

Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 11 for annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

0.1 Member State	GR
0.2.1 Species code	5333
0.2.2 Species name	Pelasgus stymphalicus
0.2.3 Alternative species scientific name	N/A
0.2.4 Common name	Stymphalikos Pelasgos

1. National Level

1.1 Maps

1.1.1 Distribution Map	Yes
1.1.1a Sensitive species	No
1.1.2 Method used - map	Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)
1.1.3 Year or period	2007-2012
1.1.4 Additional map	No
1.1.5 Range map	Yes

2. Biogeographical Or Marine Level

2.1 Biogeographical Region

Mediterranean (MED)

2.2 Published sources

Kottelat M. & Freyhof J. (2007). Handbook of European freshwater fishes. Publications Kottelat, Cornol, Switzerland.

Economou A.N., Giakoumi S., Vardakas L., Barbieri R., Stoumboudi M. & Zogaris S. (2007). The freshwater ichthyofauna of Greece: an update based on a hydrographic basin survey. *Mediterranean Marine Science*, 8(1): 91-168.

Economidis, P.S. & Chrysopolitou V. (2009). *Pelasgus stymphalicus*. In Red Data Book of threatened Animals of Greece. Legakis A. & Maragou P. (eds). Hellenic Zoological Society, Athens.

2.3 Range

2.3.1 Surface area - Range (km ²)	20700
2.3.2 Method - Range surface area	Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)
2.3.3 Short-term trend period	2001-2012
2.3.4 Short-term trend direction	stable (0)
2.3.5 Short-term trend magnitude	min max
2.3.6 Long-term trend period	
2.3.7 Long-term trend direction	N/A
2.3.8 Long-term trend magnitude	min max
2.3.9 Favourable reference range	area (km ²) operator approximately equal to (≈) unkown No method Basic assumption: Favourable Reference Range = Surface Area Range (current range)
2.3.10 Reason for change	Improved knowledge/more accurate dataUse of different method

2.4 Population

2.4.1 Population size (individuals or agreed exception)	Unit N/A min max
---	---------------------

Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 11 for annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

2.4.2 Population size (other than individuals)	Unit	number of map 10x10 km grid cells (grids10x10)	
	min	207	max 207
2.4.3 Additional information	Definition of locality		
	Conversion method		
	Problems	Most data are described as semi-quantitative or qualitative. Few quantitative data. Too much variability between existing samples, especially between different river basins, making it difficult to extrapolate individuals or classes for reporting population unit.	
2.4.4 Year or period		2006-2012	
2.4.5 Method – population size		Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)	
2.4.6 Short-term trend period		2001-2012	
2.4.7 Short term trend direction		stable (0)	
2.4.8 Short-term trend magnitude	min	max	confidence interval
2.4.9 Short-term trend method		Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)	
2.4.10 Long-term trend period			
2.4.11 Long term trend direction		N/A	
2.4.12 Long-term trend magnitude	min	max	confidence interval
2.4.13 Long-term trend method		N/A	
2.4.14 Favourable reference population	number		
	operator	approximately equal to (≈)	
	unknown	No	
	method	Basic assumption: Favourable Reference Population = value extracted from Range Map	
2.4.15 Reason for change		Improved knowledge/more accurate data Use of different method	
2.5 Habitat for the Species			
2.5.1 Surface area - Habitat (km ²)		20700	
2.5.2 Year or period		2006-2012	
2.5.3 Method used - habitat		Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)	
2.5.4 a) Quality of habitat		Moderate	
2.5.4 b) Quality of habitat - method		Based on partial data with some extrapolation and expert judgment.	
2.5.5 Short term trend period		2001-2012	
2.5.6 Short term trend direction		unknown (x)	
2.5.7 Long-term trend period			
2.5.8 Long term trend direction		N/A	
2.5.9 Area of suitable habitat (km ²)		0	
2.5.10 Reason for change		Improved knowledge/more accurate data Use of different method	
2.6 Main Pressures			
Pressure		ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
Discharges (E03)		low importance (L)	N/A
Pollution to surface waters (limnic & terrestrial, marine & brackish) (H01)		low importance (L)	N/A
large scale water deviation (J02.03.01)		medium importance (M)	N/A
small hydropower projects, weirs (J02.05.05)		medium importance (M)	N/A
surface water abstractions for agriculture (J02.06.01)		medium importance (M)	N/A

Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 11 for annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

reduction in migration/ migration barriers (J03.02.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
modifying structures of inland water courses (J02.05.02)	medium importance (M)	N/A
canalisation (J02.03.02)	medium importance (M)	N/A
reduction in genetic exchange (J03.02.03)	low importance (L)	N/A
Urbanised areas, human habitation (E01)	low importance (L)	N/A

2.6.1 Method used – pressures mainly based on expert judgement and other data (2)

2.7 Main Threats

Threat	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
Discharges (E03)	low importance (L)	N/A
Pollution to surface waters (limnic & terrestrial, marine & brackish) (H01)	low importance (L)	N/A
large scale water deviation (J02.03.01)	low importance (L)	N/A
small hydropower projects, weirs (J02.05.05)	medium importance (M)	N/A
surface water abstractions for agriculture (J02.06.01)	high importance (H)	N/A
reduction in migration/ migration barriers (J03.02.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
modifying structures of inland water courses (J02.05.02)	high importance (H)	N/A
canalisation (J02.03.02)	medium importance (M)	N/A
reduction in genetic exchange (J03.02.03)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Urbanised areas, human habitation (E01)	medium importance (M)	N/A

2.7.1 Method used – threats expert opinion (1)

2.8 Complementary Information

2.8.1 Justification of % thresholds for trends

2.8.2 Other relevant Information

The % threshold could not be used for the assessment since: a) a different method for assessing range was employed, compared to the 2nd Reporting

1. An endemic of the Peloponnese and Western central Greece. Populations exist in Lake Stymphalia, lower Alfios, Pamissos and Pinios rivers (as well as smaller systems of Western Peloponnese and the Argolic Gulf); also, in lower Acheloos, Evinos and Mornos basins. Introduced to Lake Tsivlos (Krathis River) and recently discovered in the Peloponnesian Assopos River. It inhabits lakes, spring-fed ponds, rivers and streams with slow current and wetland vegetation; many of these habitats have been extensively degraded by water abstraction, wetland drainage, water irrigation and water supply projects, tourism development and holiday home building subdivisions. Often confined to springs but still widespread but often in small localized populations.
2. Basic Assumptions:
 - i) "Surface Area Range" (field 2.3.1) = value extracted from "Range Map" (field 1.1.5).
 - ii) "Favourable Reference Range" (field 2.3.9a) = a) "Surface Area Range" (field 2.3.1) OR b) value extracted from "Additional Reference Range Map" (provided). Depends on whether the Favourable range is equal or larger than actual species range.
 - iii) "Population Size" (field 2.4.2) = value extracted from "Distribution Map" (field 1.1.1) or "Additional Distribution Map" (field 1.1.4) (when provided).
 - iv) "Favourable Reference Population" (field 2.4.14) = a) "Population Size" (field 2.4.2) OR b) value extracted from "Additional Reference Range Map" (provided). Depends on whether the Favourable population is

Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 11 for annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

equal or larger than actual species population.
 v) Habitat "Area Estimation" (field 2.5.1) = "Distribution Map" (field 1.1.1) or "Additional Distribution Map" (field 1.1.4) (when provided).

2.8.3 Trans-boundary assessment

2.9 Conclusions (assessment of conservation status at end of reporting period)

2.9.1 Range	assessment Favourable (FV) qualifiers N/A
2.9.2. Population	assessment Favourable (FV) qualifiers N/A
2.9.3. Habitat	assessment Inadequate (U1) qualifiers unknown (x)
2.9.4. Future prospects	assessment Unknown (XX) qualifiers N/A
2.9.5 Overall assessment of Conservation Status	Inadequate (U1)
2.9.5 Overall trend in Conservation Status	unknown (x)

3. Natura 2000 coverage and conservation measures - Annex II species

3.1 Population

3.1.1 Population Size	Unit number of map 10x10 km grid cells (grids10x10) min 106 max 106
3.1.2 Method used	Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)
3.1.3 Trend of population size within	stable (0)

3.2 Conversation Measures

3.2.1 Measure	3.2.2 Type	3.2.3 Ranking	3.2.4 Location	3.2.5 Broad Evaluation
Legal protection of habitats and species (6.3)	Legal	high importance (H)	Both	Enhance
Establish protected areas/sites (6.1)	Legal Administrative One-off	low importance (L)	Inside	Enhance Long term