

Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 11 for annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

0.1 Member State	GR
0.2.1 Species code	1845
0.2.2 Species name	<i>Fritillaria gussichiae</i>
0.2.3 Alternative species scientific name	N/A
0.2.4 Common name	N/A

1. National Level

1.1 Maps

1.1.1 Distribution Map	Yes
1.1.1a Sensitive species	No
1.1.2 Method used - map	Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)
1.1.3 Year or period	2009-2012
1.1.4 Additional map	No
1.1.5 Range map	Yes

2. Biogeographical Or Marine Level

2.1 Biogeographical Region	Mediterranean (MED)
2.2 Published sources	<p>Kamari G. 1991: The genus <i>Fritillaria</i> L. in Greece: taxonomy and karyology. – Bot. Chron. 10: 253-270.</p> <p>Zaharof E. 1988. A phenetic study of <i>Fritillaria</i> (Liliaceae) in Greece. –Plant Syst Evol. 161:23-34.</p> <p>Zaharof-Pourpoutidi E. 1987: Biometric and karyological study of the genus <i>Fritillaria</i> L. from Greece [In Greek]. – Thessaloniki: Ph.D. Thesis, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, 238 pp.</p>

2.3 Range

2.3.1 Surface area - Range (km ²)	1500
2.3.2 Method - Range surface area	Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)
2.3.3 Short-term trend period	1999-2012
2.3.4 Short-term trend direction	decrease (-)
2.3.5 Short-term trend magnitude	min max
2.3.6 Long-term trend period	
2.3.7 Long-term trend direction	N/A
2.3.8 Long-term trend magnitude	min max
2.3.9 Favourable reference range	<p>area (km²) 1650</p> <p>operator N/A</p> <p>unkown No</p> <p>method The favourable reference range is based on sum of the species' historical and current distribution.</p>
2.3.10 Reason for change	Improved knowledge/more accurate dataUse of different method

2.4 Population

2.4.1 Population size (individuals or agreed exception)	Unit N/A
	min max

Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 11 for annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

2.4.2 Population size (other than individuals)	Unit	number of map 5x5 km grid cells (grids5x5)	
	min	7	max 16
2.4.3 Additional information	Definition of locality		
	Conversion method		
	Problems		
2.4.4 Year or period	2009-2012		
2.4.5 Method – population size	Complete survey/Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate (3)		
2.4.6 Short-term trend period			
2.4.7 Short term trend direction	unknown (x)		
2.4.8 Short-term trend magnitude	min	max	confidence interval
2.4.9 Short-term trend method	Absent data (0)		
2.4.10 Long-term trend period			
2.4.11 Long term trend direction	N/A		
2.4.12 Long-term trend magnitude	min	max	confidence interval
2.4.13 Long-term trend method	N/A		
2.4.14 Favourable reference population	number		
	operator	more than (>)	
	unknown	No	
	method		
2.4.15 Reason for change	Improved knowledge/more accurate data		
2.5 Habitat for the Species			
2.5.1 Surface area - Habitat (km ²)	3,4		
2.5.2 Year or period	2012		
2.5.3 Method used - habitat	Complete survey/Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate (3)		
2.5.4 a) Quality of habitat	Moderate		
2.5.4 b) Quality of habitat - method	The habitat of the species seems undisturbed in 4 of the 5 areas it was found, while one area is characterized by intense grazing. However in 3 of the surveyed areas, where the species had been reported previously and was not found, there was no suitable habitat either.		
2.5.5 Short term trend period	2001-2012		
2.5.6 Short term trend direction	unknown (x)		
2.5.7 Long-term trend period			
2.5.8 Long term trend direction	N/A		
2.5.9 Area of suitable habitat (km ²)	22		
2.5.10 Reason for change	Improved knowledge/more accurate data Use of different method		
2.6 Main Pressures			
Pressure	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)	
continuous urbanisation (E01.01)	high importance (H)	N/A	
roads, motorways (D01.02)	low importance (L)	N/A	
2.6.1 Method used – pressures	based exclusively or to a larger extent on real data from sites/occurrences or othe		
2.7 Main Threats			

Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 11 for annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

Threat	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
continuous urbanisation (E01.01)	high importance (H)	N/A
intensive grazing (A04.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
roads, motorways (D01.02)	low importance (L)	N/A

2.7.1 Method used – threats expert opinion (1)

2.8 Complementary Information

2.8.1 Justification of % thresholds for trends

2.8.2 Other relevant Information

Note on 2.3.1. The presence of the species was verified in 7 cells (Kerkini, Tzena, Voras, Pisoderi, Vernon, 2009-2014) and it is considered certain by expert's opinion in 8 more cells (Kerkini, Vernon, Tzena-Peteinos forest, Paikon). The species was absent from 2 cells of its previous distribution (Oraiokastro, Chortiatis) in 2014.

Note on 2.4.2. The minimum population value corresponds to the localities where the presence of the species was verified in the period 2009-2014 and the maximum population value corresponds to all the localities of the current range. Population counts were made in 2013-2014 at 5 localities (corresponding to 5 5x5 cells) where 568 individuals were counted.

Note on 2.5.1., 2.5.9. The values reported for species' habitat area and suitable habitat area represent the minimum values of these parameters for the species. Both habitat area and suitable habitat area are in fact larger but there is not adequate data for the estimation of these areas.

The favourable reference population cannot be expressed as number of individuals since there is lack of information concerning the species current and historical population size. Moreover the minimum viable population cannot be estimated, as there is no knowledge about its ecology and reproductive biology. The size of most subpopulations are particularly small (only 1-36 individuals), i.e. much less than the number of individuals which are considered by IUCN (50) as critical for the subpopulation viability. Moreover the species' range has declined. Thus it seems that the species' viability is at risk.

Note on 2.9.1 a : The difference in current range (1500 km²) and FRR (1700 km²) counted in 10x10 km cells is only 2 cells (200 km²) close to 10% of FRR (170 km²). Note that 170 km² rounded to the accuracy of the 10x10km grid is 200 km².

2.8.3 Trans-boundary assessment

2.9 Conclusions (assessment of conservation status at end of reporting period)

2.9.1 Range

assessment Inadequate (U1)
qualifiers declining (-)

2.9.2. Population

assessment Unknown (XX)
qualifiers N/A

2.9.3. Habitat

assessment Inadequate (U1)
qualifiers declining (-)

Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 11 for annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

2.9.4. Future prospects	assessment Unknown (XX) qualifiers N/A
2.9.5 Overall assessment of Conservation Status	Inadequate (U1)
2.9.5 Overall trend in Conservation Status	unknown (x)

3. Natura 2000 coverage and conservation measures - Annex II species

3.1 Population

3.1.1 Population Size	Unit	N/A	
	min		max
3.1.2 Method used	N/A		
3.1.3 Trend of population size within	N/A		

3.2 Conversation Measures