



# Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 11 for annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

2.4.3 Additional information	<p>Definition of locality</p> <p>Conversion method</p> <p>Problems</p> <p>There are no adequate references or measurements regarding the population size or population densities. Based on the available data an estimation of the population using as unit the number of individuals doesn't seem feasible at this stage.</p>	
2.4.4 Year or period	2012	
2.4.5 Method – population size	Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)	
2.4.6 Short-term trend period	2001-2012	
2.4.7 Short term trend direction	stable (0)	
2.4.8 Short-term trend magnitude	min max confidence interval	
2.4.9 Short-term trend method	Estimate based on expert opinion with no or minimal sampling (1)	
2.4.10 Long-term trend period		
2.4.11 Long term trend direction	N/A	
2.4.12 Long-term trend magnitude	min max confidence interval	
2.4.13 Long-term trend method	N/A	
2.4.14 Favourable reference population	<p>number</p> <p>operator approximately equal to (≈)</p> <p>unknown No</p> <p>method There are no indications or reports of population decline or abnormal population structure. FRV has been set at the current population level.</p>	
2.4.15 Reason for change	Improved knowledge/more accurate data Use of different method	
<b>2.5 Habitat for the Species</b>		
2.5.1 Surface area - Habitat (km <sup>2</sup> )	3568	
2.5.2 Year or period	2012	
2.5.3 Method used - habitat	Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)	
2.5.4 a) Quality of habitat	Good	
2.5.4 b) Quality of habitat - method	A widely distributed species. Random surveys have been conducted in the distribution areas.	
2.5.5 Short term trend period	2001-2012	
2.5.6 Short term trend direction	stable (0)	
2.5.7 Long-term trend period		
2.5.8 Long term trend direction	N/A	
2.5.9 Area of suitable habitat (km <sup>2</sup> )	10618	
2.5.10 Reason for change	Improved knowledge/more accurate data Use of different method	
<b>2.6 Main Pressures</b>		
Pressure	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
Fertilisation (A08)	low importance (L)	N/A
use of biocides, hormones and chemicals (A07)	low importance (L)	N/A
Pollution to surface waters (limnic & terrestrial, marine & brackish) (H01)	low importance (L)	N/A
infilling of ditches, dykes, ponds, pools, marshes or pits (J02.01.03)	medium importance (M)	N/A
2.6.1 Method used – pressures	mainly based on expert judgement and other data (2)	

# Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 11 for annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

## 2.7 Main Threats

Threat	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
Fertilisation (A08)	low importance (L)	N/A
use of biocides, hormones and chemicals (A07)	low importance (L)	N/A
Pollution to surface waters (limnic & terrestrial, marine & brackish) (H01)	low importance (L)	N/A
infilling of ditches, dykes, ponds, pools, marshes or pits (J02.01.03)	medium importance (M)	N/A

2.7.1 Method used – threats expert opinion (1)

## 2.8 Complementary Information

2.8.1 Justification of % thresholds for trends

2.8.2 Other relevant Information

The range estimations do not include unfavorable altitude areas.

2.8.3 Trans-boundary assessment

## 2.9 Conclusions (assessment of conservation status at end of reporting period)

2.9.1 Range assessment Favourable (FV)

qualifiers N/A

2.9.2. Population

assessment Favourable (FV)

qualifiers N/A

2.9.3. Habitat

assessment Favourable (FV)

qualifiers N/A

2.9.4. Future prospects

assessment Favourable (FV)

qualifiers N/A

2.9.5 Overall assessment of Conservation Status

Favourable (FV)

2.9.5 Overall trend in Conservation Status

N/A

## 3. Natura 2000 coverage and conservation measures - Annex II species

### 3.1 Population

3.1.1 Population Size

Unit N/A

min max

3.1.2 Method used

N/A

3.1.3 Trend of population size within

N/A

### 3.2 Conversation Measures