

Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 11 for annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

0.1 Member State	GR
0.2.1 Species code	5373
0.2.2 Species name	Anatololacerta anatolica
0.2.3 Alternative species scientific name	Lacerta anatolica, Lacerta danfordi anatolica
0.2.4 Common name	Savra tis Samou

1. National Level

1.1 Maps

1.1.1 Distribution Map	Yes
1.1.1a Sensitive species	No
1.1.2 Method used - map	Estimate based on expert opinion with no or minimal sampling (1)
1.1.3 Year or period	2012
1.1.4 Additional map	No
1.1.5 Range map	Yes

2. Biogeographical Or Marine Level

2.1 Biogeographical Region	Mediterranean (MED)
2.2 Published sources	Ioannides, Y., Dimaki, M., Dimitropoulos, A. 1994. The Herpetofauna of Samos (Eastern Aegean, Greece). Ann. Musei Goulandris. 9: 445-446.  Valakos, E.D., Pafilis, P., Sotiropoulos, K., Lymberakis, P., Maragou, P. & Foufopoulos, J. 2008. The amphibians and reptiles of Greece. Edition Chimaira. Pages 463.

2.3 Range

2.3.1 Surface area - Range (km ²)	475,11
2.3.2 Method - Range surface area	Estimate based on expert opinion with no or minimal sampling (1)
2.3.3 Short-term trend period	2001-2012
2.3.4 Short-term trend direction	stable (0)
2.3.5 Short-term trend magnitude	min max
2.3.6 Long-term trend period	
2.3.7 Long-term trend direction	N/A
2.3.8 Long-term trend magnitude	min max
2.3.9 Favourable reference range	area (km ²) operator approximately equal to (≈) unkown No method None of the known populations became extinct since 1994. FRV is the total of the range which excludes the unfavorable altitude areas.
2.3.10 Reason for change	

2.4 Population

2.4.1 Population size (individuals or agreed exception)	Unit N/A min max
2.4.2 Population size (other than individuals)	Unit number of map 1x1 km grid cells (grids1x1) min 295 max 377
2.4.3 Additional information	Definition of locality Conversion method

Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 11 for annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

	Problems	There are no adequate references or measurements regarding the population size or population densities. Based on the available data an estimation of the population using as unit the number of individuals doesn't seem feasible at this stage.	
2.4.4 Year or period	2012		
2.4.5 Method – population size	Estimate based on expert opinion with no or minimal sampling (1)		
2.4.6 Short-term trend period	2001-2012		
2.4.7 Short term trend direction	unknown (x)		
2.4.8 Short-term trend magnitude	min	max	confidence interval
2.4.9 Short-term trend method	Estimate based on expert opinion with no or minimal sampling (1)		
2.4.10 Long-term trend period			
2.4.11 Long term trend direction	N/A		
2.4.12 Long-term trend magnitude	min	max	confidence interval
2.4.13 Long-term trend method	N/A		
2.4.14 Favourable reference population	number	operator approximately equal to (≈)	
	unknown	No	
	method	There are no indications or reports of population decline or abnormal population structure. FRV has been set at the current population level based on the known viable island populations.	
2.4.15 Reason for change	Use of different method		
2.5 Habitat for the Species			
2.5.1 Surface area - Habitat (km ²)	377		
2.5.2 Year or period	2012		
2.5.3 Method used - habitat	Estimate based on expert opinion with no or minimal sampling (1)		
2.5.4 a) Quality of habitat	Unknown		
2.5.4 b) Quality of habitat - method	Species with restricted distribution. Few data (and observations) on the species exist		
2.5.5 Short term trend period	2001-2012		
2.5.6 Short term trend direction	unknown (x)		
2.5.7 Long-term trend period			
2.5.8 Long term trend direction	N/A		
2.5.9 Area of suitable habitat (km ²)	0		
2.5.10 Reason for change	Use of different method		
2.6 Main Pressures			
Pressure	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)	
abandonment of pastoral systems, lack of grazing (A04.03)	low importance (L)	N/A	
continuous urbanisation (E01.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A	
fire and fire suppression (J01)	medium importance (M)	N/A	
predation (K03.04)	low importance (L)	N/A	
2.6.1 Method used – pressures	mainly based on expert judgement and other data (2)		
2.7 Main Threats			

Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 11 for annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

Threat	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
abandonment of pastoral systems, lack of grazing (A04.03)	medium importance (M)	N/A
continuous urbanisation (E01.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
fire and fire suppression (J01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
predation (K03.04)	low importance (L)	N/A

2.7.1 Method used – threats expert opinion (1)

2.8 Complementary Information

2.8.1 Justification of % thresholds for trends

2.8.2 Other relevant Information

The range estimations do not include unfavorable altitude areas.

2.8.3 Trans-boundary assessment

2.9 Conclusions (assessment of conservation status at end of reporting period)

2.9.1 Range assessment Favourable (FV)
qualifiers N/A

2.9.2. Population assessment Unknown (XX)
qualifiers N/A

2.9.3. Habitat assessment Unknown (XX)
qualifiers N/A

2.9.4. Future prospects assessment Unknown (XX)
qualifiers N/A

2.9.5 Overall assessment of Conservation Status Unknown (XX)

2.9.5 Overall trend in Conservation Status N/A

3. Natura 2000 coverage and conservation measures - Annex II species

3.1 Population

3.1.1 Population Size Unit N/A
min max

3.1.2 Method used N/A

3.1.3 Trend of population size within N/A

3.2 Conversation Measures