

Report on the main results of the surveillance under Article 11 for Annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

NATIONAL LEVEL

1. General information

1.1 Member State	GR
1.2 Species code	5342
1.3 Species scientific name	<i>Rutilus prespensis</i>
1.4 Alternative species scientific name	
1.5 Common name (in national language)	Platika Prespas

2. Maps

2.1 Sensitive species	No
2.2 Year or period	2015
2.3 Distribution map	Yes
2.4 Distribution map Method used	Based mainly on extrapolation from a limited amount of data
2.5 Additional maps	Yes

3. Information related to Annex V Species (Art. 14)

3.1 Is the species taken in the wild/exploited?	No	
3.2 Which of the measures in Art. 14 have been taken?	a) regulations regarding access to property	No
	b) temporary or local prohibition of the taking of specimens in the wild and exploitation	No
	c) regulation of the periods and/or methods of taking specimens	No
	d) application of hunting and fishing rules which take account of the conservation of such populations	No
	e) establishment of a system of licences for taking specimens or of quotas	No
	f) regulation of the purchase, sale, offering for sale, keeping for sale or transport for sale of specimens	No
	g) breeding in captivity of animal species as well as artificial propagation of plant species	No
	h) other measures	No

Report on the main results of the surveillance under Article 11 for Annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

3.3 Hunting bag or quantity taken in the wild for Mammals and Acipenseridae (Fish)

a) Unit

b) Statistics/ quantity taken	Provide statistics/quantity per hunting season or per year (where season is not used) over the reporting period					
	Season/ year 1	Season/ year 2	Season/ year 3	Season/ year 4	Season/ year 5	Season/ year 6
Min. (raw, ie. not rounded)						
Max. (raw, ie. not rounded)						
Unknown	No	No	No	No	No	No

3.4. Hunting bag or quantity taken in the wild Method used

3.5. Additional information

BIOGEOGRAPHICAL LEVEL

4. Biogeographical and marine regions

4.1 Biogeographical or marine region where the species occurs

Mediterranean (MED)

4.2 Sources of information

Crivelli, A.J. 2010. Pilot Application of the Transboundary Monitoring System for the Prespa Park: Fish and Fisheries Monitoring, Final Report, Society for the Protection of Prespa – Tour du Valata, Aghios Germanos.

Koutseri, I. 2012. Saving Gish Biodiversity in the Prespa Basin. Society for the Protection of Prespa. LIFE09 INF/GR/319, e-publication.

Perennou, C., Gletsos, M., Chauvelon, P., Crivelli, A., DeCoursey, M., Dokulil, M., Grillas, P., Grovel, R. and A. Sandoz. 2009. Development of a Transboundary Monitoring System for the Prespa Park Area, Aghios Germanos, Greece, November 2009, 381pp.

5. Range

5.1 Surface area

81

5.2 Short-term trend Period

2007-2018

5.3 Short-term trend Direction

Stable (0)

5.4 Short-term trend Magnitude

a) Minimum

b) Maximum

5.5 Short-term trend Method used

Based mainly on extrapolation from a limited amount of data

5.6 Long-term trend Period

5.7 Long-term trend Direction

5.8 Long-term trend Magnitude

a) Minimum

b) Maximum

Report on the main results of the surveillance under Article 11 for Annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

5.9 Long-term trend Method used

5.10 Favourable reference range

- a) Area (km²)
- b) Operator Approximately equal to (≈)
- c) Unknown
- d) Method Value equal to the surface area of the Greek part of the two Lakes (Mikri and Megali Prespa) = 81km²

5.11 Change and reason for change in surface area of range

- No change
- The change is mainly due to:

5.12 Additional information

6. Population

6.1 Year or period

2015

6.2 Population size (in reporting unit)

- a) Unit area covered by population in m² (area)
- b) Minimum
- c) Maximum
- d) Best single value 81000000

6.3 Type of estimate

Best estimate

6.4 Additional population size (using population unit other than reporting unit)

- a) Unit
- b) Minimum
- c) Maximum
- d) Best single value

6.5 Type of estimate

6.6 Population size Method used

Based mainly on extrapolation from a limited amount of data

6.7 Short-term trend Period

2007-2018

6.8 Short-term trend Direction

Stable (0)

6.9 Short-term trend Magnitude

- a) Minimum
- b) Maximum
- c) Confidence interval

6.10 Short-term trend Method used

Based mainly on extrapolation from a limited amount of data

6.11 Long-term trend Period

6.12 Long-term trend Direction

6.13 Long-term trend Magnitude

- a) Minimum
- b) Maximum
- c) Confidence interval

6.14 Long-term trend Method used

6.15 Favourable reference population (using the unit in 6.2 or 6.4)

- a) Population size
- b) Operator Approximately equal to (≈)
- c) Unknown

Report on the main results of the surveillance under Article 11 for Annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

d) Method

Value equal to the surface area of the Greek part of the two Lakes (Mikri and Megali Prespa) = 81km²

6.16 Change and reason for change in population size

No change

The change is mainly due to:

6.17 Additional information

7. Habitat for the species

7.1 Sufficiency of area and quality of occupied habitat

a) Are area and quality of occupied habitat sufficient (for long-term survival)? No

b) Is there a sufficiently large area of unoccupied habitat of suitable quality (for long-term survival)? No

7.2 Sufficiency of area and quality of occupied habitat Method used

Based mainly on extrapolation from a limited amount of data

7.3 Short-term trend Period

2007-2018

7.4 Short-term trend Direction

Stable (0)

7.5 Short-term trend Method used

Based mainly on extrapolation from a limited amount of data

7.6 Long-term trend Period

7.7 Long-term trend Direction

7.8 Long-term trend Method used

7.9 Additional information

The surface area of the habitat is estimated at 81 km² and its quality is moderate

8. Main pressures and threats

8.1 Characterisation of pressures/threats

Pressure	Ranking
Other invasive alien species (other than species of Union concern) (I02)	H
Conversion into agricultural land (excluding drainage and burning) (A01)	M
Threats and pressures from outside the EU territory (Xe)	M
Invasive alien species of Union concern (I01)	M
Application of natural fertilisers on agricultural land (A19)	M
Application of synthetic (mineral) fertilisers on agricultural land (A20)	H
Irrigation of agricultural land (A18)	M
Threat	Ranking
Other invasive alien species (other than species of Union concern) (I02)	H
Threats and pressures from outside the EU territory (Xe)	M
Application of natural fertilisers on agricultural land (A19)	M

Report on the main results of the surveillance under Article 11 for Annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

Application of synthetic (mineral) fertilisers on agricultural land (A20) H

Irrigation of agricultural land (A18) M

Agricultural activities generating diffuse pollution to surface or ground waters (A26) H

Invasive alien species of Union concern (I01) M

8.2 Sources of information PRESSURES: Mainly based on expert judgement and other data.
THREATS: Based on expert opinion.

8.3 Additional information IAS (I02): *Gambusia holbrooki*

9. Conservation measures

9.1 Status of measures

a) Are measures needed? Yes

b) Indicate the status of measures Measures identified and taken

9.2 Main purpose of the measures taken Maintain the current range, population and/or habitat for the species

9.3 Location of the measures taken Only inside Natura 2000

9.4 Response to the measures Medium-term results (within the next two reporting periods, 2019-2030)

9.5 List of main conservation measures

Prevent conversion of natural and semi-natural habitats, and habitats of species into agricultural land (CA01)

Reduce diffuse pollution to surface or ground waters from agricultural activities (CA11)

Adopt climate change mitigation measures (CN01)

Implement climate change adaptation measures (CN02)

Support conservation measures in countries outside the EU (CX02)

Improvement of habitat of species from the directives (CS03)

Management, control or eradication of established invasive alien species of Union concern (CI02)

Management, control or eradication of other invasive alien species (CI03)

Manage the use of natural fertilisers and chemicals in agricultural (plant and animal) production (CA09)

Manage drainage and irrigation operations and infrastructures in agriculture (CA15)

9.6 Additional information

10. Future prospects

10.1 Future prospects of parameters

a) Range Good

b) Population Good

c) Habitat of the species Poor

10.2 Additional information

11. Conclusions

11.1. Range Favourable (FV)

Report on the main results of the surveillance under Article 11 for Annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

11.2. Population	Favourable (FV)
11.3. Habitat for the species	Unfavourable - Inadequate (U1)
11.4. Future prospects	Unfavourable - Inadequate (U1)
11.5 Overall assessment of Conservation Status	Unfavourable - Inadequate (U1)
11.6 Overall trend in Conservation Status	Stable (=)
11.7 Change and reasons for change in conservation status and conservation status trend	a) Overall assessment of conservation status No change The change is mainly due to: b) Overall trend in conservation status Use of different method The change is mainly due to: Use of different method
11.8 Additional information	

12. Natura 2000 (pSCIs, SCIs and SACs) coverage for Annex II species

12.1 Population size inside the pSCIs, SCIs and SACs network (on the biogeographical/marine level including all sites where the species is present)	a) Unit area covered by population in m2 (area) b) Minimum c) Maximum d) Best single value 81000000
12.2 Type of estimate	Best estimate
12.3 Population size inside the network Method used	Based mainly on extrapolation from a limited amount of data
12.4 Short-term trend of population size within the network Direction	Stable (0)
12.5 Short-term trend of population size within the network Method used	Based mainly on extrapolation from a limited amount of data
12.6 Additional information	

13. Complementary information

13.1 Justification of % thresholds for trends	The % threshold could not be used for the assessment since: a) a different method for assessing range was employed, compared to the 2nd Reporting Period or b) no data were reported in the 2nd Reporting Period
13.2 Trans-boundary assessment	A joint assessment of fish species in Greece, Albania and the FYROM (only Greece is a member-state) has shown that <i>Rutilus prespensis</i> range and distribution extends in all littoral countries and that it is one of the two most abundant species of the lakes (together with <i>Alburnus belvica</i>). The assessment was carried out by calculating CPUEs, following the application of the fish monitoring methods described in the

Report on the main results of the surveillance under Article 11 for Annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

“Transboundary Monitoring System of the Prespa Park”.

13.3 Other relevant Information

Changes in trophic status of the lake, combined with extensive droughts, may exert pressure to the population of the species.

The species is also found within both lakes in the other two littoral countries – Albania & FYROM, so the full range of the species extends in 307 m².