Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 11 for annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

0.1 Member State	**GR**
0.2.1 Species code	**5342**
0.2.2 Species name	**Rutilus prespensis**
0.2.3 Alternative species scientific name	N/A
0.2.4 Common name	Platika Prespas

1. National Level

1.1 Maps

1.1.1 Distribution Map	Yes
1.1.1a Sensitive species	No
1.1.2 Method used - map	Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)
1.1.3 Year or period	2007-2012
1.1.4 Additional map	No
1.1.5 Range map	Yes

2. Biogeographical Or Marine Level

2.1 Biogeographical Region	**Mediterranean (MED)**
2.2 Published sources	Crivelli, A.J. 2010. Pilot Application of the Transboundary Monitoring System for the Prespa Park: Fish and Fisheries Monitoring, Final Report, Society for the Protection of Prespa – Tour du Valata, Agios Germanos. Koutseri, I. 2012. Saving Gish Biodiversity in the Prespa Basin. Society for the Protection of Prespa. LIFE09 INF/GR/319, e-publication. Perennou, C., Gletsos, M., Chauvelon, P., Crivelli, A., DeCoursey, M., Dokulil, M., Grillas, P., Grovel, R. and A. Sandoz. 2009. Development of a Transboundary Monitoring System for the Prespa Park Area, Aghios Germanos, Greece, November 2009, 381pp.

2.3 Range

2.3.1 Surface area - Range (km²)	81	
2.3.2 Method - Range surface area	Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)	
2.3.3 Short-term trend period	2001-2012	
2.3.4 Short-term trend direction	stable (0)	
2.3.5 Short-term trend magnitude	min	max
2.3.6 Long-term trend period		
2.3.7 Long-term trend direction	N/A	
2.3.8 Long-term trend magnitude	min	max
2.3.9 Favourable reference range	area (km²)	
	operator	approximately equal to (≈)
	unkown	No
	method	Value equal to the surface area of the Greek part of the two Lakes (Mikri and Megali Prespa) = 81km2
2.3.10 Reason for change		

2.4 Population

2.4.1 Population size (individuals or agreed exception)	Unit	N/A
	min	max

Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 11 for annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

2.4.2 Population size (other than individuals)	Unit	area coverd by population in m2 (area)		
	min	81000000	max	81000000
2.4.3 Additional information	Definition of locality			
	Conversion method			
	Problems			
2.4.4 Year or period	2006-2012			
2.4.5 Method – population size	Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)			
2.4.6 Short-term trend period	2001-2012			
2.4.7 Short term trend direction	stable (0)			
2.4.8 Short-term trend magnitude	min		max	confidence interval
2.4.9 Short-term trend method	Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)			
2.4.10 Long-term trend period				
2.4.11 Long term trend direction	N/A			
2.4.12 Long-term trend magnitude	min		max	confidence interval
2.4.13 Long-term trend method	N/A			
2.4.14 Favourable reference population	number			
	operator	approximately equal to (≈)		
	unknown	No		
	method	Value equal to the surface area of the Greek part of the two Lakes (Mikri and Megali Prespa) = 81km2		
2.4.15 Reason for change				

2.5 Habitat for the Species

2.5.1 Surface area - Habitat (km²)	81
2.5.2 Year or period	2006-2012
2.5.3 Method used - habitat	Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)
2.5.4 a) Quality of habitat	Moderate
2.5.4 b) Quality of habitat - method	Based on partial data on wetland and lake habitats from other studies, with some extrapolation and expert judgement.
2.5.5 Short term trend period	2001-2012
2.5.6 Short term trend direction	stable (0)
2.5.7 Long-term trend period	
2.5.8 Long term trend direction	N/A
2.5.9 Area of suitable habitat (km²)	0
2.5.10 Reason for change	

2.6 Main Pressures

Pressure	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
Fertilisation (A08)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Irrigation (A09)	medium importance (M)	N/A

2.6.1 Method used – pressures	mainly based on expert judgement and other data (2)

2.7 Main Threats

Threat	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
Fertilisation (A08)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Irrigation (A09)	medium importance (M)	N/A

2.7.1 Method used – threats	expert opinion (1)

Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 11 for annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

2.8 Complementary Information

2.8.1 Justification of % thresholds for trends	The % threshold could not be used for the assessment since: a) a different method for assessing range was employed, compared to the 2nd Reporting
2.8.2 Other relevant Information	Changes in trophic status of the lake, combined with extensive droughts, may exert pressure to the population of the species. The species is also found within both lakes in the other two littoral countries – Albania & FYROM, so the full range of the species extends in 307 m2.
2.8.3 Trans-boundary assessment	A joint assessment of fish species in Greece, Albania and the FYROM (only Greece is a member-state) has shown that Rutilus prespensis range and distribution extends in all littoral countries and that it is one of the two most abundant species of the lakes (together with Alburnus belvica). The assessment was carried out by calculating CPUEs, following the application of the fish monitoring methods described in the "Transboundary Monitoring System of the Prespa Park".

2.9 Conclusions (assessment of conservation status at end of reporting period)

2.9.1 Range	assessment	Favourable (FV)
	qualifiers	N/A
2.9.2. Population	assessment	Favourable (FV)
	qualifiers	N/A
2.9.3. Habitat	assessment	Inadequate (U1)
	qualifiers	stable (=)
2.9.4. Future prospects	assessment	Inadequate (U1)
	qualifiers	unknown (x)
2.9.5 Overall assessment of Conservation Status	Inadequate (U1)	
2.9.5 Overall trend in Conservation Status	unknown (x)	

3. Natura 2000 coverage and conservation measures - Annex II species

3.1 Population

3.1.1 Population Size	Unit	area coverd by population in m2 (area)		
	min	81000000	max	81000000
3.1.2 Method used	Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)			
3.1.3 Trend of population size within	stable (0)			

3.2 Conversation Measures

3.2.1 Measure	3.2.2 Type	3.2.3 Ranking	3.2.4 Location	3.2.5 Broad Evaluation
Other wetland-related measures (4.0)	Administrative Recurrent	high importance (H)	Inside	Enhance Long term
Regulation/ Management of fishery in limnic systems (7.2)	Legal Recurrent	high importance (H)	Inside	Enhance Long term
Establish protected areas/sites (6.1)	Legal Administrative One-off	high importance (H)	Inside	Enhance Long term