

Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 11 for annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

0.1 Member State	GR
0.2.1 Species code	1282
0.2.2 Species name	Eirenis modesta
0.2.3 Alternative species scientific name	Eirenis modestus
0.2.4 Common name	Thamnofido

1. National Level

1.1 Maps

1.1.1 Distribution Map	Yes
1.1.1a Sensitive species	No
1.1.2 Method used - map	Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)
1.1.3 Year or period	2012
1.1.4 Additional map	No
1.1.5 Range map	Yes

2. Biogeographical Or Marine Level

2.1 Biogeographical Region

Mediterranean (MED)

2.2 Published sources

Paysant, F. 2005. Herpetological notes on the Island of Kastellorizo (South-east Aegean, Greece). Herpetozoa 18 (1/2) : 80-83. [\[2\]](#)

Valakos, E.D., Pafilis, P., Sotiropoulos, K., Lymberakis, P., Maragou, P. & Foufopoulos, J. 2008. The amphibians and reptiles of Greece. Edition Chimaira. Pages 463.

2.3 Range

2.3.1 Surface area - Range (km ²)	2960
2.3.2 Method - Range surface area	Estimate based on expert opinion with no or minimal sampling (1)
2.3.3 Short-term trend period	2001-2012
2.3.4 Short-term trend direction	stable (0)
2.3.5 Short-term trend magnitude	min max
2.3.6 Long-term trend period	
2.3.7 Long-term trend direction	N/A
2.3.8 Long-term trend magnitude	min max
2.3.9 Favourable reference range	area (km ²) operator approximately equal to (≈) unkown No method None of the known populations became extinct since 1994. FRV is the total of the range which excludes the unfavorable altitude areas.
2.3.10 Reason for change	Improved knowledge/more accurate data Use of different method

2.4 Population

2.4.1 Population size (individuals or agreed exception)	Unit N/A min max
2.4.2 Population size (other than individuals)	Unit number of map 1x1 km grid cells (grids1x1) min 203 max 231
2.4.3 Additional information	Definition of locality Conversion method

Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 11 for annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

	Problems	There are no adequate references or measurements regarding the population size or population densities. Based on the available data an estimation of the population using as unit the number of individuals doesn't seem feasible at this stage.	
2.4.4 Year or period	2012		
2.4.5 Method – population size	Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)		
2.4.6 Short-term trend period	2001-2012		
2.4.7 Short term trend direction	stable (0)		
2.4.8 Short-term trend magnitude	min	max	confidence interval
2.4.9 Short-term trend method	Estimate based on expert opinion with no or minimal sampling (1)		
2.4.10 Long-term trend period			
2.4.11 Long term trend direction	N/A		
2.4.12 Long-term trend magnitude	min	max	confidence interval
2.4.13 Long-term trend method	N/A		
2.4.14 Favourable reference population	number	operator approximately equal to (≈)	
	unknown	No	
	method	There are no indications or reports of population decline or abnormal population structure. FRV has been set at the current population level.	
2.4.15 Reason for change	Improved knowledge/more accurate data	Use of different method	
2.5 Habitat for the Species			
2.5.1 Surface area - Habitat (km ²)	231		
2.5.2 Year or period	2012		
2.5.3 Method used - habitat	Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)		
2.5.4 a) Quality of habitat	Unknown		
2.5.4 b) Quality of habitat - method	Species with restricted distribution. Few data (and observations) on the species exist		
2.5.5 Short term trend period	2001-2012		
2.5.6 Short term trend direction	unknown (x)		
2.5.7 Long-term trend period			
2.5.8 Long term trend direction	N/A		
2.5.9 Area of suitable habitat (km ²)	265		
2.5.10 Reason for change	Use of different method		
2.6 Main Pressures			
Pressure	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)	
Cultivation (A01)	low importance (L)	N/A	
removal of hedges and copses or scrub (A10.01)	low importance (L)	N/A	
2.6.1 Method used – pressures	mainly based on expert judgement and other data (2)		
2.7 Main Threats			
Threat	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)	
Cultivation (A01)	low importance (L)	N/A	
removal of hedges and copses or scrub (A10.01)	low importance (L)	N/A	
fire (natural) (L09)	medium importance (M)	N/A	

Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 11 for annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

discontinuous urbanisation (E01.02) medium importance (M) N/A

2.7.1 Method used – threats expert opinion (1)

2.8 Complementary Information

2.8.1 Justification of % thresholds for trends

2.8.2 Other relevant Information

The range estimations do not include unfavorable altitude areas.

2.8.3 Trans-boundary assessment

2.9 Conclusions (assessment of conservation status at end of reporting period)

2.9.1 Range assessment Favourable (FV)
qualifiers N/A

2.9.2. Population assessment Favourable (FV)
qualifiers N/A

2.9.3. Habitat assessment Unknown (XX)
qualifiers N/A

2.9.4. Future prospects assessment Favourable (FV)
qualifiers N/A

2.9.5 Overall assessment of Conservation Status Favourable (FV)

2.9.5 Overall trend in Conservation Status N/A

3. Natura 2000 coverage and conservation measures - Annex II species

3.1 Population

3.1.1 Population Size Unit N/A
min max

3.1.2 Method used N/A

3.1.3 Trend of population size within N/A

3.2 Conversation Measures