deteriorating (-)

## 11.7 Change and reasons for change in conservation status and conservation status trend

a) Overall assessment of conservation status

Improved knowledge/more accurate data  
Use of different method

The change is mainly due to: Improved knowledge/more accurate data

b) Overall trend in conservation status

# Report on the main results of the surveillance under Article 11 for Annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

Improved knowledge/more accurate data

Use of different method

The change is mainly due to: Improved knowledge/more accurate data

## 11.8 Additional information

## 12. Natura 2000 (pSCIs, SCIs and SACs) coverage for Annex II species

12.1 Population size inside the pSCIs, SCIs and SACs network (on the biogeographical/marine level including all sites where the species is present)

- a) Unit
- b) Minimum
- c) Maximum
- d) Best single value

12.2 Type of estimate

12.3 Population size inside the network Method used

12.4 Short-term trend of population size within the network Direction

12.5 Short-term trend of population size within the network Method used

12.6 Additional information

## 13. Complementary information

13.1 Justification of % thresholds for trends

13.2 Trans-boundary assessment

13.3 Other relevant Information

The range estimations do not include unfavorable altitude areas.