Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 11 for annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

0.1 Member State	**GR**
0.2.1 Species code	**1200**
0.2.2 Species name	**Pelobates syriacus**
0.2.3 Alternative species scientific name	N/A
0.2.4 Common name	Pelovatis

1. National Level

1.1 Maps

1.1.1 Distribution Map	Yes
1.1.1a Sensitive species	No
1.1.2 Method used - map	Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)
1.1.3 Year or period	2012
1.1.4 Additional map	No
1.1.5 Range map	Yes

2. Biogeographical Or Marine Level

2.1 Biogeographical Region

Mediterranean (MED)

2.2 Published sources

Bousbouras, D. & Ioannidis Y. (1994) Amphibien und Reptilien des Prespa-Nationalparks und der Gebirgsregion um Florina (Mazedonien, Griechenland). Salamandra 30(3): 209-220

Cattaneo, A. (2005) Observations on the herpetofauna of the Greek island of Kos (southern Sporades) with an unpublished case of micro-insular sympatry of two closely related Colubridae species: Hierophis caspius (Gmelin) and Hierophis jugularis (L.) Atti Mus. Stor. nat. Maremma 21: 79-91

Dzukic, G., Beskov, V., Sidorovska, V., Cogalniceanu, D. & Kalezic, M. L. (2008) Contemporary chorology of the spadefoot toads (Pelobates spp.) in the Balkan Peninsula Journal Zeitschrift für Feldherpetologie 15: 61–78

Eiselt J. (1988) Krötenfrösche (Pelobates gen., Amphibia salientia) in Türkisch-Thrakien und Griechenland. Ann. Naturhist. Mus. Wien 90(B): 51-59

Petrov B. (2004) The herpetofauna (Amphibia and Reptilia) of the Eastern Rhodopes (Bulgaria and Greece). In: Beron P., Popov A. (eds). Biodiversity of Bulgaria. 2. Biodiversity of Eastern Rhodopes (Bulgaria and Greece). Pensoft & Nat. Mus. Natur. Hist., Sofia, 863-879.

Sofianidou T. (1995) Population status of Pelobates syriacus in Greece. Societas Europaea Herpetologica. 8th O.G.M., Bonn, 23-27. VIII.

Sofianidou, T. (1977) Studies on the biology and ecology of Pelobates syriacus Boettger (Anura: Pelobatidae). Dr. Thesis. Univ. of Thessaloniki.

Sofianidou, T. (2000) The systematics of tetrapods. Giachoudi-Giapouli. p. 303-360 (in Greek).

Tsunis, G. & Dimitropoulos, A. (1994) The amphibians and reptiles of Lesvos and Chios (Aegean islands, Greece). Biologia Gallo-Hellenica 22: 37-48

2.3 Range

Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 11 for annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

2.3.1 Surface area - Range (km²)	24451,01
2.3.2 Method - Range surface area	Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)
2.3.3 Short-term trend period	2001-2012
2.3.4 Short-term trend direction	stable (0)
2.3.5 Short-term trend magnitude	min max
2.3.6 Long-term trend period	
2.3.7 Long-term trend direction	N/A
2.3.8 Long-term trend magnitude	min max
2.3.9 Favourable reference range	area (km²) operator: approximately equal to (≈) unkown: No method: A wide ranging species. None of the known populations became extinct since 1994. FRV is the total of the range which excludes the unfavorable altitude areas.
2.3.10 Reason for change	Improved knowledge/more accurate dataUse of different method

2.4 Population

2.4.1 Population size (individuals or agreed exception)	Unit: N/A min max
2.4.2 Population size (other than individuals)	Unit: number of map 1x1 km grid cells (grids1x1) min: 7552 max: 7929
2.4.3 Additional information	Definition of locality Conversion method Problems: There are no adequate references or measurements regarding the population size or population densities. Based on the available data an estimation of the population using as unit the number of individuals doesn't seem feasible at this stage.
2.4.4 Year or period	2012
2.4.5 Method – population size	Estimate based on expert opinion with no or minimal sampling (1)
2.4.6 Short-term trend period	2001-2012
2.4.7 Short term trend direction	unknown (x)
2.4.8 Short-term trend magnitude	min max confidence interval
2.4.9 Short-term trend method	Estimate based on expert opinion with no or minimal sampling (1)
2.4.10 Long-term trend period	
2.4.11 Long term trend direction	N/A
2.4.12 Long-term trend magnitude	min max confidence interval
2.4.13 Long-term trend method	N/A
2.4.14 Favourable reference population	number operator: approximately equal to (≈) unknown: No method: There are no indications or reports of population decline or abnormal population structure. FRV has been set at the current population level.
2.4.15 Reason for change	Improved knowledge/more accurate data Use of different method

2.5 Habitat for the Species

Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 11 for annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

2.5.1 Surface area - Habitat (km²)	7929
2.5.2 Year or period	2012
2.5.3 Method used - habitat	Estimate based on expert opinion with no or minimal sampling (1)
2.5.4 a) Quality of habitat	Good
2.5.4 b) Quality of habitat - method	A widely distributed species. Random surveys have been conducted in the distribution areas.
2.5.5 Short term trend period	2001-2012
2.5.6 Short term trend direction	stable (0)
2.5.7 Long-term trend period	
2.5.8 Long term trend direction	N/A
2.5.9 Area of suitable habitat (km²)	29381
2.5.10 Reason for change	Improved knowledge/more accurate data Use of different method

2.6 Main Pressures

Pressure	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
roads, motorways (D01.02)	low importance (L)	N/A
human induced changes in hydraulic conditions (J02)	medium importance (M)	N/A
modification of standing water bodies (J02.05.03)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Water abstractions from surface waters (J02.06)	medium importance (M)	N/A

2.6.1 Method used – pressures	mainly based on expert judgement and other data (2)

2.7 Main Threats

Threat	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
Sand and gravel extraction (C01.01)	low importance (L)	N/A
roads, motorways (D01.02)	low importance (L)	N/A
Industrial or commercial areas (E02)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Pollution to surface waters (limnic & terrestrial, marine & brackish) (H01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Soil pollution and solid waste (excluding discharges) (H05)	medium importance (M)	N/A

2.7.1 Method used – threats	expert opinion (1)

2.8 Complementary Information

2.8.1 Justification of % thresholds for trends	
2.8.2 Other relevant Information	The range estimations do not include unfavorable altitude areas.
2.8.3 Trans-boundary assessment	

2.9 Conclusions (assessment of conservation status at end of reporting period)

2.9.1 Range	assessment	Favourable (FV)
	qualifiers	N/A
2.9.2. Population	assessment	Unknown (XX)
	qualifiers	N/A
2.9.3. Habitat	assessment	Favourable (FV)
	qualifiers	N/A

Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 11 for annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

2.9.4. Future prospects	assessment	Favourable (FV)
	qualifiers	N/A
2.9.5 Overall assessment of Conservation Status	Favourable (FV)	
2.9.5 Overall trend in Conservation Status	N/A	

3. Natura 2000 coverage and conservation measures - Annex II species

3.1 Population

3.1.1 Population Size	Unit	N/A	
	min		max
3.1.2 Method used	N/A		
3.1.3 Trend of population size within	N/A		

3.2 Conversation Measures