Report on the main results of the surveillance under Article 11 for Annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

NATIONAL LEVEL

1. General information

1.1 Member State	**GR**
1.2 Species code	**1274**
1.3 Species scientific name	**Chalcides ocellatus**
1.4 Alternative species scientific name	
1.5 Common name (in national language)	Liakoni

2. Maps

2.1 Sensitive species	No
2.2 Year or period	2015
2.3 Distribution map	Yes
2.4 Distribution map Method used	Based mainly on extrapolation from a limited amount of data
2.5 Additional maps	Yes

3. Information related to Annex V Species (Art. 14)

3.1 Is the species taken in the wild/exploited?	No	
3.2 Which of the measures in Art. 14 have been taken?	a) regulations regarding access to property	No
	b) temporary or local prohibition of the taking of specimens in the wild and exploitation	No
	c) regulation of the periods and/or methods of taking specimens	No
	d) application of hunting and fishing rules which take account of the conservation of such populations	No
	e) establishment of a system of licences for taking specimens or of quotas	No
	f) regulation of the purchase, sale, offering for sale, keeping for sale or transport for sale of specimens	No
	g) breeding in captivity of animal species as well as artificial propagation of plant species	No
	h) other measures	No

Report on the main results of the surveillance under Article 11 for Annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

3.3 Hunting bag or quantity taken in the wild for Mammals and Acipenseridae (Fish)

a) Unit

b) Statistics/ quantity taken	Provide statistics/quantity per hunting season or per year (where season is not used) over the reporting period					
	Season/ year 1	Season/ year 2	Season/ year 3	Season/ year 4	Season/ year 5	Season/ year 6
Min. (raw, ie. not rounded)						
Max. (raw, ie. not rounded)						
Unknown	No	No	No	No	No	No

3.4. Hunting bag or quantity taken in the wild Method used

3.5. Additional information

BIOGEOGRAPHICAL LEVEL

4. Biogeographical and marine regions

4.1 Biogeographical or marine region where the species occurs

Mediterranean (MED)

4.2 Sources of information

Baha El Din S. (2006). A guide to the Reptiles and Amphibians of Egypt. The American university in Cairo press. Cairo. New York.
Bons J. & Geniez P. (1996). Amphibiens et Reptiles du Maroc (Sahara Occidental compris) Atlas Bioge´ographique.Asociacion Herpetologica Espanola, Barcelona, Spain
Caputo V., Guarino F.M. & Baldanza F. (1997). A new finding of the skink, Chalcides ocellatus in the ex royal garden of Portici (Naples, Italy). Bol. Asoc. Herpetol. Esp. 8:3-4.
Caputo V. & Lanza B. (1992). The identity of the Santorini skink, Chalcides moseri Ahl, 1937 (Squamata, Scincidae). Amphibia - Reptilia vol. 13, n 2, pp. 202-207.
Caputo V., Odierna G. & Aprea G. (1993a). Karyological comparison of Sphenops sepsoides, Chalcides chalcides and C. ocellatus (Reptilia, Scincidae): taxonomic implications. Copeia. (4): 1180-1184.
Caputo V., Sorice M. & Crescimbeni L. (1999). A molecular taxonomy of some Mediterranean scincid lizards, genus Chalcides Laurenti 1768 (Reptilia: Scincidae). Russian Journal of Herpetology. 6, 23–32.
Carranza, S., Arnold, E.N., Geniez, Ph., Roca, J.L., Mateo, J.A., 2008. Radiation, multiple dispersal and parallelism in Moroccan skinks, Chalcides and Sphenops (Squamata: Scincidae), with comments on Scincus and Scincopus and the age of the Sahara Desert. Mol. Phylogenet. Evol. 46, 1071–1094.
Dimitropoulos, A., Ioannidis, I. 2002. Reptiles of Greece and Cyprus. Goulandris Natural History Museum / KOAN publications, Athens 2002. 275 pages (in Greek).
Gasc, J.P., Cabela, A., Crnobrnja-Isailovic, J., Dolmen, D., Grossenbacher, K., Haffner, P., Lescure, J., Martens, H., Martínez Rica, J.P., Maurin, H., Oliveira. M.E., Sofianidou, T.S., Veith, M., Zuiderwijk, A. (Eds.). 1997. Atlas of Amphibians and

Reptiles in Europe. Societas Europaea Herpetologica & Muséum National d' Histoire Naturelle (IEGB/SPN). Paris : 496 p.
Greer A.E., Caputo V., Lanza B. & Palmieri R. (1998). Observations on limb reduction in the scincid lizard Genus Chalcides. J. Herpetol. 32(2):244-252.
Grillitsch, H., Tiedemann, F. 1984. Zur Herpetofauna der griechischen Inseln Kea, Spanopoula, Kithnos, Sifnos, Kitriani (Cycladen), Alonissos und Piperi (Nordliche Sporaden). Ann. Naturhist. Mus. Wien. 86 (B): 7-28.
Hingley, K.J., Castle, P. 1991. Notes on Greek herpetofauna, with emphasis on the Cyclades. Herptile 16: 75-80. Kornilios, P., Kyriazi, P., Poulakakis, N., Kumlutas, Y., Ilgaz, H., Mylonas, M., Lymberakis, P., 2010. Phylogeography of the ocellated skink Chalcides ocellatus (Squamata, Scincidae), with the use of mtDNA sequences: a hitch-hiker's guide to the Mediterranean. Mol. Phylogenet. Evol. 54, 445–456.
Mateo J., Geniez P. & Bons J. (1995). Saurians of the genus Chalcides Laurenti 1768 (Reptilia, Scincidae) in Morocco, I: review and distribution. Revista Espa.ola de Herpetologa, 9, 7-36.
Mellado, V.P., Valakos, E.D., Gill, M.J., Guerrero, F., Lulch, J., Navarro, P., Maragou, P. 1999. Herpetological Notes from Mainland and Insular Greece. British Herpetological Society Bulletin. 67: 33-38.
Pasteur G. (1981). A survey of the species groups of the Old World scincid genus Chalcides. Journal of Herpetology. 15:1–16.
Schleich H.H., Kastle W. & Kabisch K. (1996). Amphibians and Reptiles of North Africa: Biology, Systematics, Field Guide. Koeltz Scientific Books, Koenigstein, Germany.
Schneider B. (1981). Chalcides ocellatus Forsskal 1775 – Walzenskink.-In: Bohme, W. (ed.): Handbuch der Reptilien und Amphibien Europas. Band 1, Echsen (Sauria) I. Wiesbaden (Akad. Verlagsgesellschaft) 338-354.
Uetz, P. (2014, February). The Reptile Database. Available via: http://www.reptile-database.org.
Valakos, E.D., Pafilis, P., Sotiropoulos, K., Lymberakis, P., Maragou, P., Foufopoulos, J., 2008. The Amphibians and Reptiles of Greece, Edition Chimaira, Frankfurt.

5. Range

5.1 Surface area	35079,32	
5.2 Short-term trend Period	2007-2018	
5.3 Short-term trend Direction	Stable (0)	
5.4 Short-term trend Magnitude	a) Minimum	b) Maximum
5.5 Short-term trend Method used	Based mainly on extrapolation from a limited amount of data	
5.6 Long-term trend Period		
5.7 Long-term trend Direction		
5.8 Long-term trend Magnitude	a) Minimum	b) Maximum
5.9 Long-term trend Method used		
5.10 Favourable reference range	a) Area (km²)	
	b) Operator	Approximately equal to (≈)
	c) Unknown	
	d) Method	A wide ranging species. None of the known populations became extinct since 1994. FRV is the total of the range which excludes the unfavorable altitude areas.

Report on the main results of the surveillance under Article 11 for Annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

5.11 Change and reason for change in surface area of range	Improved knowledge/more accurate data Use of different method	
	The change is mainly due to:	Improved knowledge/more accurate data
5.12 Additional information		

6. Population

6.1 Year or period	2015	
6.2 Population size (in reporting unit)	a) Unit	number of map 1x1 km grid cells (grids1x1)
	b) Minimum	2579
	c) Maximum	3348
	d) Best single value	
6.3 Type of estimate	Best estimate	
6.4 Additional population size (using population unit other than reporting unit)	a) Unit	
	b) Minimum	
	c) Maximum	
	d) Best single value	
6.5 Type of estimate		
6.6 Population size Method used	Based mainly on extrapolation from a limited amount of data	
6.7 Short-term trend Period	2007-2018	
6.8 Short-term trend Direction	Stable (0)	
6.9 Short-term trend Magnitude	a) Minimum	
	b) Maximum	
	c) Confidence interval	
6.10 Short-term trend Method used	Based mainly on expert opinion with very limited data	
6.11 Long-term trend Period		
6.12 Long-term trend Direction		
6.13 Long-term trend Magnitude	a) Minimum	
	b) Maximum	
	c) Confidence interval	
6.14 Long-term trend Method used		
6.15 Favourable reference population (using the unit in 6.2 or 6.4)	a) Population size	
	b) Operator	Approximately equal to (≈)
	c) Unknown	
	d) Method	There are no indications or reports of population decline or abnormal population structure. FRV has been set at the current population level.
6.16 Change and reason for change in population size	Improved knowledge/more accurate data Use of different method	

The change is mainly due to:	Improved knowledge/more accurate data
6.17 Additional information	There are no adequate references or measurements regarding the population size or the population densities. Based on the available data an estimation of the population using as unit the number of individuals doesn't seem feasible at this stage.

7. Habitat for the species

7.1 Sufficiency of area and quality of occupied habitat	a) Are area and quality of occupied habitat sufficient (for long-term survival)?	Yes
	b) Is there a sufficiently large area of unoccupied habitat of suitable quality (for long-term survival)?	
7.2 Sufficiency of area and quality of occupied habitat Method used	Based mainly on extrapolation from a limited amount of data	
7.3 Short-term trend Period	2007-2018	
7.4 Short-term trend Direction	Stable (0)	
7.5 Short-term trend Method used	Based mainly on extrapolation from a limited amount of data	
7.6 Long-term trend Period		
7.7 Long-term trend Direction		
7.8 Long-term trend Method used		
7.9 Additional information	The surface area of the habitat is estimated at 3348 km2 and its quality is good. The area of suitable habitat is 8376 km2. A generalist species. Random surveys have been conducted in the distribution areas.	

8. Main pressures and threats

8.1 Characterisation of pressures/threats

Pressure	Ranking
Conversion from other land uses to housing, settlement or recreational areas (excluding drainage and modification of coastline, estuary and coastal conditions) (F01)	M

Threat	Ranking
Conversion from other land uses to housing, settlement or recreational areas (excluding drainage and modification of coastline, estuary and coastal conditions) (F01)	M

8.2 Sources of information	PRESSURES: Based mainly on expert judgement and other data. THREATS: Based on expert opinion.
8.3 Additional information	

9. Conservation measures

9.1 Status of measures	a) Are measures needed?	No
	b) Indicate the status of measures	

Report on the main results of the surveillance under Article 11 for Annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

9.2 Main purpose of the measures taken

9.3 Location of the measures taken

9.4 Response to the measures

9.5 List of main conservation measures

()

9.6 Additional information

10. Future prospects

10.1 Future prospects of parameters	a) Range	Good
	b) Population	Good
	c) Habitat of the species	Good

10.2 Additional information

11. Conclusions

11.1. Range	Favourable (FV)
11.2. Population	Favourable (FV)
11.3. Habitat for the species	Favourable (FV)
11.4. Future prospects	Favourable (FV)
11.5 Overall assessment of Conservation Status	Favourable (FV)
11.6 Overall trend in Conservation Status	Stable (=)
11.7 Change and reasons for change in conservation status and conservation status trend	a) Overall assessment of conservation status No change The change is mainly due to: b) Overall trend in conservation status No change The change is mainly due to:

11.8 Additional information

12. Natura 2000 (pSCIs, SCIs and SACs) coverage for Annex II species

12.1 Population size inside the pSCIs, SCIs and SACs network (on the biogeographical/marine level including all sites where the species is present)	a) Unit b) Minimum c) Maximum d) Best single value
12.2 Type of estimate	

Report on the main results of the surveillance under Article 11 for Annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

12.3 Population size inside the network Method used	
12.4 Short-term trend of population size within the network Direction	
12.5 Short-term trend of population size within the network Method used	
12.6 Additional information	

13. Complementary information

13.1 Justification of % thresholds for trends	
13.2 Trans-boundary assessment	
13.3 Other relevant Information	The range estimations do not include unfavorable altitude areas.