

# Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 11 for annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

|   |                            |
|---|----------------------------|
| 0.1 Member State                          | GR                         |
| 0.2.1 Species code                        | 1605                       |
| 0.2.2 Species name                        | <i>Bupleurum capillare</i> |
| 0.2.3 Alternative species scientific name | N/A                        |
| 0.2.4 Common name                         | N/A                        |

## 1. National Level

### 1.1 Maps

|                          |  |
|--------------------------|--|
| 1.1.1 Distribution Map   | Yes  |
| 1.1.1a Sensitive species | No   |
| 1.1.2 Method used - map  | Complete survey/Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate (3) |
| 1.1.3 Year or period     | 2010-2012  |
| 1.1.4 Additional map     | Yes  |
| 1.1.5 Range map          | Yes  |

## 2. Biogeographical Or Marine Level

### 2.1 Biogeographical Region

#### Mediterranean (MED)

### 2.2 Published sources

Γεωργίου Κ., Δελιπέτρου Π., Καρέτσος Γ., Τσαγκάρη Κ., Ιωαννίδου Ε. & Σμυρνή Β. 2004: Παρακολούθηση και καταγραφή των πληθυσμών του φυτικού είδους προτεραιότητας του Παραρτήματος II της Οδηγίας 92/43/ΕΟΚ *Bupleurum capillare* Boiss. & Heldr. στις περιοχές «Νοτιοανατολικός Παρνασσός - Εθνικός Δρυμός Παρνασσού - Δάσος Τιθορέας» και «Όρος Γκιώνα» - Προτάσεις Διαχείρισης, 35 σελ. – Τελική Έκθεση, Πανεπιστήμιο Αθηνών, Υ.ΠΕ.ΧΩ.Δ.Ε.

Georgiou K., Delipetrou P., Ioannidou G. 2009: *Bupleurum capillare* Boiss. & Heldr. In: Phitos D., Constantinidis T. & Kamari G. (eds), The Red Data Book of rare and threatened plants of Greece, Vol 1(A-D): 161-162. – Patras: Hellenic Botanical Society (in Greek).

### 2.3 Range

|   |  |
|---|--|
| 2.3.1 Surface area - Range (km <sup>2</sup> ) | 267  |
| 2.3.2 Method - Range surface area             | Complete survey/Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate (3)   |
| 2.3.3 Short-term trend period                 | 2002-2012  |
| 2.3.4 Short-term trend direction              | stable (0)   |
| 2.3.5 Short-term trend magnitude              | min max  |
| 2.3.6 Long-term trend period                  |  |
| 2.3.7 Long-term trend direction               | N/A  |
| 2.3.8 Long-term trend magnitude               | min max  |
| 2.3.9 Favourable reference range              | area (km <sup>2</sup> )<br>operator approximately equal to (≈)<br>unkown No<br>method The Favourable reference range is based on the sum of the historical and current distribution of the species. Two pre-1993 localities which have not been searched in the period 1993-2014 were excluded |
| 2.3.10 Reason for change                      | Improved knowledge/more accurate dataUse of different method   |

### 2.4 Population

# Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 11 for annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

|   |   |   |     |                     |
|---|---|---|-----|---------------------|
| 2.4.1 Population size (individuals or agreed exception) | Unit  | number of individuals (i)   |     |                     |
|   | min   | 21848   | max | 22800               |
| 2.4.2 Population size (other than individuals)          | Unit  | N/A   |     |                     |
|   | min   |   | max |                     |
| 2.4.3 Additional information                            | Definition of locality  |   |     |                     |
|   | Conversion method   |   |     |                     |
|   | Problems  |   |     |                     |
| 2.4.4 Year or period                                    | 2009-2012   |   |     |                     |
| 2.4.5 Method – population size                          | Complete survey/Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate (3)      |   |     |                     |
| 2.4.6 Short-term trend period                           | 2002-2012   |   |     |                     |
| 2.4.7 Short term trend direction                        | increase (+)  |   |     |                     |
| 2.4.8 Short-term trend magnitude                        | min   | 6   | max | 6                   |
|   |   |   |     | confidence interval |
| 2.4.9 Short-term trend method                           | Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2) |   |     |                     |
| 2.4.10 Long-term trend period                           |   |   |     |                     |
| 2.4.11 Long term trend direction                        | N/A   |   |     |                     |
| 2.4.12 Long-term trend magnitude                        | min   |   | max | confidence interval |
| 2.4.13 Long-term trend method                           | N/A   |   |     |                     |
| 2.4.14 Favourable reference population                  | number  | 10000   |     |                     |
|   | operator  | N/A   |     |                     |
|   | unknown   | No  |     |                     |
|   | method  | Favourable reference population was set as larger than the theoretically estimated Minimum Viable Population per subpopulation for an annual species at a stageless habitat. This corresponds to 2500 individuals per each of the c. 4 subpopulations of the species and should represent at least one count of that magnitude for each subpopulation in a 6 year period. The population of <i>Bupleurum capillare</i> in 1993 when the Directive came into force is not known. The total population of the species in the reporting cycle 2001-2006 was c 6000 individuals (estimated in 2003-2004 but the information was missed out in the Article 17 report for this period). In the same localities, total population in the reporting period 2007-2014 (counted in 2009-2014) was c 13000 individuals. Also, at one the the localities the species had expanded to a larger area (8714 individuals) and a new hitherto unknown subpopulation was discovered (1042 individuals). Since the species is an annual and may have natural interannual fluctuations the range of which is not known, it is possible but not certain that in 2014 we observed a maximum value. We believe that a minimum count of 10000 individuals is adequate to ensure the viability of the species provided that it maintains its distribution range. FRR is adequate to ensure the viability of the species and larger than the total population (c 6000 individuals) counted in the previous reporting period so as to cover a recently discovered subpopulation. |     |                     |
| 2.4.15 Reason for change                                | Improved knowledge/more accurate data                                       |   |     |                     |

## 2.5 Habitat for the Species

# Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 11 for annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

|   |   |
|---|---|
| 2.5.1 Surface area - Habitat (km <sup>2</sup> )   | 0,14  |
| 2.5.2 Year or period                              | 2010-2012   |
| 2.5.3 Method used - habitat                       | Complete survey/Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate (3)  |
| 2.5.4 a) Quality of habitat                       | Good  |
| 2.5.4 b) Quality of habitat - method              | The habitat of the species includes dry, open stony sites in a variety of circumstances (stabilised scree, stony road banks, stony debris at old mines or quarries, stony stream bed, stony abandoned vineyard, olive groves). The special habitat structures which are important for the plant is the cover of stones and gravel (must be higher than 75%) and the cover of high shrubs-trees (must be lower than 20%). Regarding these structures, the habitat is of excellent value at most of the sites of the species. |
| 2.5.5 Short term trend period                     | 2002-2012   |
| 2.5.6 Short term trend direction                  | stable (0)  |
| 2.5.7 Long-term trend period                      |   |
| 2.5.8 Long term trend direction                   | N/A   |
| 2.5.9 Area of suitable habitat (km <sup>2</sup> ) | 0   |
| 2.5.10 Reason for change                          | Improved knowledge/more accurate data   |

## 2.6 Main Pressures

| Pressure   | ranking            | pollution qualifier(s) |
|--|--------------------|------------------------|
| reduction or loss of specific habitat features (J03.01)      | low importance (L) | N/A                    |
| disposal of household / recreational facility waste (E03.01) | low importance (L) | N/A                    |
| non intensive goat grazing (A04.02.04)                       | low importance (L) | N/A                    |
| roads, motorways (D01.02)                                    | low importance (L) | N/A                    |
| dispersed habitation (E01.03)                                | low importance (L) | N/A                    |

2.6.1 Method used – pressures based exclusively or to a larger extent on real data from sites/occurrences or other

## 2.7 Main Threats

| Threat  | ranking               | pollution qualifier(s) |
|---|-----------------------|------------------------|
| reduction or loss of specific habitat features (J03.01) | medium importance (M) | N/A                    |
| dispersed habitation (E01.03)                           | medium importance (M) | N/A                    |
| non intensive goat grazing (A04.02.04)                  | low importance (L)    | N/A                    |

2.7.1 Method used – threats expert opinion (1)

## 2.8 Complementary Information

2.8.1 Justification of % thresholds for trends

2.8.2 Other relevant Information

Note on 2.4.1. Population counts in the period 2009-2014 were made at 8 out of the 11 known localities of the species (16 out of 20 cells of the species' distribution) and this represent the minimum values of the population recorded. The maximum value reported is based on expert opinion regarding the population at the 3 remaining localities.

Note on 2.4.6. The total population of the species in the reporting cycle 2001-2006 was c 6000 individuals (estimated in 2003-2004 but the information was missed out in the Article 17 report for this period). In the same localities, total population in the reporting period 2007-2014 (counted in 2009-2014) was c 13000 individuals. Also, at one the the localities the species had expanded to a larger area a new hitherto unknown subpopulation was discovered (1042

# Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 11 for annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

individuals). Population counts on Parnassos indicate a more or less stable population. Population counts at 5 of the localities of Gkiona in 2003-2004 and 2014 indicate a large increase from 3567 individuals to 11254 individuals. Moreover, the population has expanded further to neighbouring sites (another 8714 individuals).

Note on 2.4.14. The population of *Bupleurum capillare* in 1993 when the Directive came into force is not known. The total population of the species in the reporting cycle 2001-2006 was c 6000 individuals (estimated in 2003-2004 but the information was missed out in the Article 17 report for this period). In the same localities, total population in the reporting period 2007-2014 (counted in 2009-2014) was c 13000 individuals. Also, at one of the localities the species had expanded to a larger area (8714 individuals) and a new hitherto unknown subpopulation was discovered (1042 individuals). Since the species is an annual and may have natural interannual fluctuations the range of which is not known, it is possible but not certain that in 2014 we observed a maximum value. We believe that a minimum count of 10000 individuals is adequate to ensure the viability of the species provided that it maintains its distribution range.

Note on 2.5. Current habitat of the species is estimated as the exact area covered by the population. It is not possible to map the available habitat for the species.

Note on 2.6. Currently there is ample available habitat for the species, moreover because road construction and mine and quarry abandonment create new habitat. However, at some of its localities shrub and tree encroachment have an increasing trend. Vacation housing is a threat only on Parnassos where it may have already caused habitat loss for the species.

2.3.3. the actual period is 2003-2014

2.4.4. the actual period is 2009-2014

2.4.6. the actual period is 2003-2014

2.5.2. the actual period is 2010-2014

2.5.5. the actual period is 2003-2014

## 2.8.3 Trans-boundary assessment

## 2.9 Conclusions (assessment of conservation status at end of reporting period)

|   |  |
|---|--|
| 2.9.1 Range                                     | assessment Favourable (FV)<br>qualifiers N/A         |
| 2.9.2. Population                               | assessment Favourable (FV)<br>qualifiers N/A         |
| 2.9.3. Habitat                                  | assessment Favourable (FV)<br>qualifiers N/A         |
| 2.9.4. Future prospects                         | assessment Inadequate (U1)<br>qualifiers unknown (x) |
| 2.9.5 Overall assessment of Conservation Status | Inadequate (U1)                                      |
| 2.9.5 Overall trend in Conservation Status      | unknown (x)  |

## 3. Natura 2000 coverage and conservation measures - Annex II species

# Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 11 for annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

## 3.1 Population

### 3.1.1 Population Size

Unit number of individuals (i)  
 min 10000 max 10000

### 3.1.2 Method used

Complete survey/Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate (3)

### 3.1.3 Trend of population size within

increase (+)

## 3.2 Conversation Measures

| 3.2.1 Measure                                      | 3.2.2 Type    | 3.2.3 Ranking       | 3.2.4 Location | 3.2.5 Broad Evaluation |
|--|---------------|---------------------|----------------|------------------------|
| Establish protected areas/sites (6.1)              | Legal One-off | high importance (H) | Inside         | Enhance Long term      |
| Legal protection of habitats and species (6.3)     | Legal         | high importance (H) | Outside        | Enhance Long term      |
| Regulation/ Management of hunting and taking (7.1) | Legal         | high importance (H) | Inside         | Long term              |