

Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 11 for annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

0.1 Member State	GR
0.2.1 Species code	1028
0.2.2 Species name	<i>Pinna nobilis</i>
0.2.3 Alternative species scientific name	N/A
0.2.4 Common name	N/A

1. National Level

1.1 Maps

1.1.1 Distribution Map	Yes
1.1.1a Sensitive species	No
1.1.2 Method used - map	Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)
1.1.3 Year or period	2006-2012
1.1.4 Additional map	No
1.1.5 Range map	Yes

2. Biogeographical Or Marine Level

2.1 Biogeographical Region

2.2 Published sources

Marine Mediterranean (MMED)

Katsanevakis S, Leukaditou E, Galinou-Mitsoudi S, Koutsoubas D, Zenetos A, 2008. Molluscan species of minor commercial interest in Hellenic seas: Distribution, exploitation and conservation status. Mediterranean Marine Science 9(1): 77-118.

Tagalis D, Theodorou J, 2013. Distribution of the endangered bivalve mollusc *Pinna nobilis*, (Linnaeus, 1758) in the shallow littoral ecosystem of the Northern Maliakos Gulf (NE Mediterranean, Greece). Proceedings of the 15th Panhellenic Congress of Ichthyologists.

Antoniadou C, Vafidis D, Voultziadou E, Chintiroglou C, 2013. Population parameters of the protected fan mussel *Pinna nobilis* by implementing non-destructive techniques in the Dodecanese. Proceedings of the 15th Panhellenic Congress of Ichthyologists.

Poursanidis D, Issaris Y, Katsanevakis S, Thessalou-Legaki M, 2010. Population density estimation of the bivalve mollusc *Pinna nobilis* (Linnaeus 1758) in the National Marine Park of Zakynthos. Proceedings of the 13th Panhellenic Congress of Ichthyologists.

Katsanevakis S, Poursanidis D, Issaris Y, Panou A, Petza D, Vassilopoulou V, Chaldaïou I, Sini M, 2011. "Protected" marine shelled molluscs: thriving in Greek seafood restaurants. Mediterranean Marine Science 12(2): 429-438.

HCMR unpublished data (2007-2014).

2.3 Range

Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 11 for annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

2.3.1 Surface area - Range (km ²)	80480
2.3.2 Method - Range surface area	Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)
2.3.3 Short-term trend period	2001-2012
2.3.4 Short-term trend direction	stable (0)
2.3.5 Short-term trend magnitude	min max
2.3.6 Long-term trend period	
2.3.7 Long-term trend direction	N/A
2.3.8 Long-term trend magnitude	min max
2.3.9 Favourable reference range	area (km ²) operator approximately equal to (≈) unkown No method This is the first comprehensive attempt at estimating the range of the species, based on modeled species distribution. The ongoing pressures and threats since the adoption of the Directive have reduced the population size, but are not expected to have impacted significantly the range of the species. FRR is considered approximately equal to the current range.
2.3.10 Reason for change	Improved knowledge/more accurate data Use of different method

2.4 Population

2.4.1 Population size (individuals or agreed exception)	Unit number of individuals (i) min 7732454 max 61613170
2.4.2 Population size (other than individuals)	Unit N/A min max
2.4.3 Additional information	Definition of locality Conversion method Problems A large sample size is required to refine the rather large 95% confidence interval.
2.4.4 Year or period	2012
2.4.5 Method – population size	Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)
2.4.6 Short-term trend period	2001-2012
2.4.7 Short term trend direction	decrease (-)
2.4.8 Short-term trend magnitude	min max confidence interval
2.4.9 Short-term trend method	Estimate based on expert opinion with no or minimal sampling (1)
2.4.10 Long-term trend period	
2.4.11 Long term trend direction	N/A
2.4.12 Long-term trend magnitude	min max confidence interval
2.4.13 Long-term trend method	N/A
2.4.14 Favourable reference population	number operator more than (>) unknown No method This is the first comprehensive attempt at estimating the population size of the species, based on recent survey data, statistical analyses and extrapolations. The population size estimated is thus considered as a baseline value and given that the ongoing pressures and threats since the adoption of the Directive have reduced this population size, the FRP should be greater than the current size estimation.

Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 11 for annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

2.4.15 Reason for change Improved knowledge/more accurate data Use of different method

2.5 Habitat for the Species

2.5.1 Surface area - Habitat (km ²)	13375
2.5.2 Year or period	2012
2.5.3 Method used - habitat	Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)
2.5.4 a) Quality of habitat	Moderate
2.5.4 b) Quality of habitat - method	Relevant conclusions from the assessment of the habitat types 1120 and 1110, which comprise most of the habitat of the species.
2.5.5 Short term trend period	2001-2012
2.5.6 Short term trend direction	decrease (-)
2.5.7 Long-term trend period	
2.5.8 Long term trend direction	N/A
2.5.9 Area of suitable habitat (km ²)	0
2.5.10 Reason for change	Improved knowledge/more accurate data Use of different method

2.6 Main Pressures

Pressure	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
Sand and gravel extraction (C01.01)	low importance (L)	N/A
netting (F02.01.02)	medium importance (M)	N/A
benthic or demersal trawling (F02.02.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
bait digging / collection (F02.03.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
poaching (F05.04)	high importance (H)	N/A
removal for collection purposes (F05.06)	high importance (H)	N/A
marine constructions (D03.03)	low importance (L)	N/A
intensive fish farming, intensification (F01.01)	low importance (L)	N/A
disposal of inert materials (E03.03)	low importance (L)	N/A
diffuse pollution to surface waters due to household sewage and waste waters (H01.08)	medium importance (M)	N/A

2.6.1 Method used – pressures mainly based on expert judgement and other data (2)

2.7 Main Threats

Threat	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
benthic or demersal trawling (F02.02.01)	low importance (L)	N/A
poaching (F05.04)	high importance (H)	N/A
removal for collection purposes (F05.06)	high importance (H)	N/A
wave exposure changes (M01.06)	low importance (L)	N/A
marine constructions (D03.03)	medium importance (M)	N/A
intensive fish farming, intensification (F01.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
disposal of inert materials (E03.03)	low importance (L)	N/A
Sand and gravel extraction (C01.01)	low importance (L)	N/A
netting (F02.01.02)	medium importance (M)	N/A

2.7.1 Method used – threats expert opinion (1)

2.8 Complementary Information

Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 11 for annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

2.8.1 Justification of % thresholds for trends

2.8.2 Other relevant Information

2.8.3 Trans-boundary assessment

2.9 Conclusions (assessment of conservation status at end of reporting period)

2.9.1 Range assessment Favourable (FV)
qualifiers N/A

2.9.2. Population assessment Inadequate (U1)
qualifiers declining (-)

2.9.3. Habitat assessment Inadequate (U1)
qualifiers declining (-)

2.9.4. Future prospects assessment Inadequate (U1)
qualifiers declining (-)

2.9.5 Overall assessment of Conservation Status Inadequate (U1)

2.9.5 Overall trend in Conservation Status declining (-)

3. Natura 2000 coverage and conservation measures - Annex II species

3.1 Population

3.1.1 Population Size Unit N/A
min max

3.1.2 Method used N/A

3.1.3 Trend of population size within N/A

3.2 Conversation Measures