

Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 11 for annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

0.1 Member State	GR
0.2.1 Species code	5180
0.2.2 Species name	Barbus albanicus
0.2.3 Alternative species scientific name	Luciobarbus albanicus
0.2.4 Common name	Strosidi

1. National Level

1.1 Maps

1.1.1 Distribution Map	Yes
1.1.1a Sensitive species	No
1.1.2 Method used - map	Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)
1.1.3 Year or period	2007-2012
1.1.4 Additional map	Yes
1.1.5 Range map	Yes

2. Biogeographical Or Marine Level

2.1 Biogeographical Region

Mediterranean (MED)

2.2 Published sources

Daoulas, C. & Economidis, P. (1989). Age, growth and feeding of *Barbus albanicus* Steindachner in the Kremasta reservoir, Greece. *Archiv fur Hydrobiologie*, 114 (4): 591-601.

Economidis, P.S. & Herzig - Straschil, B. (2003). *Barbus albanicus* (Steindachner, 1870). In: *The Freshwater Fishes of Europe*, Vol. 5/II: Cyprinidae 2, Part II: *Barbus*, (Banarescu, P. & Bogutskaya, N. eds.). Wiebelsheim: Aula-Verlag GmbH, pp. 23-41.

Kottelat, M. and J. Freyhof, 2007. *Handbook of European freshwater fishes*. Publications Kottelat, Cornol, Switzerland. 646 p.

2.3 Range

2.3.1 Surface area - Range (km ²)	6100
2.3.2 Method - Range surface area	Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)
2.3.3 Short-term trend period	2001-2012
2.3.4 Short-term trend direction	stable (0)
2.3.5 Short-term trend magnitude	min max
2.3.6 Long-term trend period	
2.3.7 Long-term trend direction	N/A
2.3.8 Long-term trend magnitude	min max
2.3.9 Favourable reference range	area (km ²) operator approximately equal to (≈) unkown No method Basic assumption: Favourable Reference Range = Surface Area Range (current range)
2.3.10 Reason for change	Improved knowledge/more accurate dataUse of different method

2.4 Population

2.4.1 Population size (individuals or agreed exception)	Unit N/A min max
---	---------------------

Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 11 for annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

2.4.2 Population size (other than individuals)	Unit	number of map 5x5 km grid cells (grids5x5)	
	min	244	max 244
2.4.3 Additional information	Definition of locality		
	Conversion method		
	Problems	Most data are described as semi-quantitative or qualitative. Few quantitative data. Too much variability between existing samples, especially between different river basins, making it difficult to extrapolate a number or a class for reporting population unit.	
2.4.4 Year or period		2006-2012	
2.4.5 Method – population size		Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)	
2.4.6 Short-term trend period		2001-2012	
2.4.7 Short term trend direction		stable (0)	
2.4.8 Short-term trend magnitude	min	max	confidence interval
2.4.9 Short-term trend method		Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)	
2.4.10 Long-term trend period			
2.4.11 Long term trend direction		N/A	
2.4.12 Long-term trend magnitude	min	max	confidence interval
2.4.13 Long-term trend method		N/A	
2.4.14 Favourable reference population	number		
	operator	approximately equal to (≈)	
	unknown	No	
	method	Basic assumption: Favourable Reference Population = value extracted from Additional Range Map	
2.4.15 Reason for change		Improved knowledge/more accurate data Use of different method	
2.5 Habitat for the Species			
2.5.1 Surface area - Habitat (km ²)		6100	
2.5.2 Year or period		2006-2012	
2.5.3 Method used - habitat		Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)	
2.5.4 a) Quality of habitat		Unknown	
2.5.4 b) Quality of habitat - method		Based on partial data with some extrapolation and expert judgment.	
2.5.5 Short term trend period		2001-2012	
2.5.6 Short term trend direction		unknown (x)	
2.5.7 Long-term trend period			
2.5.8 Long term trend direction		N/A	
2.5.9 Area of suitable habitat (km ²)		0	
2.5.10 Reason for change		Improved knowledge/more accurate data Use of different method	
2.6 Main Pressures			
Pressure		ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
Discharges (E03)		low importance (L)	N/A
surface water abstractions for agriculture (J02.06.01)		low importance (L)	N/A
large scale water deviation (J02.03.01)		high importance (H)	N/A
reduction in migration/ migration barriers (J03.02.01)		high importance (H)	N/A
Fishing and harvesting aquatic resources (F02)		low importance (L)	N/A

Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 11 for annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

2.6.1 Method used – pressures mainly based on expert judgement and other data (2)

2.7 Main Threats

Threat	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
large scale water deviation (J02.03.01)	high importance (H)	N/A
surface water abstractions for agriculture (J02.06.01)	high importance (H)	N/A
reduction in migration/ migration barriers (J03.02.01)	high importance (H)	N/A

2.7.1 Method used – threats expert opinion (1)

2.8 Complementary Information

2.8.1 Justification of % thresholds for trends

2.8.2 Other relevant Information

The % threshold could not be used for the assessment since: a) a different method for assessing range was employed, compared to the 2nd Reporting Basic Assumptions:

- i) "Surface Area Range" (field 2.3.1) = value extracted from "Range Map" (field 1.1.5).
- ii) "Favourable Reference Range" (field 2.3.9a) = a) "Surface Area Range" (field 2.3.1) OR b) value extracted from "Additional Reference Range Map" (provided). Depends on whether the Favourable range is equal or larger than actual species range.
- iii) "Population Size" (field 2.4.2) = value extracted from "Distribution Map" (field 1.1.1) or "Additional Distribution Map" (field 1.1.4) (when provided).
- iv) "Favourable Reference Population" (field 2.4.14) = a) "Population Size" (field 2.4.2) OR b) value extracted from "Additional Reference Range Map" (provided). Depends on whether the Favourable population is equal or larger than actual species population.
- v) Habitat "Area Estimation" (field 2.5.1) = "Distribution Map" (field 1.1.1) or "Additional Distribution Map" (field 1.1.4) (when provided).

2.8.3 Trans-boundary assessment

2.9 Conclusions (assessment of conservation status at end of reporting period)

2.9.1 Range	assessment Favourable (FV) qualifiers N/A
2.9.2. Population	assessment Favourable (FV) qualifiers N/A
2.9.3. Habitat	assessment Unknown (XX) qualifiers N/A
2.9.4. Future prospects	assessment Favourable (FV) qualifiers N/A
2.9.5 Overall assessment of Conservation Status	Favourable (FV)
2.9.5 Overall trend in Conservation Status	N/A

3. Natura 2000 coverage and conservation measures - Annex II species

3.1 Population

3.1.1 Population Size	Unit N/A	min	max
-----------------------	----------	-----	-----

Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 11 for annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

3.1.2 Method used N/A

3.1.3 Trend of population size within N/A

3.2 Conversation Measures