

Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 11 for annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

0.1 Member State	GR
0.2.1 Species code	5279
0.2.2 Species name	Pelasgus thesproticus
0.2.3 Alternative species scientific name	N/A
0.2.4 Common name	Thesprotikos Pelasgos

1. National Level

1.1 Maps

1.1.1 Distribution Map	Yes
1.1.1a Sensitive species	No
1.1.2 Method used - map	Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)
1.1.3 Year or period	2007-2012
1.1.4 Additional map	No
1.1.5 Range map	Yes

2. Biogeographical Or Marine Level

2.1 Biogeographical Region	Mediterranean (MED)
2.2 Published sources	<p>Λεγάκις Α. & Μαραγκού Π. (2009). Το Κόκκινο Βιβλίο των Απειλούμενων Ζώων της Ελλάδας. Ελληνική Ζωολογική Εταιρεία, Αθήνα.</p> <p>Kottelat M. & Freyhof J. (2007). Handbook of European freshwater fishes. Kottelat, Cornol, Switzerland and Freyhof, Berlin, Germany.</p> <p>Economou A.N., Giakoumi S., Vardakas L., Barbieri R., Stoumboudi M. & Zogaris S. (2007). The freshwater ichthyofauna of Greece: an update based on a hydrographic basin survey. Mediterranean Marine Science, 8 (1): 91-168.</p>

2.3 Range

2.3.1 Surface area - Range (km ²)	5900
2.3.2 Method - Range surface area	Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)
2.3.3 Short-term trend period	2001-2012
2.3.4 Short-term trend direction	stable (0)
2.3.5 Short-term trend magnitude	min max
2.3.6 Long-term trend period	
2.3.7 Long-term trend direction	N/A
2.3.8 Long-term trend magnitude	min max
2.3.9 Favourable reference range	<p>area (km²)</p> <p>operator approximately equal to (≈)</p> <p>unkown No</p> <p>method Basic assumption: Favourable Reference Range = Surface Area Range (current range)</p>
2.3.10 Reason for change	

2.4 Population

2.4.1 Population size (individuals or agreed exception)	Unit	N/A
	min	max

Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 11 for annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

2.4.2 Population size (other than individuals)	Unit	number of map 10x10 km grid cells (grids10x10)		
	min	59	max	59
2.4.3 Additional information	Definition of locality			
	Conversion method			
	Problems	Most data are described as semi-quantitative or qualitative. Few quantitative data. Too much variability between existing samples, especially between different river basins, making it difficult to extrapolate a number or a class for reporting population unit.		
2.4.4 Year or period		2006-2012		
2.4.5 Method – population size		Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)		
2.4.6 Short-term trend period		2001-2012		
2.4.7 Short term trend direction		stable (0)		
2.4.8 Short-term trend magnitude	min		max	confidence interval
2.4.9 Short-term trend method		Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)		
2.4.10 Long-term trend period				
2.4.11 Long term trend direction		N/A		
2.4.12 Long-term trend magnitude	min		max	confidence interval
2.4.13 Long-term trend method		N/A		
2.4.14 Favourable reference population	number			
	operator	approximately equal to (≈)		
	unknown	No		
	method	Basic assumption: Favourable Reference Population = value extracted from Range Map		

2.4.15 Reason for change

2.5 Habitat for the Species

2.5.1 Surface area - Habitat (km ²)		5900
2.5.2 Year or period		2006-2012
2.5.3 Method used - habitat		Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)
2.5.4 a) Quality of habitat		Unknown
2.5.4 b) Quality of habitat - method		Based on partial data with some extrapolation and expert judgment.
2.5.5 Short term trend period		2001-2012
2.5.6 Short term trend direction		unknown (x)
2.5.7 Long-term trend period		
2.5.8 Long term trend direction		N/A
2.5.9 Area of suitable habitat (km ²)		0
2.5.10 Reason for change		

2.6 Main Pressures

Pressure	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
Discharges (E03)	low importance (L)	N/A
surface water abstractions for agriculture (J02.06.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
invasive non-native species (I01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
management of aquatic and bank vegetation for drainage purposes (J02.10)	high importance (H)	N/A

2.6.1 Method used – pressures mainly based on expert judgement and other data (2)

Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 11 for annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

2.7 Main Threats

Threat	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
Discharges (E03)	low importance (L)	N/A
surface water abstractions for agriculture (J02.06.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
invasive non-native species (I01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
management of aquatic and bank vegetation for drainage purposes (J02.10)	high importance (H)	N/A

2.7.1 Method used – threats expert opinion (1)

2.8 Complementary Information

2.8.1 Justification of % thresholds for trends

2.8.2 Other relevant Information

The % threshold could not be used for the assessment since: a) a different method for assessing range was employed, compared to the 2nd Reporting Basic Assumptions:

- i) "Surface Area Range" (field 2.3.1) = value extracted from "Range Map" (field 1.1.5).
- ii) "Favourable Reference Range" (field 2.3.9a) = a) "Surface Area Range" (field 2.3.1) OR b) value extracted from "Additional Reference Range Map" (provided). Depends on whether the Favourable range is equal or larger than actual species range.
- iii) "Population Size" (field 2.4.2) = value extracted from "Distribution Map" (field 1.1.1) or "Additional Distribution Map" (field 1.1.4) (when provided).
- iv) "Favourable Reference Population" (field 2.4.14) = a) "Population Size" (field 2.4.2) OR b) value extracted from "Additional Reference Range Map" (provided). Depends on whether the Favourable population is equal or larger than actual species population.
- v) Habitat "Area Estimation" (field 2.5.1) = "Distribution Map" (field 1.1.1) or "Additional Distribution Map" (field 1.1.4) (when provided).

2.8.3 Trans-boundary assessment

2.9 Conclusions (assessment of conservation status at end of reporting period)

2.9.1 Range	assessment Favourable (FV) qualifiers N/A
2.9.2. Population	assessment Favourable (FV) qualifiers N/A
2.9.3. Habitat	assessment Unknown (XX) qualifiers N/A
2.9.4. Future prospects	assessment Unknown (XX) qualifiers N/A
2.9.5 Overall assessment of Conservation Status	Unknown (XX)
2.9.5 Overall trend in Conservation Status	N/A

3. Natura 2000 coverage and conservation measures - Annex II species

3.1 Population

3.1.1 Population Size Unit number of map 10x10 km grid cells (grids10x10)

Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 11 for annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

min 31 max 31

3.1.2 Method used	Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)
3.1.3 Trend of population size within	unknown (x)

3.2 Conservation Measures

3.2.1 Measure	3.2.2 Type	3.2.3 Ranking	3.2.4 Location	3.2.5 Broad Evaluation
Legal protection of habitats and species (6.3)	Legal	high importance (H)	Both	Maintain Enhance
Establish protected areas/sites (6.1)	Legal Administrative One-off	medium importance (M)	Inside	Maintain Enhance Long term