

Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 11 for annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

0.1 Member State	GR
0.2.1 Species code	5197
0.2.2 Species name	Sabanejewia balcanica
0.2.3 Alternative species scientific name	N/A
0.2.4 Common name	Chrysovelonitsa

1. National Level

1.1 Maps

1.1.1 Distribution Map	Yes
1.1.1a Sensitive species	No
1.1.2 Method used - map	Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)
1.1.3 Year or period	2007-2012
1.1.4 Additional map	Yes
1.1.5 Range map	Yes

2. Biogeographical Or Marine Level

2.1 Biogeographical Region

Mediterranean (MED)

2.2 Published sources

Kottelat, M. and J. Freyhof, 2007. Handbook of European freshwater fishes. Publications Kottelat, Cornol, Switzerland. 646 p.

Οδηγία Πλαίσιο περί Υδάτων 2000/60/ΕΕ - Ανάπτυξη δικτύων και παρακολούθηση ποιότητας των επιφανειακών, εσωτερικών, μεταβατικών και των παράκτιων υδάτων της χώρας – Αξιολόγηση/Ταξινόμηση της οικολογικής τους κατάστασης. Πρόγραμμα της Κεντρικής Υπηρεσία Υδάτων του ΥΠ.Ε.ΧΩ.Δ.Ε. Ανάδοχος Κοινοπραξία ΕΛΚΕΘΕ - ΕΚΒΥ. ΥΠΕΧΩΔΕ 2008-2010.

Ηλιάδης, Ιωακειμίδου & Καλλικαζάρου, 2010. Οικολογική Ποιότητα και Διαχείριση Υδάτων σε Επίπεδο Λεκάνης Απορροής: Ειδική Περίπτωση Μελέτης της Λεκάνης Απορροής του Ποταμού Αλμωπαίου.

2.3 Range

2.3.1 Surface area - Range (km ²)	7200
2.3.2 Method - Range surface area	Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)
2.3.3 Short-term trend period	2001-2012
2.3.4 Short-term trend direction	decrease (-)
2.3.5 Short-term trend magnitude	min max
2.3.6 Long-term trend period	
2.3.7 Long-term trend direction	N/A
2.3.8 Long-term trend magnitude	min max
2.3.9 Favourable reference range	area (km ²) 7350 operator N/A unkown No method Basic assumption: Favourable Reference Range = Historic Range = value extracted from Additional Reference Range Map
2.3.10 Reason for change	Improved knowledge/more accurate dataUse of different method

2.4 Population

Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 11 for annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

2.4.1 Population size (individuals or agreed exception)	Unit	N/A		
	min		max	
2.4.2 Population size (other than individuals)	Unit	number of map 5x5 km grid cells (grids5x5)		
	min	288	max	288
2.4.3 Additional information	Definition of locality			
	Conversion method			
	Problems	Most data are described as semi-quantitative or qualitative. Few quantitative data. Too much variability between existing samples, especially between different river basins, making it difficult to extrapolate a number or a class for reporting population unit.		
2.4.4 Year or period	2006-2012			
2.4.5 Method – population size	Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)			
2.4.6 Short-term trend period	2001-2012			
2.4.7 Short term trend direction	decrease (-)			
2.4.8 Short-term trend magnitude	min		max	confidence interval
2.4.9 Short-term trend method	Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)			
2.4.10 Long-term trend period				
2.4.11 Long term trend direction	N/A			
2.4.12 Long-term trend magnitude	min		max	confidence interval
2.4.13 Long-term trend method	N/A			
2.4.14 Favourable reference population	number	294		
	operator	N/A		
	unknown	No		
	method	Basic assumption: Favourable Reference Population = value extracted from Additional Reference Range Map		
2.4.15 Reason for change	Improved knowledge/more accurate data Use of different method			
2.5 Habitat for the Species				
2.5.1 Surface area - Habitat (km ²)	7200			
2.5.2 Year or period	2006-2012			
2.5.3 Method used - habitat	Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)			
2.5.4 a) Quality of habitat	Moderate			
2.5.4 b) Quality of habitat - method	Based on partial data with some extrapolation and expert judgment. Habitat specialist species. Pressures and Threats on its habitat are present.			
2.5.5 Short term trend period	2001-2012			
2.5.6 Short term trend direction	decrease (-)			
2.5.7 Long-term trend period				
2.5.8 Long term trend direction	N/A			
2.5.9 Area of suitable habitat (km ²)	0			
2.5.10 Reason for change	Improved knowledge/more accurate data Use of different method			
2.6 Main Pressures				

Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 11 for annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

Pressure	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
surface water abstractions for agriculture (J02.06.01)	high importance (H)	N/A
Urbanised areas, human habitation (E01)	low importance (L)	N/A
Discharges (E03)	low importance (L)	N/A
canalisation (J02.03.02)	high importance (H)	N/A
Pollution to surface waters (limnic & terrestrial, marine & brackish) (H01)	medium importance (M)	N/A

2.6.1 Method used – pressures mainly based on expert judgement and other data (2)

2.7 Main Threats

Threat	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
surface water abstractions for agriculture (J02.06.01)	high importance (H)	N/A
Urbanised areas, human habitation (E01)	low importance (L)	N/A
Discharges (E03)	low importance (L)	N/A
canalisation (J02.03.02)	high importance (H)	N/A
Pollution to surface waters (limnic & terrestrial, marine & brackish) (H01)	medium importance (M)	N/A

2.7.1 Method used – threats expert opinion (1)

2.8 Complementary Information

2.8.1 Justification of % thresholds for trends

2.8.2 Other relevant Information

The % threshold could not be used for the assessment since: a) a different method for assessing range was employed, compared to the 2nd Reporting Basic Assumptions:

- i) "Surface Area Range" (field 2.3.1) = value extracted from "Range Map" (field 1.1.5).
- ii) "Favourable Reference Range" (field 2.3.9a) = a) "Surface Area Range" (field 2.3.1) OR b) value extracted from "Additional Reference Range Map" (provided). Depends on whether the Favourable range is equal or larger than actual species range.
- iii) "Population Size" (field 2.4.2) = value extracted from "Distribution Map" (field 1.1.1) or "Additional Distribution Map" (field 1.1.4) (when provided).
- iv) "Favourable Reference Population" (field 2.4.14) = a) "Population Size" (field 2.4.2) OR b) value extracted from "Additional Reference Range Map" (provided). Depends on whether the Favourable population is equal or larger than actual species population.
- v) Habitat "Area Estimation" (field 2.5.1) = "Distribution Map" (field 1.1.1) or "Additional Distribution Map" (field 1.1.4) (when provided).

2.8.3 Trans-boundary assessment

2.9 Conclusions (assessment of conservation status at end of reporting period)

2.9.1 Range assessment Inadequate (U1)
qualifiers declining (-)

2.9.2. Population assessment Inadequate (U1)
qualifiers declining (-)

2.9.3. Habitat assessment Inadequate (U1)
qualifiers declining (-)

Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 11 for annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

2.9.4. Future prospects	assessment Inadequate (U1) qualifiers declining (-)
2.9.5 Overall assessment of Conservation Status	Inadequate (U1)
2.9.5 Overall trend in Conservation Status	declining (-)

3. Natura 2000 coverage and conservation measures - Annex II species

3.1 Population

3.1.1 Population Size	Unit	number of map 5x5 km grid cells (grids5x5)
	min	57
	max	57
3.1.2 Method used	Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)	
3.1.3 Trend of population size within	unknown (x)	

3.2 Conversation Measures

3.2.1 Measure	3.2.2 Type	3.2.3 Ranking	3.2.4 Location	3.2.5 Broad Evaluation
Establish protected areas/sites (6.1)	Legal Administrative One-off	low importance (L)	Inside	Enhance Long term